

Acute cognitive and psychiatric effects of cannabinoids

Daniele Cavaleri¹, Francesco Bartoli¹, Cristina Crocamo¹, Giuseppe Carrà^{1,2}

¹ Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy; ² Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Summary

Cannabinoids are known to affect cognitive function and to trigger or worsen psychiatric symptoms and disorders. This review aims at providing a comprehensive outline of the existing literature on the acute effects of cannabinoids on these domains. Recent and relevant evidence shows that cannabinoid intake acutely affects several basal cognitive domains such as learning, memory, and attention. As well, clear acute impairing effects on decision-making, sensitivity to reward, and inhibition are consistently reported, whereas evidence on compromised working memory and problem solving is less solid. Cannabinoid consumption leads to euphoria, relaxation, and increased sociability but can also trigger undesirable effects such as psychotic symptoms/disorders, anxiety and panic, dysphoria, and negative affect in both clinical and non-clinical samples. $\Delta 9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) seems the main culprit for these acute impairments, while evidence supporting potential protective effects of cannabidiol (CBD) is mixed but continues to grow. Dose and THC:CBD ratio, frequency and chronicity of use, pre-existing vulnerability, and demographic/psychosocial factors may moderate these effects. High-potency cannabis ("skunk") and synthetic cannabinoids ("spice") have stronger adverse effects and are more dangerous. The existing literature is limited by heterogeneity in terms of populations investigated, compounds and doses administered, and route of administration. More research on high-potency and synthetic compounds, less explored domains, and the role of CBD is needed. This review provides crucial insights on the acute effects of cannabinoids on cognition and mental health that may have important clinical, social, and legal implications.

Keywords: anxiety, cannabinoids, cognition, psychosis, review

Correspondence

Daniele Cavaleri

E-mail: d.cavaleri1@campus.unimib.it

How to cite this article:

Cavaleri D, Bartoli F, Crocamo C, Carrà G. Acute cognitive and psychiatric effects of cannabinoids. Italian Journal of Psychiatry 2024;10:25-31; <https://doi.org/10.36180/2421-4469-2024-6>

This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the CC-BY-NC-ND (Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International) license. The article can be used by giving appropriate credit and mentioning the license, but only for non-commercial purposes and only in the original version. For further information: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>



Open Access

© Copyright by Pacini Editore Srl

BACKGROUND AND AIMS

The use of cannabinoids for both recreational and medicinal purposes is unceasingly increasing, raising concerns about their acute and long-term effects on human health^{1,2}. In particular, both occasional and frequent cannabinoid intake has been associated with altered cognitive performance and the development or worsening of psychiatric symptoms¹. However, there seem to be differences in impairments between acute and chronic use³. Besides physical symptoms (such as conjunctival hyperaemia, xerostomia, increased appetite, tachycardia, and elevated blood pressure), acute cannabinoid intake can produce substantial neuropsychiatric effects that are seen during and shortly after the peak of active metabolites in the bloodstream^{1,4,5}. Although natural cannabis contains more than 100 phytocannabinoids, its effects are mainly attributed to $\Delta 9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), a high-affinity cannabinoid receptors partial-agonist^{6,7}. Another important cannabinoid is cannabidiol (CBD), a compound that seems to have the ability to counteract psychotic symptoms and cognitive impairment associated with cannabis use, also possibly having anxiolytic properties⁸⁻¹¹. Thus, the acute effects of cannabis mainly depend on the concentrations of THC and CBD as well as on the THC:CBD ratio^{4,12-14}. In recent years, high-potency cannabis – known as "skunk" – has increasingly taken over the market^{15,16}. Skunk typically presents with THC concentrations of 12-18% and

virtually no CBD¹⁷. Hence, its use is associated with more adverse effects than a typical marijuana/hashish joint and may be especially harmful to mental health¹⁵. Besides, there is a growing diffusion of synthetic cannabinoids (SCs), which became popular as “legal highs” under brand names such as “Spice” and “K2”^{9,18}. Hundreds of different types of SCs have been isolated worldwide⁹. Notably, these compounds have extra ingredients (preservatives, additives, fatty acids, amides, esters, benzodiazepines, and O-desmethyltramadol) that are added purposely to induce greater psychoactive effect and as masking agents¹⁹⁻²¹. SCs generally have more potent effects than THC^{6,7,18}, with an earlier onset and peak as well as a shorter duration²². The acute effects produced by cannabinoids also depend on other factors such as the route of administration (with edibles producing delayed but stronger and longer-lasting effects than smoked cannabinoids), the individual susceptibility to single components, and previous use habits with the subsequent development of tolerance^{5,12,13,23}. Over the decades, a substantial body of evidence documenting the acute psychoactive effects of cannabinoids has accumulated. With the aim of providing a well-rounded understanding of the complex interplay between cannabinoids and brain physiopathology, in this review we will synthesise the literature available on how their intake acutely impacts cognitive function and increases the risk of psychiatric symptoms and disorders.

COGNITION

It is well-established that acute cannabinoid use transiently diminishes the ability of the brain to hold and process information, and evidence that cannabis intoxication is associated with short-term impairment across several cognitive domains is substantial^{3,13}. Data regarding SCs, albeit suggesting even more severe cognitive impairments, are still sparse^{9,24}. Notably, cognitive function emerges as the domain displaying the highest degree of tolerance to the acute effects of cannabinoids in frequent users^{3,23}.

Verbal learning and memory

The disruption of verbal learning and memory is one of the most frequently observed acute effects of cannabinoids^{3,13}. The encoding of new memories is compromised during cannabinoid intoxication, leading to subsequent deficits in recalling them, while the retrieval of old memories consolidated when not under influence seems unaffected^{13,25}. Clear data are available regarding the acute impairing effects of THC on immediate and delayed recall and sometimes recognition accuracy³. In people using cannabis more than once a week, evidence suggests a development of tolerance to the memory-impairing effects of acute THC intake²⁶. Notably, impaired verbal learning and memory are consistently observed across different age groups (including adolescents and young adults) and even in occasional users.³ Significant associations between poorer performance in regular users and dose, frequency, quantity, duration, early age

of onset of cannabis use, THC:CBD ratio, and SC use have been reported, with long-term users experiencing greater impairment^{24,27}. Improvement or recovery with abstinence has been observed in some studies, but not consistently³. In contrast, CBD seemingly offers protection against the acute memory-impairing effects of THC^{3,13,28}.

Attention

The compromised ability to focus and sustain attention has long been recognized as a defining feature of cannabinoid intoxication^{3,9,12} and documented in several studies in both adolescent and adult users³. Acute exposure to cannabinoids impairs focused, divided, or sustained attention, often in a dose-dependent manner¹². Furthermore, false alarms are increased under influence of THC²⁹. Individuals who use cannabinoids daily may develop tolerance, resulting in milder impairments³, but conversely seem to remain partially impaired when they go abstinent³⁰.

Executive function

The acute intake of cannabis has notable but differential effects on executive function³¹⁻³³. These effects are mainly due to THC, while research on the effects of CBD is scarce³⁴. Evidence on the acute effects of SCs is preliminary and mostly preclinical³⁴.

Acute cannabis intake causes impairments in working memory^{13,31}, though inconsistently³² and differentially across a wide range of tasks³. Cannabis-induced deficits in working memory are seen more in the ability to manipulate information while it is “online” (e.g., when doing mental arithmetic) than in the ability to retain it for brief periods (for instance, when remembering a telephone number before dialling it)¹³. Performance accuracy after THC intake seems decreased for moderately high working memory loads only but enhanced for low working memory loads, possibly reflecting a compensational neural mechanism³⁵. Interestingly, impairments have been reported in recent or heavy users – with greater frequency and quantity of use correlated with poorer performance – but not in older users³. Impaired working memory typically remains after other acute effects have subsided³¹ and may persist even for a few weeks, but appears to mostly resolve with longer abstinence³.

During acute cannabis intoxication, individuals tend to exhibit heightened tendencies towards risky decision-making, impaired inhibition, and increased sensitivity to reward³, although research findings are somewhat mixed and task-dependent¹³. Acute THC administration putatively affects decision-making by altering sensitivity to reward and punishment³. Acute cannabis use has also been associated with poor inhibitory control, with decreased inhibition efficiency and increased inhibition errors in a dose-dependent manner^{3,12,31,32}. Interestingly, people who are more susceptible to the psychotogenic effects of cannabis seem more likely to make inhibition errors than those who are not³⁶. These alterations comprehensively lead to an increase in risk-taking behaviours, a feature observed in both infrequent and regu-

lar cannabis users^{3,37,38}. All these impairments, together with cannabinoid-induced motor function deficits, have implications for activities that demand precise motor coordination and attentional focus, such as driving³. Notably, these negative effects on cognitive function seem to be offset when cannabis also contains CBD^{12,31}.

THC administration was found to impair planning, reasoning, association, task performance, and problem solving, though not in all studies³. Interestingly, these effects look consistent across samples of occasional, moderate, and heavy users but generally tend to be relatively mild, possibly because of compensatory neural mechanisms^{3,32}. Verbal fluency may also be affected by acute cannabis use, with the existing body of research, albeit narrow, seemingly suggesting that impairments are more likely to occur in older individuals with longer durations of exposure, while in younger users it may depend on factors such as their intellectual capacity and the specific task³. Finally, although the subjective effect of cannabis distorting time is well known, objective evidence about cannabinoid intoxication and altered time estimation is extremely limited³. Some lines of evidence have suggested that a psychoactive dose of THC increases internal clock speed, with time overestimation and underproduction³⁹. This effect seems not to be dose-related and blunted in chronic cannabis smokers³⁹.

PSYCHIATRIC SYMPTOMS

The intoxication effects of cannabis are described by users as mild euphoria and "high", relaxation, increased sociability, decreased anxiety and boredom, and enhanced sensory-perceptive experiences, all within a general pleasant feeling^{9,40,41}. Non-clinical populations consistently rank relaxation high as a reason for use⁴⁰. Nevertheless, a number of undesired psychiatric symptoms can be triggered by acute cannabis consumption in both occasional and frequent cannabis consumers, whether already affected by a psychiatric disease or without a history of mental illness^{9,12,42-44}. First-time use, dose, THC concentration and THC:CBD ratio, frequency of use, personality traits, and pre-existing vulnerability are among the main moderating factors^{9,12,40}. SCs can induce reactions similar to those occurring with natural cannabis, however they occur more frequently, peak early, are more pronounced, and show more variability, ranging from sedation to agitation^{22,45,46}. In studies comparing natural cannabis and SC users, SCs were correlated with more psychotic symptoms, agitation, and longer hospitalizations⁴⁷. Some psychiatric effects may be experienced for days and weeks after consuming SC products⁴⁸.

Psychotic symptoms

Cannabinoids can produce acute, transient, dose-dependent psychosis-like effects in people without a history of mental illness, especially at high doses^{4,16,43,44,49}. Dose-dependent psychotic experiences have been reported by 15-50% of individuals in community surveys⁴⁰. Symptoms include de-

personalization, derealization, dream-like euphoria, disorientation, delusions, hallucinations, paranoia, and psychomotor agitation^{4,50}. Some lines of evidence suggest that THC can lead not only to auditory speech hallucinations but also to visual distortions and illusions⁴. These symptoms generally resolve in a short time (few hours) but may last up to a week, and are generally followed by full recovery⁵¹. Negative symptoms of psychosis, including decreased affective range, spontaneity, and rapport, as well as psychomotor retardation and emotional withdrawal, were also reported in several studies and determined not to be related to self-rated sedation^{52,53}.

Heavy cannabinoid use may lead to an acute functional psychosis, similar to an acute schizophreniform disorder and lacking the organic features of a toxic psychosis.⁴ In some cases, cannabinoid-induced psychotic episodes may persist for a substantial period of time after acute intoxication⁵⁴. This happens especially with SCs, giving rise to the term "spiceophrenia"^{16,19,40,48}. The risk of developing psychotic illness in vulnerable individuals seems to be dose-dependent^{16,55}. Beginning cannabis use at a younger age may potentially elevate the susceptibility to experiencing psychotic symptoms or developing full psychotic disorders⁴³, but this issue remains uncertain⁴².

In subjects with pre-existing schizophrenia, the acute use of cannabinoids can lead to re-emergence or worsening of symptoms and even require hospitalization in those who were psychiatrically stable and adherent to medications^{40,43,44}. However, although smoking cannabis seems to worsen negative affect and increase hallucinations in individual with psychosis⁵⁶, these people describe relief of dysphoria, relaxation, increased socialization, enhancement of positive affect, and, in some cases (10%), amelioration of psychotic symptoms⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹. This, together with the fact that the increases in hallucinations are delayed, may explain cannabis use despite the worsening of positive symptoms in this population⁵⁶.

The psychotogenic effects of cannabis are largely attributable to THC but the mechanisms through which these occur and which factors predict vulnerability are not completely clear⁴². Some data provide evidence for a modest increase in striatal dopamine transmission after acute administration of THC, although to a far lesser extent than other recreational drugs¹³. Besides dopaminergic transmission, other potential candidate mechanisms of psychosis-like symptoms include excitatory-inhibitory imbalance between GABAergic⁶⁰ and glutamatergic⁶¹ systems. The use of SCs may cause even more frequent and more severe psychotic disorders and psychosis-like conditions⁶, although their role in psychosis seems more complex than any single chemical component might explain, and these effects may not be a simple extension of the typical effects of natural cannabis⁶². Those experiencing psychotic episodes related to SC use are also reported to present with higher/more frequent levels of agitation and behavioral dyscontrol compared to those psychotic

episodes described in natural cannabis misusers⁶³. The moderating role of CBD on the development of psychotic symptoms after acute cannabis intake is less clear: CBD may attenuate psychosis-like symptoms induced by THC in non-clinical samples of healthy volunteers, especially when CBD is administered immediately before THC¹¹. Further, at high doses (800-1000 mg), CBD seems potentially effective in reducing positive – but not negative – symptoms of schizophrenia⁸. However, these beneficial effects of CBD are not unequivocal across all available studies⁴². Since the interest in understanding the effects of CBD on psychotic manifestations is rapidly increasing⁸, research is likely to provide further insights in the near future.

Anxiety and panic

Anxiety reactions are the symptoms most frequently associated with acute cannabinoid use^{51,64}. Cannabinoids can cause acute and short-lasting episodes of anxiety, intense fear, panic, and phobic attacks, especially in those who are not habitual users, at high doses, and/or when SCs are used^{9,51}. These effects are less evident among frequent users, who seem to develop some level of tolerance¹². Individual predisposition to anxiety disorders, history of previous episodes, and context of use are acknowledged risk factors for developing acute cannabinoid-induced anxiety states⁵¹. The anxiogenic properties of THC have been firmly established and seem to occur especially at higher THC dose and THC:CBD ratio^{12,51,64}. On the other hand, CBD shows a consistent anxiolytic action and seems to decrease the anxiogenic effect of THC when the THC:CBD ratio in cannabis is low without having an anxiogenic effect at high doses^{10,65}. In view of this, cannabis containing primarily CBD, albeit deserving further investigations, has been suggested as a suitable option to manage anxiety or stress-related disorders⁶⁵.

Mood

Euphoria, enhancement of positive affect, and increased openness to others are consistently reported^{40,56,66} and are described as some of the main reasons for cannabis use⁵⁸, although transient dysphoria may develop instead⁴⁹. However, while prolonged use of both natural and synthetic products is a well-known risk factor for the insurgence and/or the worsening of acute recurrences in bipolar disorder⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹, little is known regarding how its acute intake can cause/trigger manic symptoms in both healthy individuals and people already suffering from bipolar disorder. No accounts of frank and enduring mania after a single use of natural cannabis are available in the literature, and only one case of a manic episode triggered by a single dose of a SC was reported⁷⁰. Anyways, grandiosity is often reported among the typical symptoms of acute psychotic episodes induced by cannabinoids⁴⁰. Similarly, while chronic cannabinoid use does not have positive long-term effects on the course and outcome of depression⁷¹, there seems to be no data regarding the possible triggering effect of acute cannabinoid intake on the development of long-lasting depressive symptoms. Any-

ways, cannabis can acutely bring about transient emotional lability, intense introspection, or sadness^{24,51,64}.

LIMITATIONS OF THE EXISTING LITERATURE

The body of work published on the acute effects of cannabinoids on cognition and mental health is heterogeneous in several factors. The populations included in these studies are quite variable, especially concerning participants' history of cannabis use, age, and comorbidities. Studies also differ in terms of compounds administered, THC concentration and THC:CBD ratio, and route of administration. In this regard, despite their now widespread use, data about SCs are still limited. Lastly, while the literature is quite substantial with respect to domains such as memory, attention, psychotic symptoms, and anxiety, further research must necessarily be carried out on others in order to provide more consistent evidence.

CONCLUSIONS

The available body of evidence indicates that the intake of cannabinoids – and especially of high-potency or synthetic ones – acutely impairs cognitive function and increases the risk of psychiatric symptoms and disorders. On the other hand, the potential health benefits of CBD suggest that it may have a protective role and might potentially be used to treat different neuropsychiatric symptoms and conditions, although some findings are against this trend and more research is needed.

As high-potency cannabis and ever new SCs become more and more available on the market, interest in the medical use of cannabis grows, and the use of cannabinoids continues to rise as a whole, it becomes increasingly crucial to keep on studying their acute effects and develop evidence-based guidelines for their utilization. Progress in the study of cannabinoids and their psychoactive effects will provide more data relevant to clinical, public health, and legal spheres, ultimately helping optimize the potential benefits and concurrently minimize associated risks.

Conflicts of interest statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

None.

Authors' contributions

D.C.: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing - original draft; F.B.: conceptualization, methodology, writing - review & editing, supervision; C.C.: methodology, writing - review & editing, supervision; G.C.: conceptualization, writing - review & editing, supervision, project administration.

Ethical consideration

This study did not involve human subjects and/or animals.

References

- 1 Connor JP, Stjepanović D, Le Foll B, et al. Cannabis use and cannabis use disorder. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2021;7:16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-021-00247-4>.
- 2 Compton WM, Han B, Jones CM, et al. Marijuana use and use disorders in adults in the USA, 2002-14: analysis of annual cross-sectional surveys. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2016;3:954-964. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(16\)30208-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30208-5).
- 3 Broyd SJ, van Hell HH, Beale C, et al. Acute and Chronic Effects of Cannabinoids on Human Cognition-A Systematic Review. *Biol Psychiatry* 2016;79:557-567 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.12.002>.
- 4 Karila L, Roux P, Rolland B, et al. Acute and long-term effects of cannabis use: a review. *Curr Pharm Des* 2014;20:4112-4118. <https://doi.org/10.2174/13816128113199990620>.
- 5 Ranganathan M, D'Souza DC. The acute effects of cannabinoids on memory in humans: a review. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2006;188:425-444. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-006-0508-y>.
- 6 Van Amsterdam J, Brunt T, van den Brink W. The adverse health effects of synthetic cannabinoids with emphasis on psychosis-like effects. *J Psychopharmacol* 2015;29:254-263. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881114565142>.
- 7 Pertwee RG. The Diverse CB1 and CB2 Receptor Pharmacology of Three Plant Cannabinoids: Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol, Cannabidiol and Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabivarin. *Br J Pharmacol* 2008;153:199-215. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0707442>.
- 8 Bartoli F, Riboldi I, Bachi B, et al. Efficacy of Cannabidiol for Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol-Induced Psychotic Symptoms, Schizophrenia, and Cannabis Use Disorders: A Narrative Review. *J Clin Med* 2021;10:1303. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10061303>.
- 9 Cohen K, Weinstein AM. Synthetic and Non-synthetic Cannabinoid Drugs and Their Adverse Effects-A Review From Public Health Prospective. *Front Public Health* 2018;6:162. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00162>.
- 10 Iseger TA, Bossong MG. A systematic review of the antipsychotic properties of cannabidiol in humans. *Schizophr Res* 2015;162:153-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.01.033>.
- 11 Englund A, Morrison PD, Nottage J, et al. Cannabidiol inhibits THC-elicited paranoid symptoms and hippocampal-dependent memory impairment. *J Psychopharmacol* 2013;27:19-27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881112460109>.
- 12 Bloomfield MAP, Hindocha C, Green SF, Wall MB, Lees R, Petrilli K, Costello H, Ogunbiyi MO, Bossong MG, Freeman TP. The neuropsychopharmacology of cannabis: A review of human imaging studies. *Pharmacol Ther* 2019;195:132-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2018.10.006>.
- 13 Curran HV, Freeman TP, Mokrysz C, Lewis DA, Morgan CJ, Parsons LH. Keep off the grass? Cannabis, cognition and addiction. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2016;17:293-306. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.28>.
- 14 Bhattacharyya S, Morrison PD, Fusar-Poli P, et al. Opposite effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol on human brain function and psychopathology. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2010;35:764-774. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2009.184>.
- 15 Freeman TP, Winstock AR. Examining the profile of high-potency cannabis and its association with severity of cannabis dependence. *Psychol Med* 2015;45:3181-3189. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001178>.
- 16 Di Forti M, Morgan C, Dazzan P, et al. High-potency cannabis and the risk of psychosis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2009;195:488-491. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.109.064220>.
- 17 Morgan CJ, Curran HV. Effects of cannabidiol on schizophrenia-like symptoms in people who use cannabis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2008;192:306-307. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.107.046649>.
- 18 Castaneto MS, Gorelick DA, Desrosiers NA, et al. Synthetic cannabinoids: epidemiology, pharmacodynamics, and clinical implications. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014;144:12-41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.08.005>.
- 19 Fattore L. Synthetic Cannabinoids-Further Evidence Supporting the Relationship Between Cannabinoids and Psychosis. *Biol Psychiatry* 2016;79:539-548. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.02.001>.
- 20 Zuba D, Byrska B. Prevalence and co-existence of active components of 'legal highs'. *Drug Test Anal* 2013;5:420-429. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.1365>.
- 21 Fattore L, Fratta W. Beyond THC: The New Generation of Cannabinoid Designer Drugs. *Front Behav Neurosci* 2011;5:60. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2011.00060>.
- 22 Fantegrossi WE, Moran JH, Radominska-Pandya A, et al. Distinct pharmacology and metabolism of K2 synthetic cannabinoids compared to Δ9-THC: mechanism underlying greater toxicity? *Life Sci* 2014;97:45-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2013.09.017>.
- 23 Colizzi M, Bhattacharyya S. Cannabis use and the development of tolerance: a systematic review of human evidence. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2018;93:1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.07.014>.
- 24 Spaderna M, Addy PH, D'Souza DC. Spicing things up: synthetic cannabinoids. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2013;228:525-540. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-013-3188-4>.
- 25 Doss MK, Weafer J, Gallo DA, et al. Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol During Encoding Impairs Perceptual Details yet Spares Context Effects on Episodic Memory. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* 2020;5:110-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.08.007>.
- 26 Hirvonen J, Goodwin RS, Li CT, et al. Reversible and regionally selective downregulation of brain cannabinoid CB1 receptors in chronic daily cannabis smokers. *Mol Psychiatry* 2012;17:642-649. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2011.82>.
- 27 Meier MH, Caspi A, Ambler A, et al. Persistent cannabis users show neuropsychological decline from childhood to midlife. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012;109:E2657-E2664. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1206820109>.
- 28 Yücel M, Lorenzetti V, Suo C, et al. Hippocampal harms, protection and recovery following regular cannabis use. *Transl Psychiatry* 2016;6:e710. <https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2015.201>.
- 29 Bossong MG, Jansma JM, van Hell HH, et al. Default mode network in the effects of Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) on human executive function. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e70074. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070074>.
- 30 Bosker WM, Karschner EL, Lee D, et al. Psychomotor function in chronic daily Cannabis smokers during sustained abstinence. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e53127. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053127>.
- 31 Morie KP, Potenza MN. A Mini-Review of Relationships Between Cannabis Use and Neural Foundations of Reward Processing, Inhibitory Control and Working Memory. *Front Psychiatry* 2021;12:657371. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2021.657371>.

- 32 Oomen PP, van Hell HH, Bossong MG. The acute effects of cannabis on human executive function. *Behav Pharmacol* 2018;29:605-616. <https://doi.org/10.1097/FBP.0000000000000426>.
- 33 Crean RD, Crane NA, Mason BJ. An evidence based review of acute and long-term effects of cannabis use on executive cognitive functions. *J Addict Med* 2011;5:1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0b013e31820c23fa>.
- 34 Cohen K, Weinstein A. The Effects of Cannabinoids on Executive Functions: Evidence from Cannabis and Synthetic Cannabinoids-A Systematic Review. *Brain Sci* 2018;8:40. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci8030040>.
- 35 Bossong MG, Jansma JM, van Hell HH, et al. Effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on human working memory function. *Biol Psychiatry* 2012;71:693-699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.01.008>.
- 36 Atakan Z, Bhattacharyya S, Allen P, et al. Cannabis affects people differently: inter-subject variation in the psychotogenic effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study with healthy volunteers. *Psychol Med* 2013;43:1255-1267. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712001924>.
- 37 De Bellis MD, Wang L, Bergman SR, et al. Neural mechanisms of risky decision-making and reward response in adolescent onset cannabis use disorder. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2013;133:134-145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.05.020>.
- 38 Rogers RD, Wakeley J, Robson PJ, et al. The effects of low doses of delta-9 tetrahydrocannabinol on reinforcement processing in the risky decision-making of young healthy adults. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2007;32:417-428. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301175>.
- 39 Sewell RA, Schnakenberg A, Elander J, et al. Acute effects of THC on time perception in frequent and infrequent cannabis users. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2013;226:401-413. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-012-2915-6>.
- 40 Hanna RC, Perez JM, Ghose S. Cannabis and development of dual diagnoses: A literature review. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 2017;43:442-455. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00952990.2016.1213273>.
- 41 Hall W, Degenhardt L. Adverse health effects of non-medical cannabis use. *Lancet* 2009;374:1383-1391. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)61037-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61037-0).
- 42 Mokrysz C, Shaban NDC, Freeman TP, et al. Acute effects of cannabis on speech illusions and psychotic-like symptoms: two studies testing the moderating effects of cannabidiol and adolescence. *Psychol Med* 2021;51:2134-2142. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291720001038>.
- 43 Murray RM, Di Forti M. Cannabis and Psychosis: What Degree of Proof Do We Require? *Biol Psychiatry* 2016;79:514-515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.02.005>.
- 44 Volkow ND, Swanson JM, Evins AE, et al. Effects of Cannabis Use on Human Behavior, Including Cognition, Motivation, and Psychosis: A Review. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2016;73:292-297. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.3278>.
- 45 Mensen VT, Vreeker A, Nordgren J, et al. Psychopathological symptoms associated with synthetic cannabinoid use: a comparison with natural cannabis. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2019;236:2677-2685. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-019-05238-8>.
- 46 Seely KA, Lapoint J, Moran JH, et al. Spice drugs are more than harmless herbal blends: a review of the pharmacology and toxicology of synthetic cannabinoids. *Progr NeuroPsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2012;39:234-243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpb.2012.04.017>.
- 47 Shalit N, Barzilay R, Shoval G, et al. Characteristics of Synthetic Cannabinoid and Cannabis Users Admitted to a Psychiatric Hospital: A Comparative Study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2016;77:e989-e995. <https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.15m09938>.
- 48 Papanti D, Schifano F, Botteon G, et al. "Spicephrenia": a systematic overview of "spice"-related psychopathological issues and a case report. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2013;28:379-389. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hup.2312>.
- 49 Morrison PD, Zois V, McKeown DA, et al. The acute effects of synthetic intravenous Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol on psychosis, mood and cognitive functioning. *Psychol Med* 2009;39:1607-1616. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291709005522>.
- 50 Johns A. Psychiatric effects of cannabis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001;178:116-122. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.178.2.116>.
- 51 Crippa JA, Derenusson GN, Chagas MH, et al. Pharmacological interventions in the treatment of the acute effects of cannabis: a systematic review of literature. *Harm Reduct J* 2012;9:7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7517-9-7>.
- 52 Morrison PD, Stone JM. Synthetic delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol elicits schizoprenia-like negative symptoms which are distinct from sedation. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2011;26:77-80. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hup.1166>.
- 53 D'Souza DC, Perry E, MacDougall L, et al. The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2004;29:1558-1572. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300496>.
- 54 Wilkinson ST, Radhakrishnan R, D'Souza DC. Impact of Cannabis Use on the Development of Psychotic Disorders. *Curr Addict Rep* 2014;1:115-128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40429-014-0018-7>.
- 55 Moore TH, Zammit S, Lingford-Hughes A, et al. Cannabis use and risk of psychotic or affective mental health outcomes: a systematic review. *Lancet* 2007;370:319-328. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(07\)61162-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(07)61162-3).
- 56 Henquet C, van Os J, Kuepper R, et al. Psychosis reactivity to cannabis use in daily life: an experience sampling study. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010;196:447-453. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.109.072249>.
- 57 Mané A, Fernández-Expósito M, Bergé D, et al. Relationship between cannabis and psychosis: Reasons for use and associated clinical variables. *Psychiatry Res* 2015;229:70-74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.07.070>.
- 58 Dekker N, Linszen DH, De Haan L. Reasons for cannabis use and effects of cannabis use as reported by patients with psychotic disorders. *Psychopathology* 2009;42:350-360. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000236906>.
- 59 Green B, Kavanagh DJ, Young RM. Reasons for cannabis use in men with and without psychosis. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2004;23:445-453. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09595230412331324563>.
- 60 Radhakrishnan R, Skosnik PD, Cortes-Briones J, et al. GABA Deficits Enhance the Psychotomimetic Effects of Δ^9 -THC. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2015;40:2047-2056. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.58>.
- 61 Prescott AP, Renshaw PF, Yurgelun-Todd DA. γ -Amino butyric acid and glutamate abnormalities in adolescent chronic marijuana smokers. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2013;129:232-239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.02.028>.
- 62 Deng H, Verrico CD, Kosten TR, et al. Psychosis and synthetic cannabinoids. *Psychiatry Res* 2018;268:400-412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.08.012>.

- ⁶³ Brakoulias V. Products containing synthetic cannabinoids and psychosis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2012;46:281-282. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867411433974>.
- ⁶⁴ Sharpe L, Sinclair J, Kramer A, et al. Cannabis, a cause for anxiety? A critical appraisal of the anxiogenic and anxiolytic properties. *J Transl Med* 2020;18:374. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-020-02518-2>.
- ⁶⁵ Black N, Stockings E, Campbell G, et al. Cannabinoids for the treatment of mental disorders and symptoms of mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis [published correction appears in *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020;7:e3]. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2019;6:995-1010. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(19\)30401-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30401-8).
- ⁶⁶ Verdoux H, Gindre C, Sorbara F, et al. Effects of cannabis and psychosis vulnerability in daily life: an experience sampling test study. *Psychol Med* 2003;33:23-32. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291702006384>.
- ⁶⁷ Gibbs M, Winsper C, Marwaha S, et al. Cannabis use and mania symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord* 2015;171:39-47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.09.016>.
- ⁶⁸ Ustundag MF, Ozhan Ibis E, Yucel A. Synthetic cannabis-induced mania. *Case Rep Psychiatry* 2015;2015:310930. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/310930>.
- ⁶⁹ Khan MA, Akella S. Cannabis-induced bipolar disorder with psychotic features: a case report. *Psychiatry (Edgmont)*. 2009;6:44-48.
- ⁷⁰ Ekici AŞ, Şahin Ş, Elboğa G, et al. Mania Induced by a Single Dose of Synthetic Cannabinoid. *Addict Disord Their Treat* 2021;20:146-148. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ADT.0000000000000212>.
- ⁷¹ Feingold D, Weinstein A. Cannabis and Depression. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2021;1264:67-80. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57369-0_5.