

in research that is performed in device development.⁷ Is acknowledging equity ownership in the “small print” of a scientific article sufficient to eliminate reasonable concerns about data integrity and interpretation? Or should we demand that investigators who are involved in the critical analytic steps that lead to human device implantation be completely distanced from any real or perceived financial conflict of interest? Tough questions when one considers the desperate need for solutions, the paucity of options in critical settings, and limited resource opportunities. Unfortunately, it can be a slippery slope. The pressures to get devices into patients are real and compelling. When it comes to scientific evaluation of new technology for children, however, it may be best to “believe nothing, doubt everything, and demand proof.”

References

1. Morales DLS, DiBardino DJ, Fraser CD Jr. The DeBakey VAD child: first implantable ventricular assist device for children in the United States. *Pediatr Cardiol Today*. 2004;2:1-5.
2. Fraser CD Jr, Carberry KE, Owens WR, Arrington KA, Morales DL, Heinle JS, et al. Preliminary experience with the Micromed DeBakey Pediatric Ventricular Assist Device. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Pediatr Card Surg Annu*. 2006;109-14.
3. Beckerman Z, De Leon L, Zea-Vera R, Mery C, Fraser CD Jr. High incidence of late infective endocarditis in bovine jugular vein valved conduits. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2018;156:728-34.
4. Fraser CD Jr, Jaquiss RDB, Rosenthal DN, Humpl T, Canter CE, Blackstone EH, et al. Prospective trial of a pediatric ventricular assist device. *N Engl J Med*. 2012; 367:532-41.
5. Saleeb SF, Newburger JW, Geva T, Baird CW, Gauvreau K, Padera RF, et al. Accelerated degeneration of a bovine pericardial bioprosthetic aortic valve in children and young adults. *Circulation*. 2014;130:51-60.
6. Pearl JM, Cooper DS, Bove KE, Manning PB. Early failure of the Shelhigh pulmonary valve conduit in infants. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002;74:542-9.
7. van Rijswijk JW, et al. Failure of decellularized porcine small intestinal submucosa as a heart valved conduit. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2020;160:e201-15.

See Article page e201.

Check for updates

Commentary: “CorMatrix: If it is too good to be true, ...”

Francesco Formica, MD,^a and Tain-Yen Hsia, MD^b

The search for a perfect substitution material in reconstructive cardiovascular surgery continues. Ideally, such material will possess these properties: freedom from calcification, traction, and retraction; fully biocompatibility; resistant to infections, inflammation, and fibrosis; easy to handle; and promotes tissue remodeling and regeneration while allowing for growth. Numerous substitute materials, either biological and synthetic, have been applied in cardiac valve repair, ventricle walls, and great vessels reconstruction. Although we have extensive experience with autologous pericardium, xenopericardium, homograft, polyethylene (Dacron) and polytetrafluoroethylene (Gore-Tex) materials, none proved to be completely satisfactory. Biological



Francesco Formica, MD (left), Tain-Yen Hsia, MD (right)

CENTRAL MESSAGE

In animal studies, pulmonary valve conduit made with CorMatrix showed a high incidence of early valve failure and infection, with corresponding histologic features of inflammation and poor remodeling.

material will inevitably degenerate and calcify, and there is no growth potential in any of the synthetic options.

Since its introduction more than 20 years ago, decellularized porcine small intestinal submucosa (CorMatrix Cardiovascular, Inc, Roswell, Ga), has been tested in a variety of reconstructive cardiovascular operations. Its

From the ^aCardiac Surgery Unit, San Gerardo Hospital, Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy; and ^bPediatric Cardiac Surgery, Yale New Haven Children’s Hospital, New Haven, Conn.

Disclosures: Authors have nothing to disclose with regard to commercial support. Received for publication Nov 9, 2019; accepted for publication Nov 12, 2019; available ahead of print Nov 29, 2019.

Address for reprints: Francesco Formica, MD, Clinica Cardiocirurgica Ospedale San Gerardo, ASST Monza Via G.B. Pergolesi 33, 20052, Monza (MB), Italy (E-mail: francesco_formica@fastwebnet.it).

J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2020;160:e222-3
0022-5223/\$36.00

Copyright © 2019 by The American Association for Thoracic Surgery
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2019.11.043>

proposed ability to “repopulate” the extracellular matrix with the patient’s own cells and thus be free from inflammation and degeneration sparked much enthusiasm. However, this early excitement has dimmed as preclinical and clinical studies¹ showed conflicting early and midterm outcomes. In particular, although the use of the CorMatrix for cardiac valve reconstruction/replacement in children,²⁻⁴ in adults,⁵⁻⁷ and animals^{8,9} had consistently showed early acceptable results, the disappointing longevity due to inflammation and degenerative process seen with longer follow-up have raised an awareness that CorMatrix may be too good to be true.

In this issue of the *Journal*, van Rijswijk and colleagues¹⁰ further shed light on important histologic and biochemical behavior of the CorMatrix when used as a valved-conduit construct in the pulmonary position. The authors implanted custom-made valve conduits in the right ventricular outflow tracts in 10 sheep and 10 lambs. At 6 months postimplantation, the explanted CorMatrix valve conduits showed a high incidence of chronic inflammation without evidence of constructive remodeling. More ominously, the authors reported a high rate of pulmonary valve dysfunction and increased susceptibility to infective endocarditis, observed in 20% of the explanted valves.

Although the study has important limitations, including having only 13 surviving animals, a lack of a control group, and a relatively short follow-up, the extensive biochemical analyses and scanning electron microscopy do reveal a detailed histochemical picture of what happens to CorMatrix as a pulmonary valve replacement. Unfortunately, this picture is not one that would instill confidence. If CorMatrix valve conduits exhibit such an alarming degree of failure and degeneration in the low-pressured flow domain of the right ventricular outflow tract, one must be concerned of its performance and durability as valve substitution material in the aortic or mitral positions. Initially hailed as the next

greatest thing in substitution material, after 20 years the jury is still out on whether CorMatrix is indeed the wunderkind discovery. With additional clinical experiences and experimental investigations, we will yet understand the potentials and limitations of CorMatrix in reconstructive cardiovascular surgery. In the meantime, as the old saying goes, “If it is too good to be true....”

References

1. Mosala Nezhad Z, Poncelet A, de Kerchove L, Gianello P, Fervaille C, El Khoury G. Small intestinal submucosa extracellular matrix (CorMatrix®) in cardiovascular surgery: a systematic review. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2016; 22:839-50.
2. Quarti A, Nardone S, Colaneri M, Santoro G, Pozzi M. Preliminary experience in the use of an extracellular matrix to repair congenital heart diseases. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2011;13:569-72.
3. Zaidi AH, Nathan M, Emani S, Baird C, del Nido PJ, Gauvreau K, et al. Preliminary experience with porcine intestinal submucosa (CorMatrix) for valve reconstruction in congenital heart disease: histologic evaluation of explanted valves. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2014;148:2216-2224, 2225.e1.
4. Padalino MA, Castaldi B, Fedrigo M, Gallo M, Zucchetta F, Vida VL, et al. Porcine intestinal submucosa (CorMatrix) for semilunar valve repair in children: a word of caution after midterm results. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2016;28:436-45.
5. Tjørnild MJ, Carlson Hanse L, Skov SN, Poulsen KB, Sharghbin M, Benhassen LL, et al. Entire mitral reconstruction with porcine extracellular matrix in an acute porcine model. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. August 25, 2019 [Epub ahead of print].
6. Mosala Nezhad Z, Baldin P, Poncelet A, El Khoury G. Calcific degeneration of CorMatrix 4 years after bicuspidization of unicuspid aortic valve. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2017;104:e431-3.
7. Gerdisch MW, Shea RJ, Barron MD. Clinical experience with CorMatrix extracellular matrix in the surgical treatment of mitral valve disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2014;148:1370-8.
8. Mosala Nezhad Z, Poncelet A, de Kerchove L, Fervaille C, Banse X, Bollen X, et al. CorMatrix valved conduit in a porcine model: long-term remodelling and biomechanical characterization. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2017; 24:90-8.
9. Mewhort HE, Turnbull JD, Mejdert HC, Ngu JM, Fedak PW. Epicardial infarct repair with basic fibroblast growth factor-enhanced CorMatrix-ECM biomaterial attenuates posts ischemic cardiac remodeling. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2014; 147:1650-9.
10. Van Rijswijk JW, Talacua H, Mulder K, van Hout GPJ, Bouten CVC, Grundeman PF, et al. Failure of decellularized porcine small intestinal submucosa as a heart valved conduit. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2020;160: e201-15.