

VIRTUALLY FREE PRO- p PRODUCTS

TH. WEIGEL AND P. A. ZALESSKIĪ

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a finitely generated pro- p group G which is a virtually free pro- p product splits either as a free pro- p product with amalgamation or as a pro- p HNN-extension over a finite p -group. More precisely, G is the pro- p fundamental group of a finite graph of finitely generated pro- p groups with finite edge groups. This generalizes previous results of W. Herfort and the second author (cf. [2]).

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, J-P. Serre showed that a torsion free virtually free pro- p group must be free (cf. [7]). This motivated him to ask the question whether the same statement holds also in the discrete context. His question was answered positively some years later. In several papers (cf. [10], [11], [13]), J.R. Stallings and R.G. Swan showed that free groups are precisely the groups of cohomological dimension 1, and at the same time J-P. Serre himself showed that in a torsion free group G the cohomological dimension of a subgroup of finite index coincides with the cohomological dimension of G (cf. [8]).

One of the major tools for obtaining this type of result - the theory of ends - provided deep results also in the presence of torsion. The first result to be mentioned is ‘Stallings’ decomposition theorem’ (cf. [12]). It generalizes the previously mentioned result to virtual free products.

Theorem 1.1 (J.R. Stallings). *Let G be a finitely generated group containing a subgroup of finite index which is a non-trivial free product. Then G splits either as a free product with amalgamation or as an HNN-extension over a finite group.*

The purpose of this paper is to prove a pro- p analogue of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem A. *Let G be a finitely generated pro- p group containing an open subgroup which is a non-trivial free pro- p product. Then G splits either as a free pro- p product with amalgamation or as a pro- p HNN-extension over a finite p group.*

In the torsion free case Theorem A yields a splitting of G into a non-trivial free pro- p product.

Corollary B. *Let G be a finitely generated torsion free pro- p group which is a virtual free pro- p product. Then G is a non-trivial free pro- p product.*

In contrast to the proof of Theorem 1.1 which uses the theory of ends, the proof of Theorem A is accomplished by using purely combinatorial methods in pro- p group theory, and the description of finitely generated virtually free pro- p groups

Date: April 4, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20E18, secondary 20E05, 20E06, 20J06.

obtained by W. Herfort and the second author (cf. [2]). In fact, the techniques of pro- p groups acting on pro- p trees are used in order to obtain the following more conceptual version of Theorem A (cf. Thm. 3.6).

Theorem C. *Let G be a finitely generated pro- p group containing an open subgroup H which has a non-trivial decomposition as free product, i.e., there exists non-trivial closed subgroups $A, B \subsetneq H$ such that $H = A \amalg B$. Then G is isomorphic to the pro- p fundamental group of a finite graph of pro- p groups with finite edge stabilizers.*

Two achievements had caused dramatic advances in the combinatorial theory of groups; Bass-Serre theory of groups acting on trees and ‘Stallings’ decomposition theorem’ of groups with infinitely many ends. The results of this paper contribute to the theory of pro- p groups acting on pro- p trees. Nevertheless, the absence of a ‘Stallings’ decomposition theorem’ in the pro- p context is still overshadowing the combinatorial theory of pro- p groups.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will use the notion of graph as introduced by J-P. Serre in [9, §2.1].

2.1. Finite graphs of pro- p groups. Let Γ be a finite connected graph. A *graph of groups* \mathcal{G} based on Γ is called a *finite graph of pro- p groups*, if all vertex groups $\mathcal{G}(v)$, $v \in V(\Gamma)$, and all edge groups $\mathcal{G}(e)$, $e \in E(\Gamma)$, are pro- p groups, and if all the group monomorphisms $\alpha_e: \mathcal{G}(e) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(t(e))$ are continuous. So, if (\mathcal{G}, Γ) is an (abstract) graph of groups such that all vertex and edge groups are finitely generated pro- p groups, then by a theorem of J-P. Serre (cf. [5, §4.8]), (\mathcal{G}, Γ) is a finite graph of pro- p groups.

A finite graph of pro- p groups (\mathcal{G}, Γ) is said to be *reduced*, if for every geometric edge $\{e, \bar{e}\}$ which is not a loop neither $\alpha_e: \mathcal{G}(e) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(t(e))$ nor $\alpha_{\bar{e}}: \mathcal{G}(e) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(o(e))$ is an isomorphism. Any finite graph of pro- p groups can be transformed in a reduced finite graph of pro- p groups by the following procedure: If $\{e, \bar{e}\}$ is a geometric edge which is not a loop, we can remove $\{e, \bar{e}\}$ from the edge set of Γ , and identify $o(e)$ and $t(e)$ in a new vertex y . Let Γ' be the finite graph given by $V(\Gamma') = \{y\} \sqcup V(\Gamma) \setminus \{o(e), t(e)\}$ and $E(\Gamma') = E(\Gamma) \setminus \{e, \bar{e}\}$, and let \mathcal{G}' denote the finite graph of pro- p groups based on Γ' given by $\mathcal{G}'(y) = \mathcal{G}(o(e))$ if α_e is an isomorphism, and $\mathcal{G}'(y) = \mathcal{G}(t(e))$ if α_e is not an isomorphism. This procedure can be continued until α_e is not surjective for all edges not defining loops. The resulting finite graph of pro- p groups $(\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}, \Gamma_{\text{red}})$ is reduced.

2.2. The fundamental pro- p group of a finite graph of finitely generated pro- p groups. Let (\mathcal{G}, Γ) be a finite graph of finitely generated pro- p groups. We define the *fundamental pro- p group* $G = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$, $v_0 \in V(\Gamma)$, of (\mathcal{G}, Γ) to be the pro- p completion of the usual fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$ (cf. [9, §5.1]). In general, $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$ does not have to be residually p , but this will be the case in all of our considerations. In particular, edge and vertex groups will be subgroups of $\Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$. Since $\mathcal{G}(e)$, $\mathcal{G}(v)$ are finitely generated, by a theorem of J-P. Serre (cf. [5, §4.8]), our definition is equivalent to the original definition of the fundamental group of a graph of groups in the category of pro- p groups (cf. [14]). Note that the previously mentioned reduction process does not change the fundamental pro- p group, i.e., one has a canonical isomorphism $\Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0) \simeq \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}, \Gamma_{\text{red}}, w_0)$. So, if the pro- p group G is the fundamental group of a finite graph of pro- p groups, we may assume that the finite graph of pro- p groups is reduced.

2.3. The fundamental pro- p group of a finite graph of finite p -groups. Let (\mathcal{G}, Γ) be a finite graph of finite p -groups. By [14, Thm. 3.10], every finite subgroup of $G = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of a vertex group of (\mathcal{G}, Γ) . Hence G has only finitely many finite subgroups up to conjugation. In particular, every maximal finite subgroup of G is G -conjugate to a vertex group of (\mathcal{G}, Γ) , and the converse is true if (\mathcal{G}, Γ) is a reduced finite graph of finite p -groups.

3. VIRTUALLY FREE PRO- p PRODUCTS

3.1. Virtually free pro- p groups. A pro- p group G will be called to be a *free pro- p product* if there exist non-trivial closed subgroups A and B such that $G = A \amalg B$. Otherwise we shall say that G is \amalg -indecomposable. The following properties are well known.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $H = \amalg_{i \in I} H_i \amalg F$ be a finitely generated pro- p group with a \amalg -decomposition, where H_i are non-trivial \amalg -indecomposable pro- p -groups, and F is a free pro- p group. Then*

- (a) I is finite, and H_i , $i \in I$, and F are finitely generated.
- (b) Any finitely generated \amalg -indecomposable subgroup A of H is conjugate to a subgroup of H_i for some $i \in I$. Moreover, if $H = A \amalg B$ for some closed subgroup B of H , then A is conjugate to some H_i , $i \in I$.
- (c) $H_i \cap H_j^h = 1$ if either $i \neq j$ or $h \notin H_i$.
- (d) For $K \subseteq H_i$, $K \neq \{1\}$, one has $N_H(K) \subseteq H_i$. In particular, if H_i is finite, so is $N_H(K)$.

Proof. (a) is obvious. The first statement of (b) follows from the pro- p version of the Kurosh subgroup theorem [1, Thm. 4.4] and the second statement from [3, Thm. 4.3]. For (c) see Theorems 4.2 (a) and 4.3 (a) in [4]. In order to prove (d) take $h \in N_G(K)$. Then $K \subseteq H_i \cap H_i^h$, and, by (c), one has $h \in H_i$. \square

From Proposition 3.1 one concludes the following properties for virtual free pro- p products.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (\mathcal{G}, Γ) be a reduced finite graph of finite p -groups, and suppose that $G = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$ contains an open, normal subgroup $H = F \amalg H_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg H_s$, with H_i non-trivial finite and F free pro- p of rank r , $0 \leq r < \infty$, such that $r+s \geq 2$. Then one has the following.*

- (a) For any edge e of Γ one has $\mathcal{G}(e) \cap H = \{1\}$; in particular, $|\mathcal{G}(e)| \leq |G : H|$.
- (b) $|\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)| \leq 2(r+s) - 1$ and $|V(\Gamma)| \leq 2(r+s)$, where $V(\Gamma)$ is the set of vertices of Γ , and $\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)$ is the set of geometric edges of Γ .

Proof. Let $X = \pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$ be the abstract fundamental group of the graph of groups and $Y = X \cap H$. Hence G and H are the pro- p completions of X and Y , respectively. Moreover, $|X : Y| = |G : H|$.

(a) Suppose that $\mathcal{G}(e) \cap H \neq \{1\}$. Since H is normal in G , $N_G(\mathcal{G}(e))$ normalizes $\mathcal{G}(e) \cap H$. We claim that $N_G(\mathcal{G}(e))$ is infinite. One has to distinguish two cases: Case 1: $\{e, \bar{e}\}$ is not a loop. In this case $N_G(\mathcal{G}(e))$ contains the infinite group $\langle N_{\mathcal{G}(v)}(\mathcal{G}(e)), N_{\mathcal{G}(w)}(\mathcal{G}(e)) \rangle$, where $v = o(e)$, $w = t(e)$. Case 2: $\{e, \bar{e}\}$ is a loop. Let $v = t(e) = o(e)$, and let $z_e \in G$ be the stable letter associated with e . If $\mathcal{G}(e) = \mathcal{G}(v)$, then $N_G(\mathcal{G}(e))$ contains the infinite group $\langle z_e \rangle$. Otherwise $N_G(\mathcal{G}(e))$ contains the infinite group $\langle N_{\mathcal{G}(v)}(\mathcal{G}(e)), z_e N_{\mathcal{G}(v)}(\mathcal{G}(e)) z_e^{-1} \rangle$.

Since $|G : H| < \infty$, the fact that $N_G(\mathcal{G}(e))$ is infinite implies that $N_H(\mathcal{G}(e) \cap H) = N_G(\mathcal{G}(e) \cap H) \cap H$ is infinite as well contradicting Proposition 3.1(d). Hence one has $\mathcal{G}(e) \cap H = \{1\}$ as required.

(b) It suffices to show the first inequality. By [9, §2.6, Ex. 3], one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\chi_X &= \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}(e)|} - \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}(v)|} \\
 (3.1) \quad &= -\frac{1}{|X : Y|} \cdot \chi_Y \\
 &= \frac{1}{|X : Y|} \cdot \left(r + s - 1 - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \frac{1}{|H_i|} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where χ_X denotes the Euler characteristic of the finitely generated virtually free group X . Thus one obtains

$$(3.2) \quad r + s - 1 \geq |X : Y| \left(\sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}(e)|} - \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}(v)|} \right).$$

As (\mathcal{G}, Γ) is reduced, for every edge e in a maximal subtree T of Γ the edge group $\mathcal{G}(e)$ is isomorphic to a proper subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(t(e))$. Hence, $|\mathcal{G}(t(e))| \geq 2|\mathcal{G}(e)|$. Let $E^+(T)$ be an orientation of T such that every vertex of Γ except $v_0 \in V(\Gamma)$ is the terminus of precisely one edge of T , and let $f \in E(T)$ be an edge satisfying $t(f) = v_0$. Taking into account that $|\mathbf{E}(T)| = |V(\Gamma)| - 1$, one concludes from (a) that

$$(3.3) \quad r + s - 1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(\Gamma) \setminus \{f, \bar{f}\}} \frac{|X : Y|}{|\mathcal{G}(e)|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot (|\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)| - 1).$$

This yields the claim. \square

From Proposition 3.2 one concludes the following straightforward fact.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (\mathcal{G}, Γ) be a reduced finite graph of finite p -groups, and suppose that $G = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$ contains a free open subgroup H of rank $r \geq 2$. Then there exist finitely many reduced finite graphs of finite p -groups (\mathcal{G}', Γ') up to isomorphism such that $G \simeq \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}', \Gamma', w_0)$.*

Let $G = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$ be the pro- p fundamental group of a finite graph of finite p -groups, and let U be an open and normal subgroup of G . Then, by construction, $\tilde{U} = \text{cl}(\langle U \cap \mathcal{G}(v)^g \mid g \in G, v \in V(\Gamma) \rangle)$ is a closed normal subgroup of G . By [6, Prop. 1.10], one has a natural decomposition of G/\tilde{U} as the pro- p fundamental group $G/\tilde{U} = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}_U, \Gamma, v_0)$ of a finite graph of finite p -groups (\mathcal{G}_U, Γ) , where the vertex and edge groups satisfy $\mathcal{G}_U(x) = \mathcal{G}(x)\tilde{U}/\tilde{U}$, $x \in V(\Gamma) \sqcup E(\Gamma)$. Thus we have a morphism $\eta: (\mathcal{G}, \Gamma) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_U, \Gamma)$ of graphs of groups such that the induced homomorphism on the pro- p fundamental groups coincides with the canonical projection $\varphi_U: G \rightarrow G/\tilde{U}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $G = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma, v_0)$ be the pro- p fundamental group of a finite graph of finite p -groups, and let H be an open normal subgroup of G that decomposes as a free pro- p product $H = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} H_i \amalg F$ of finite p -groups H_i and a free pro- p group F . Let $U \subseteq H$ be an open normal subgroup of G such that $U \cap H_i \neq H_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. If (\mathcal{G}, Γ) is reduced, then (\mathcal{G}_U, Γ) is reduced.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there exists an edge e in Γ which is not a loop such that for $v = t(e)$ one has $\mathcal{G}(v)\tilde{U} = \mathcal{G}(e)\tilde{U} \subseteq G/\tilde{U}$. Then, by the second isomorphism theorem,

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{G}(v) = \mathcal{G}(e)(\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v)).$$

As (\mathcal{G}, Γ) is reduced, and thus $\mathcal{G}(e) \neq \mathcal{G}(v)$, one has $\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v) \neq \{1\}$. From Proposition 3.1(a) one deduces that $\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v)$ is contained in some H_i^g for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $g \in G$. If $N_G(\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v))$ would be infinite, so would be $N_H(\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v))$ contradicting Proposition 3.1(d). Hence $N_G(\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v))$ is finite and equal to $\mathcal{G}(v)$. In particular, for $y \in \mathcal{G}(v)$ one concludes that $H_i^{gy} \cap H_i^g \neq \{1\}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.1(c), $H_i^{gy} = H_i^g$ and thus $\mathcal{G}(v) \subseteq N_G(H_i^g)$. The maximality of $\mathcal{G}(v)$ and the finiteness of $N_G(H_i^g)$ (cf. Prop. 3.1(d)) imply that $\mathcal{G}(v) = N_G(H_i^g)$. By construction, $\mathcal{G}(e)H_i^g$ is a finite subgroup of G containing $\mathcal{G}(v)$ (cf. (3.4)). As $\mathcal{G}(v)$ is a maximal finite subgroup of G , this implies that

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{G}(e)(\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v)) = \mathcal{G}(v) = \mathcal{G}(e)H_i^g.$$

Since $\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v) \subseteq H_i^g$, and as $\mathcal{G}(e) \cap H_i^g = \{1\}$ (cf. Prop. 3.2(a)), one concludes that $\tilde{U} \cap \mathcal{G}(v) = H_i^g$. Hence $H_i \subseteq \tilde{U} \subseteq U$ contradicting the hypothesis. \square

The proof of the structure theorem for virtual free pro- p products (cf. Thm. 3.6) in the subsequent subsection is based on the following result due to W. Herfort and the second author.

Theorem 3.5. (cf. [2, Thm. 1.1]) *Let G be a finitely generated pro- p group with a free open subgroup F . Then G is the pro- p fundamental group of a finite graph of finite p -groups whose orders are bounded by $|G : F|$.*

3.2. Virtual free pro- p products. The following theorem gives a description of the structure of virtual free pro- p products.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a finitely generated pro- p group containing an open subgroup H which has a non-trivial decomposition as free product, i.e., there exists non-trivial closed subgroups $A, B \subsetneq H$ such that $H = A \amalg B$. Then G is isomorphic to the pro- p fundamental group of a finite graph of pro- p groups with finite edge stabilizers.*

Proof. By replacing H by the core of H in G and applying the Kurosh subgroup theorem for open subgroups (cf. [5, Thm. 9.1.9]), we may assume that H is normal in G . Refining the free decomposition if necessary and collecting free factors isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p we obtain a free decomposition

$$(3.6) \quad H = F \amalg H_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg H_s,$$

where F is a free subgroup of rank t , and the H_i are \amalg -indecomposable finitely generated subgroups which are not isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p (cf. Prop. 3.1(a)). By hypothesis, $s + t \geq 2$. By construction, one has for all $g \in G$ and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ that H_i^g is a free factor of H . Since H_i is indecomposable, we deduce from Proposition 3.1(b) that the indecomposable non-free subgroup H_i^g of H equals H_j^h for some $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Thus $\{H_i^g \mid g \in G, 1 \leq i \leq s\} = \{H_i^h \mid h \in H, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$.

Step 1: Let \mathcal{B} be a basis of neighbourhoods of $1_G \in G$ consisting of open normal subgroups U of G which are contained in H with $H_i \not\subseteq U$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$. For

$U \in \mathcal{B}$ put

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{U} = \text{cl}(\langle U \cap H_i^g \mid g \in G, 1 \leq i \leq s \rangle) = \text{cl}(\langle U \cap H_i^h \mid h \in H, 1 \leq i \leq s \rangle).$$

Then \tilde{U} is a closed normal subgroup of H , and

$$(3.8) \quad H/\tilde{U} = F \amalg H_1\tilde{U}/\tilde{U} \amalg \cdots \amalg H_s\tilde{U}/\tilde{U}$$

(cf. [3, Prop. 1.18]). The group G/\tilde{U} contains the open normal subgroup H/\tilde{U} which is a finitely generated, virtually free pro- p group (since U/\tilde{U} is free pro- p by Theorem 2.6 in [14]), and thus G/\tilde{U} is a finitely generated, virtually free pro- p group.

Step 2: By Theorem 3.5, G/\tilde{U} is isomorphic to the pro- p fundamental group $\Pi_1(\mathcal{G}_U, \Gamma_U, v_U)$ of a finite graph of finite p -groups. Although neither the finite graph Γ_U nor the finite graph of finite p -groups \mathcal{G}_U are uniquely determined by U (resp. \tilde{U}), the index U in the notation shall express that both these objects are depending on U . Using the procedure described in subsection 2.2 we may assume that $(\mathcal{G}_U, \Gamma_U)$ is reduced. Hence from now on we may assume that for every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ the vertex groups of $G/\tilde{U} = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}_U, \Gamma_U, v_U)$ are representatives of the G/\tilde{U} -conjugacy classes of maximal finite subgroups. Note that by Proposition 3.2(a), one has $\mathcal{G}_U(e) \cap H/\tilde{U} = 1$.

Step 3: As explained before Lemma 3.4, for $V \subseteq U$ both open and normal in G the decomposition $G/\tilde{V} = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}_V, \Gamma_V, v_V)$ gives rise to a natural decomposition of G/\tilde{U} as the fundamental group $G/\tilde{U} = \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}_{V,U}, \Gamma_V, v_V)$ of a graph of groups $(\mathcal{G}_{V,U}, \Gamma_V)$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.4, if $(\mathcal{G}_V, \Gamma_V)$ is reduced, then $(\mathcal{G}_{V,U}, \Gamma_V)$ is reduced. Thus in this case one has a morphism $\eta: (\mathcal{G}_V, \Gamma_V) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_{V,U}, \Gamma_V)$ of reduced graph of groups such that the induced homomorphism on the pro- p fundamental groups coincides with the canonical projection $\varphi_{UV}: G/\tilde{V} \rightarrow G/\tilde{U}$.

Step 4: By Proposition 3.2, the number $|V(\Gamma_U)| + |\mathbf{E}(\Gamma_U)|$ is bounded by $4(r+s)-1$. So we have only finitely many graphs Γ_U up to isomorphism, when U runs. It follows that there is a finite graph Γ such that Γ_U is isomorphic to Γ for infinitely many U 's. Therefore, by passing to a cofinal system \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{B} if necessary, we may assume that $\Gamma_U = \Gamma$ for each $U \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, by Corollary 3.3, the number of isomorphism classes of finite reduced graphs of finite p -groups (\mathcal{G}'_U, Γ) which are based on Γ satisfying $G/\tilde{U} \simeq \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}'_U, \Gamma, v_0)$ is finite. Suppose that Ω_U is a set containing a copy of every such isomorphism class. For $V \in \mathcal{C}$, $V \subseteq U$, one has a map $\omega_{V,U}: \Omega_V \rightarrow \Omega_U$ (cf. Step 3). Hence $\Omega = \varprojlim_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \Omega_U$ is non-empty. Let $(\mathcal{G}'_U, \Gamma)_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \in \Omega$. Then (\mathcal{G}', Γ) given by $\mathcal{G}'(x) = \varprojlim_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{G}'_U(x)$ if x is either a vertex or an edge of Γ , is a reduced finite graph of finitely generated pro- p groups satisfying $G \simeq \Pi_1(\mathcal{G}', \Gamma, v_0)$. By Proposition 3.2(a), $\mathcal{G}'(e)$ is finite for every edge e of Γ . This yields the claim. \square

Proof of Theorem A. By Theorem C, G is the fundamental pro- p group of a finite graph of pro- p groups (\mathcal{G}, Γ) . Let e be an edge of Γ . If by removal of an edge e the graph Γ becomes disconnected, G splits as a free amalgamated pro- p product over the edge group G_e . Otherwise it splits as a pro- p HNN-extension over G_e .

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Herfort and L. Ribes, *Subgroups of free pro- p -products*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **101** (1987), no. 2, 197–206. MR 870590 (87m:20083)

- [2] W. Herfort and P. A. Zalesskii, *Virtually free pro- p groups*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **118** (2013), 193–211. MR 3150249
- [3] O. V. Mel'nikov, *Subgroups and the homology of free products of profinite groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), no. 1, 97–120. MR 992980 (91b:20033)
- [4] L. Ribes and P. A. Zalesskii, *Pro- p trees and applications*, New horizons in pro- p groups, Progr. Math., vol. 184, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 75–119. MR 1765118 (2001f:20057)
- [5] ———, *Profinite groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 40, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. MR 1775104 (2001k:20060)
- [6] L. Ribes and P. A. Zalesskii, *Normalizers in groups and their profinite completion*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **30** (2014), no. 1, 167–192.
- [7] J.-P. Serre, *Sur la dimension cohomologique des groupes profinis*, Topology **3** (1965), 413–420. MR 0180619 (31 #4853)
- [8] ———, *Cohomologie des groupes discrets*, Prospects in mathematics (Proc. Sympos., Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1970), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971, pp. 77–169. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 70. MR 0385006 (52 #5876)
- [9] ———, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980, Translated from the French by John Stillwell. MR 607504 (82c:20083)
- [10] J. R. Stallings, *Groups of dimension 1 are locally free*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 361–364. MR 0223439 (36 #6487)
- [11] ———, *On torsion-free groups with infinitely many ends*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 312–334.
- [12] ———, *Group theory and three-dimensional manifolds*, Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn.-London, 1971, A James K. Whittemore Lecture in Mathematics given at Yale University, 1969, Yale Mathematical Monographs, 4. MR 0415622 (54 #3705)
- [13] R. G. Swan, *Groups of cohomological dimension one*, J. Algebra **12** (1969), 585–610. MR 0240177 (39 #1531)
- [14] P. A. Zalesskii and O. V. Mel'nikov, *Subgroups of profinite groups acting on trees*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **135(177)** (1988), no. 4, 419–439, 559. MR 942131 (90f:20041)

TH. WEIGEL, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO-BICOCCA, U5-3067, VIA R.COZZI, 55, 20125 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: thomas.weigel@unimib.it

P. A. ZALESSKIĪ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRASILIA, 70.910 BRASILIA DF, BRAZIL

E-mail address: pz@mat.unb.br