



IMPACT  
FACTOR  
4.000

# European Journal of Inflammation

## Supplement



Official Journal of the Italian Society  
of Oral Pathology and Medicine



Lorenzo Costa - "The Fall of Man"



Published by  
Biolife

[www.biolifesas.org](http://www.biolifesas.org)

## IMMEDIATELY LOADED SMALL-DIAMETER DENTAL IMPLANTS: EVALUATION OF RETENTION, STABILITY AND COMFORT FOR THE EDENTULOUS PATIENT

A. SCARANO<sup>1</sup>, G. MURMURA<sup>1</sup>,  
F. CARINCI<sup>2</sup>, D. LAURITANO<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral Science, Nano and Biotechnology University of Chieti-Pescara

<sup>2</sup>Department of D.M.C.C.C., Section of Maxillofacial and Plastic Surgery, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosciences and Biomedical Technologies, Dental Clinic,  
University Milano Bicocca, Milan, Italy

Studies have shown that mandibular implant overdentures significantly increase satisfaction and quality of life of edentulous elders. Improved chewing ability appears to have a positive impact on nutritional state. Forty edentulous subjects received four permucosal mini-implants for overdentures in the inter-foramina region of the mandible. Almost all participants were still satisfied with their overdentures. Participant satisfaction was concerning retention and stability of the mandibular overdenture. A micro invasive technique was adopted, without open flap and performed in one surgical step; this technique can be used also in the so-called "high-risk" patients (anticoagulant therapy, diabetes, etc.) the advent of mini implants is in many cases a goods clinical alternative to the use of larger diameter implants, in that they enable to reduce surgical time, bleeding, post operative comfort and healing time. The results suggest that a mandibular overdenture retained by 4 mini implants may be the best treatment strategy for edentulous people with atrophic ridges.

Patients who are edentulous in the lower jaw and have to wear lower denture would usually complain of the poor retention. The retention is directly related to the vertical and torsion forces received, in other words, denture resistance against separation force from its sit. Denture stability is believed widely to be related to resistance against other forces like oblique and anterior-posterior forces. The patient's satisfaction is directly influenced by the amount of denture retention as it has been shown through several studies (1, 2). The introduction of dental implants has improved the quality of life for edentulous patients. The implant overdenture evolved from the fixed tissue-integrated prosthesis as a treatment alternative for the edentulous patient (3). The implant-supported overdenture may be the treatment of choice when there are unfavourable ridge relations, an inadequate number of implants, poor implant distribution or alignment, a desire for easy removal to provide abutment and/or prosthesis hygiene, or financial limitations that may prevent the

use of fixed implant prosthesis (4). It may also be a practical form of treatment for "satisfied" denture wearers who desire additional stability for their prostheses (5). A conventional complete mandibular denture is less favourable than a complete maxillary denture in terms of retention. Maintenance of the 2 attachment types is controversial. Some studies suggest that a bar attachment requires less maintenance (6, 7) whereas others suggest the opposite (8). Additionally, proper hygiene around the bar is more difficult than for individual attachments. The aim of this study is to describe the procedures for treatment planning a mandibular overdenture for optimal position of implants, and to emphasize the importance of a micro surgery in patient elderly.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS


The main criterion in subject selection was the presence of complete edentulism condition, untreated or previously treated,

*Key Words: Immediate loading, mini implant, overdenture*


*Corresponding author:* Prof. Francesco Carinci, M.D  
Department of D.M.C.C.C.  
Section of Maxillofacial and Plastic Surgery  
University of Ferrara  
Corso Giovecca 203 44100 Ferrara Italy  
E-mail: [crc@unife.it](mailto:crc@unife.it) Web: [www.carinci.org](http://www.carinci.org)  
Phone: +39.0532.455874 Fax: +39.0532.455876




**Fig. 1.** *Initiation of drill hole through the cortical plate.*



**Fig. 2.** *Implants were situated in a favorable position for implant-retained overdenture.*



**Fig. 3.** *The prosthesis after the O-Rings are placed.*



**Fig. 4.** *Postoperative view of mini-dental Implants.*

but with an unsatisfactory perception concerning the denture. In the sample were included only the patients who accepted as treatment solution the overdenture anchored on mini implants. After the sample establishment it followed a phase in which patients were informed and a written consent was obtained. Mini Implants Ball (MIB) (Mini Implant Ball, Anthogyr Sallanches Francia), with a standard diameter of 2,6 mm, and a length ranging from 10 to 13 mm with collar and O-ring as anchoring system were used in this study. These mini-implant are solid one-piece implants placed in a single-stage procedure using two guiding drill. Patients received either local anesthesia or intravenous sedation with local anesthesia. A hand drill with a 0,8 mm round bur under copious irrigation was used to initiate 1mm starter holes (Fig 1-2). A drill was then used with a standard 1.1-mm diameter titanium drill to initiate a hole through the superior cortical plate (Fig 2). Implants were inserted in tooth positions 32, 34, 42, and 44 using a handheld finger driver followed by a ratchet (Fig. 2-4). Implants were deemed successfully placed when sufficient resistance was met at approximately 30-40 Ncm. Forty consecutive patients received 4 MIB each between the mental foramen of the mandible from July 2006, to October, 2010. Questionnaires were sent to all 40 patients with a total of 38 patients responding. Patients received the questionnaire an average of 5 months postoperative. The questionnaires had 4 areas of evaluation: comfort, retention, chewing ability, and speaking ability. The patients ranked each area from 1 to 10, with 1 being poor and 10 being excellent. Patients compared denture wear before having MIB with satisfaction after MIB placement.

## RESULTS

A total of 38 patients were included in this study. The patients' ages ranged from 60 to 92 years, with a mean of 69 years. A total of 152 MIB were placed in four years and 146 remain stable for a 97.4% implant success rate. The category with the greatest improvement is retention. Preoperatively patients rated their retention at  $2.2 \pm 0.42$ , and postoperatively at  $8.6 \pm 0.27$ , for a difference of 6.4. Comfort was the next greatest improvement, with a preoperative rating of  $3.2 \pm 0.63$  and a postoperative rating of  $9.2 \pm 0.45$ , for a difference of 6. Chewing ability also improved, with a difference of 8.0. In the final category of speaking ability, the preoperative to postoperative difference was 4.2. Patients were satisfied with their retention, comfort, and chewing ability, as the average postoperative satisfaction scores were 8.6, 8.4, and 8.3 respectively.

Subjective measures of patient approval with MIB show highly statistically significant levels of satisfaction in patient comfort, retention, chewing ability, and speaking ability (Figs. 1-4).

## DISCUSSION

The need for correcting the patient's problems with

faulty denture is an inevitable consequence of retention failure and residual ridge resorption (9). Several different strategies have been introduced to overcome the problem, one of which is the use of dental implants. Implant prostheses are often used to restore partially or completely edentulous patients, but limited bone height and thickness, may restrict the use of dental implants. Small-diameter implants (i.e. length  $\leq 2.6$  mm) may be selected in these situations (10).

They have several advantages: (1) it is possible to reduce the need for sophisticated and expensive surgical procedures, (2) it is possible to place small-diameter dentures and (3) it is possible reduced bleeding, decreased postoperative discomfort, shortened healing time, placement into narrow ridges, and immediate loading (11). However, the limited surface area of small-diameter implants can be a potential disadvantage as it has less resistance to occlusal forces. Balkin et al. (12) reported that histologically the bone appeared to be integrated to the surface of the mini-implant immediately loaded at the light microscopic level, and the bone appeared to be relatively mature and healthy. Using mini-implants supported overdenture as a definitive method for treating the edentulous is relatively recent and this is why there are not so many articles on the topic. This therapeutic alternative is seen by a large group of authors as indicated especially for the mandibular complete edentulism (13, 14).

Mini-dental implant supported overdenture can be in complete edentulous patients a treatment alternative both conventional dentures and conventional implant retained overdenture (15, 16). The advantages of this type of treatment derives from the characteristics of this type of implant (small diameter, variable length, O-ring retention system), which adapts better to the particular morphological conditions present in full edentulous patients (17).

Also, the implants insertion requires less surgical trauma, this being a beneficial aspect in the context of usually poor general status. This type of treatment has a lower cost compared to conventional implant supported overdenture, by the lower cost of mini-implants, and also due to the use, in general, just of the panoramic radiography as imagistic method (computed tomography is an adjuvant method, but not essential in most cases). Also by eliminating some surgical intervention, we eliminate also their costs. Surgical technique may be performed without incision of the soft tissue. The last one is preferred when possible, due to the smaller surgical trauma. This may represent an important benefit of this technique, if we consider the usually poor general status of these patients. On the other hand, the benefits related to an improved stability, better functionality and adaptation

are quickly noticed by the patient and increases their level of satisfaction. In conclusion, small-diameter implants can be a solution in cases of limited bone thickness or in patient elderly.

#### REFERENCES

1. Burns DR, Unger JW, Elswick RK, Jr., Beck DA. Prospective clinical evaluation of mandibular implant overdentures: Part I--Retention, stability, and tissue response. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry* 1995;73:354-363.
2. Naert I, Quiryen M, Theuniers G, van Steenberghe D. Prosthetic aspects of osseointegrated fixtures supporting overdentures. A 4-year report. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry* 1991;73:354-363.
3. Sadowsky SJ. Treatment considerations for maxillary implant overdentures: a systematic review. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry* 2007;97:340-348.
4. DeBoer J. Edentulous implants: overdenture versus fixed. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry* 1993;69:386-390.
5. Zarb GA, Jansson T, Jemt T. Other prosthodontic applications. In: Brinemark PI, Zarb GA, Albrektsson T (eds). *Tissue-integrated prostheses*. Chicago: Quintessence Pub Co, 1985:283-287.
6. van Kampen F, Cune M, van der Bilt A, Bosman F. Retention and postinsertion maintenance of bar-clip, ball and magnet attachments in mandibular implant overdenture treatment: an in vivo comparison after 3 months of function. *Clinical oral implants research* 2003;14:720-726.
7. MacEntee MI, Walton JN, Glick N. A clinical trial of patient satisfaction and prosthodontic needs with ball and bar attachments for implant-retained complete overdentures: three-year results. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry* 2005;93:28-37.
8. Naert I, Alsaadi G, Quiryen M. Prosthetic aspects and patient satisfaction with two-implant-retained mandibular overdentures: a 10-year randomized clinical study. *The International journal of prosthodontics* 2004;17:401-410.
9. Hicky JC, Zarb GA, Bolender CI. *Boucher's prosthodontic treatment for edentulous patients*. St. Louis: Mosby, 1997.
10. Jofre J, Hamada T, Nishimura M, Klattenhoff C. The effect of maximum bite force on marginal bone loss of mini-implants supporting a mandibular overdenture: a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical oral implants research*;21:243-249.
11. Campelo LD, Camara JR. Flapless implant surgery: a 10-year clinical retrospective analysis. *The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants* 2002;17:271-276.
12. Balkin BE, Steflik DE, Naval F. Mini-dental implant insertion with the auto-advance technique for ongoing applications. *The Journal of oral implantology* 2001;27:32-37.
13. Morneburg TR, Proschel PA. Success rates of microimplants in edentulous patients with residual ridge resorption. *The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants* 2008;23:270-276.
14. LaBarre EE, Ahlstrom RH, Noble WH. Narrow diameter implants for mandibular denture retention. *Journal of the California Dental Association* 2008;36:283-286.
15. Preoteasa E, Bancescu G, Lonescu E, Bancescu A, Donciu D. [Epidemiologic aspects of the totally edentulous mouth. (1) General aspects]. *Bacteriol Virusol Parazitol Epidemiol* 2004;49:115-120.
16. Preoteasa E, Melescanu-Imre M, Preoteasa CT, Marin M, Lerner H. Aspects of oral morphology as decision factors in mini-implant supported overdenture. *Romanian journal of morphology and embryology = Revue roumaine de morphologie et embryologie*;51:309-314.
17. Jofre J, Cendoya P, Munoz P. Effect of splinting mini-implants on marginal bone loss: a biomechanical model and clinical randomized study with mandibular overdentures. *The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants*;25:1137-1144.



### Volume 10 No. 1 (S2), January - April, 2012 - CONTENTS

✓ G. Brunelli, F. Carinci, I. Zollino, V. Candotto, A. Scarano, D. Lauritano. Peri-Implantitis: A case report and literature review.....	1
✓ G. Brunelli, F. Carinci, I. Zollino, V. Candotto, A. Scarano, D. Lauritano. SEM evaluation of 10 infected implants retrieved from man.....	7
✓ A. Scarano, B. Palmieri, G.L. Bertuzzi, A. Di Cristinzi, F. Carinci, D. Lauritano. Perioral rejuvenation and lip augmentation with hyaluronic acid.....	13
✓ A. Scarano, G. Murmura, F. Carinci, D. Lauritano. Immediately loaded small-diameter dental implants: evaluation of retention, stability and comfort for the edentulous patient.....	19
✓ A. Scarano, D. D'Andria, G. Fippi, F. Di Carlo, F. Carinci, D. Lauritano. Treatment of perioral rhytides with voltaic air dermoabrasion.....	25
✓ A. Scarano, G. Iezzi, A. Di Cristinzi, G.L. Bertuzzi, F. Carinci, D. Lauritano. Full-facial rejuvenation with autologous platelet-derived growth factors.....	31
✓ S. Fanali, F. Carinci, I. Zollino, C. Brugnati, D. Lauritano. One-piece implants installed in restored mandible: a retrospective study.....	37
✓ A. Scarano, G. Murmura, L. Artese, F. Carinci, D. Lauritano. Schwannoma of the posterior tongue in a thirteen-year old child.	43
✓ A. Scarano, B. Sinjari, D. Di Iorio, G. Murmura, F. Carinci, D. Lauritano. Surface analysis of failed oral titanium implants after irradiated with ErCR:YSGG 2780 laser.....	49
✓ S. Fanali, F. Carinci, I. Zollino, C. Brugnati, D. Lauritano. A Retrospective study on 83 one-piece implants installed in resorbed maxillae.....	55
✓ A. Scarano, A. Quaranta, B. Feragalli, A. Di Cristinzi, F. Carinci, D. Lauritano. Haemostasis control in dental extractions with calcium sulphate: a case series.....	59
✓ V. Sollazzo, F. Carinci, D. Lauritano. The biophysical stimulation of osteogenesis: A Review.....	65
✓ C. Riberti, F. Carinci, I. Pezzini, L. Moretti, M. Candiani, V. Loconte, I. Zollino, C. Bleve, A. Franchella, G. Brunelli. Versajet hydroscajel: a new surgical approach for the treatment of giant congenital melanocytic nevus in the first month of life...	71
✓ A. Franchella, S. Pellegrinelli, F. Carinci, I. Zollino, G. Carnevali, V. Candotto, S. Franchella, G. Denotti, V. Piras, G. Brunelli. Thyroglossal duct cysts: A retrospective study.....	75
✓ A. Franchella, S. Pellegrinelli, F. Carinci, I. Zollino, G. Carnevali, V. Candotto, S. Franchella, G. Denotti, V. Piras, G. Brunelli. Infantile hemangiomas of the face: A case series evaluation.....	79
✓ A. Franchella, S. Pellegrinelli, F. Carinci, I. Zollino, G. Carnevali, V. Candotto, S. Franchella, G. Denotti, V. Piras, G. Brunelli. Head and neck pyogenic granulomas in children.....	83
✓ A. Franchella, S. Pellegrinelli, F. Carinci, I. Zollino, G. Carnevali, V. Candotto, S. Franchella, G. Denotti, V. Piras, G. Brunelli. Dermoid cysts: a case series of pediatric patients.....	87
✓ F. Carinci, A. Girardi, A. Palmieri, M. Martinelli, L. Scapoli, A. Avantaggiato, G.M. Nardi, D. Lauritano. Lab-test 1: peri-implantitis and bacteriological test.....	91
✓ F. Carinci, A. Girardi, A. Palmieri, M. Martinelli, L. Scapoli, A. Avantaggiato, G.M. Nardi, D. Lauritano. Lab-test 2: microflora and periodontal disease.....	95
✓ F. Carinci, A. Girardi, A. Palmieri, M. Martinelli, L. Scapoli, A. Avantaggiato, G.M. Nardi, D. Lauritano. Lab-test 3: genetic susceptibility in periodontal disease.....	99