



On the behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations near an isolated singularity of the electromagnetic potential

Veronica Felli

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni University of Milano-Bicocca veronica.felli@unimib.it

joint work with Alberto Ferrero and Susanna Terracini

Schrödinger operators with singular potentials

In quantum mechanics, the hamiltonian of a non-relativistic charged particle in an **electromagnetic** field has the form

$$(-i\nabla + \mathcal{A})^2 + V$$

$$\mathcal{A} \colon \mathbb{R}^N o \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$V: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$$

magnetic potential associated to the magnetic field $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{A}$. electric potential. Schrödinger operators with singular potentials

In quantum mechanics, the hamiltonian of a non-relativistic charged particle in an **electromagnetic** field has the form

$$(-i\nabla + \mathcal{A})^2 + V$$

 $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ magnetic potential associated to
the magnetic field $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{A}$. $V: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ electric potential.

For $N \ge 2$, we consider singular homogeneous electromagnetic potentials which make the operator *invariant* by scaling

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \frac{\mathbf{A}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|} \quad \text{and} \quad V(x) = -\frac{a\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2} \\ \mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \mathbb{R}^N) \quad a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \mathbb{R})$$

"6th European Conference on Elliptic and Parabolic Problems", Gaeta, May 25, 2009 - p. 2

Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potentials are associated to thin solenoids: if the radius of the solenoid tends to zero while the flux through it remains constant, then the particle is subject to a δ -type magnetic field, which is called Aharonov-Bohm field. An associated vector potential in \mathbb{R}^2 is

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1, x_2) = \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2} \right), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with α = circulation of \mathcal{A} around the solenoid.

Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potentials are associated to thin solenoids: if the radius of the solenoid tends to zero while the flux through it remains constant, then the particle is subject to a δ -type magnetic field, which is called Aharonov-Bohm field. An associated vector potential in \mathbb{R}^2 is

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1, x_2) = \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2} \right), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with α = circulation of \mathcal{A} around the solenoid.

Aharonov-Bohm vector potentials are

• singular at 0,

Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potentials are associated to thin solenoids: if the radius of the solenoid tends to zero while the flux through it remains constant, then the particle is subject to a δ -type magnetic field, which is called Aharonov-Bohm field. An associated vector potential in \mathbb{R}^2 is

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1, x_2) = \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2} \right), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with α = circulation of \mathcal{A} around the solenoid.

Aharonov-Bohm vector potentials are

- singular at 0,
- homogeneous of degree -1

Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potentials are associated to thin solenoids: if the radius of the solenoid tends to zero while the flux through it remains constant, then the particle is subject to a δ -type magnetic field, which is called Aharonov-Bohm field. An associated vector potential in \mathbb{R}^2 is

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1, x_2) = \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2} \right), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with α = circulation of \mathcal{A} around the solenoid.

Aharonov-Bohm vector potentials are

- singular at 0,
- homogeneous of degree -1
- transversal, i.e.

$$\mathbf{A}(\theta) \cdot \theta = 0$$
 for all $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ (TC)

Singular homogeneous electric potentials

which scale as the laplacian arise in nonrelativistic molecular physics, see [J. M. Lévy-Leblond, Phys. Rev. (1967)]. The potential describing the interaction between an electric charge and the dipole moment $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ of a molecule has the form

$$V(x) = -rac{\lambda \left(x \cdot \mathbf{d}
ight)}{|x|^3}$$
 in \mathbb{R}^N ,

where $\lambda \propto \text{magnitude}$ of the dipole moment **D** $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{D}/|\mathbf{D}| = \text{orientation of } \mathbf{D}.$

Singular homogeneous electric potentials

which scale as the laplacian arise in nonrelativistic molecular physics, see [J. M. Lévy-Leblond, Phys. Rev. (1967)]. The potential describing the interaction between an electric charge and the dipole moment $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ of a molecule has the form

$$V(x) = -rac{\lambda \left(x \cdot \mathbf{d}
ight)}{|x|^3}$$
 in \mathbb{R}^N ,

where $\lambda \propto \text{magnitude}$ of the dipole moment **D** $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{D}/|\mathbf{D}| = \text{orientation of } \mathbf{D}.$

Schrödinger operators with dipole-type potentials $\frac{a(x/|x|)}{|x|^2}$ are studied in [Terracini, Adv. Diff. Equations (1996)] [F.-Marchini-Terracini, Discr. Contin. Dyn. Syst. (2008)] [F.-Marchini-Terracini, Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear]

Problem:

describe the asymptotic behavior at the singularity of solutions to equations associated to Schrödinger operators with singular electromagnetic potentials of type

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} := \left(-i \nabla + \frac{\mathbf{A}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|} \right)^2 - \frac{a\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2}$$

in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ containing either the origin or a neighborhood of ∞ .

Problem:

describe the asymptotic behavior at the singularity of solutions to equations associated to Schrödinger operators with singular electromagnetic potentials of type

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} := \left(-i\,\nabla + \frac{\mathbf{A}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|}\right)^2 - \frac{a\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2}$$

in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ containing either the origin or a neighborhood of ∞ .

• Linear perturbation of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = h(x)u$$

with $h \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ negligible with respect to $\frac{1}{|x|^2}$ near the singularity

Problem:

describe the asymptotic behavior at the singularity of solutions to equations associated to Schrödinger operators with singular electromagnetic potentials of type

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} := \left(-i \nabla + \frac{\mathbf{A}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|} \right)^2 - \frac{a\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^2}$$

in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ containing either the origin or a neighborhood of ∞ .

• Linear perturbation of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = h(x)u$$

with $h \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ negligible with respect to $\frac{1}{|x|^2}$ near the singularity

Semilinear equations of type

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = f(x,u(x))$$

with f having at most critical growth.

"6th European Conference on Elliptic and Parabolic Problems", Gaeta, May 25, 2009 – p. 5

Regularity properties of solutions to Schrödinger equations with less singular magnetic and electric potentials:

[Kurata, Math. Z., (1997)]: $N \ge 3$, local boundedness (and continuity) if the electric potential and the square of the magnetic one belong to the Kato class.

Regularity properties of solutions to Schrödinger equations with less singular magnetic and electric potentials:

[Kurata, Math. Z., (1997)]: $N \ge 3$, local boundedness (and continuity) if the electric potential and the square of the magnetic one belong to the Kato class.

[Kurata, Proc. AMS, 125 (1997)]: $N \ge 3$, unique continuation (Kato class).

Regularity properties of solutions to Schrödinger equations with less singular magnetic and electric potentials:

[Kurata, Math. Z., (1997)]: $N \ge 3$, local boundedness (and continuity) if the electric potential and the square of the magnetic one belong to the Kato class.

[Kurata, Proc. AMS, 125 (1997)]: $N \ge 3$, unique continuation (Kato class).

[Chabrowski-Szulkin, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., (2005)]: boundedness and decay at ∞ of solutions for $N \ge 3$, L^2_{loc} magnetic potentials, and electric potentials with $L^{N/2}$ negative part.

Behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations with singular inverse square electric potentials for A = 0 (i.e. no magnetic vector potential):

[F.-Schneider, Adv. Nonl. Studies (2003)] : Hölder continuity results for degenerate elliptic equations with singular weights; include asymptotics of solutions near the pole for potentials $\frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}$.

Behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations with singular inverse square electric potentials for A = 0 (i.e. no magnetic vector potential):

[F.-Schneider, Adv. Nonl. Studies (2003)] : Hölder continuity results for degenerate elliptic equations with singular weights; include asymptotics of solutions near the pole for potentials $\frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}$.

[F.-Marchini-Terracini, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems (2008)]: exact asymptotics of solutions near the pole for anisotropic inverse-square singular potentials $\frac{a(x/|x|)}{|x|^2}$, through separation of variables and comparison methods.

Behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations with singular inverse square electric potentials for A = 0 (i.e. no magnetic vector potential):

[F.-Schneider, Adv. Nonl. Studies (2003)] : Hölder continuity results for degenerate elliptic equations with singular weights; include asymptotics of solutions near the pole for potentials $\frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}$.

[F.-Marchini-Terracini, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems (2008)]: exact asymptotics of solutions near the pole for anisotropic inverse-square singular potentials $\frac{a(x/|x|)}{|x|^2}$, through separation of variables and comparison methods.

[Pinchover, Ann. IHP Anal. Nonlinaire (1994)]: existence of the limit at the singularity of any quotient of two positive solutions in some linear and semilinear cases.

Comparison and maximum principles play a crucial role both in [F.-Marchini-Terracini (2008)] and [Pinchover (1994)].

In the presence of a singular magnetic potential, comparison methods are no more available, preventing us from a direct extension of the aforementioned results.

We overcome this difficulty by a Almgren type monotonicity formula and blow-up methods.

The angular operator

We aim to describe the rate and the shape of the singularity of solutions, by relating them to the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of a Schrödinger operator on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} corresponding to the angular part of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$:

$$L_{\mathbf{A},a} := \left(-i\,\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} + \mathbf{A}\right)^2 - a$$

The angular operator

We aim to describe the rate and the shape of the singularity of solutions, by relating them to the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of a Schrödinger operator on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} corresponding to the angular part of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$:

$$L_{\mathbf{A},a} := \left(-i\,\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} + \mathbf{A}\right)^2 - a$$

For $a \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \mathbb{R}^N)$, the operator $L_{\mathbf{A},a}$ on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} admits a diverging sequence of real eigenvalues

$$\mu_1(\mathbf{A}, a) \leqslant \mu_2(\mathbf{A}, a) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \mu_k(\mathbf{A}, a) \leqslant \cdots$$

The angular operator

We aim to describe the rate and the shape of the singularity of solutions, by relating them to the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of a Schrödinger operator on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} corresponding to the angular part of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$:

$$L_{\mathbf{A},a} := \left(-i\,\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} + \mathbf{A}\right)^2 - a$$

For $a \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{A} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \mathbb{R}^{N})$, the operator $L_{\mathbf{A},a}$ on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} admits a diverging sequence of real eigenvalues

$$\mu_1(\mathbf{A}, a) \leqslant \mu_2(\mathbf{A}, a) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \mu_k(\mathbf{A}, a) \leqslant \cdots$$

Positivity of the quadratic form associated to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}$ is ensured by

$$\mu_1(\mathbf{A},a) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 \qquad \text{(PD)}$$

"6th European Conference on Elliptic and Parabolic Problems", Gaeta, May 25, 2009 – p. 9

 $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}) := \text{completion of } C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},\mathbb{C}) \text{ with respect to}$

$$||u||_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_{*}(\mathbb{R}^{N},\mathbb{C})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left(\left|\nabla u(x)\right|^{2} + \frac{|u(x)|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

 $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}) := \text{completion of } C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},\mathbb{C}) \text{ with respect to}$

$$||u||_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\left|\nabla u(x)\right|^2 + \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2}\right) dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},\mathbb{C}) : \frac{u}{|x|}, \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}^N) \right\}.$$

 $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}) := \text{completion of } C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},\mathbb{C}) \text{ with respect to}$

$$||u||_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_{*}(\mathbb{R}^{N},\mathbb{C})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left(\left|\nabla u(x)\right|^{2} + \frac{|u(x)|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},\mathbb{C}) : \frac{u}{|x|}, \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}^N) \right\}.$$

Under assumptions (TC) and (PD), $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{D}^{1,2}_{\mathbf{A},a}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_{\mathbf{A},a}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the completion of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_{\mathbf{A},a}(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\left| \left(\nabla + i \, \frac{\mathbf{A}(x/|x|)}{|x|} \right) u(x) \right|^2 - \frac{a(x/|x|)}{|x|^2} |u(x)|^2 \right] dx \right)^{1/2}$$

Moreover the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_{\mathbf{A},a}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ are equivalent.

 $N \geqslant 3$

Hardy's inequality

$$\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \, dx \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx$$

"6th European Conference on Elliptic and Parabolic Problems", Gaeta, May 25, 2009 - p. 11

$$N \ge 3$$
Hardy's inequality
$$\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \overline{C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}$$

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}$$

and the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ are equivalent.

The functional setting If N = 2, (TC) holds (i.e. $\mathbf{A}(\theta) \cdot \theta = 0$), and

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{A}} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \alpha(t) \, dt \notin \mathbb{Z} \qquad \text{(ND)}$$

where $\alpha(t) := \mathbf{A}(\cos t, \sin t) \cdot (-\sin t, \cos t)$

The functional setting If N = 2, (TC) holds (i.e. $A(\theta) \cdot \theta = 0$), and

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{A}} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \alpha(t) \, dt \not\in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \text{(ND)}$$

where $\alpha(t) := \mathbf{A}(\cos t, \sin t) \cdot (-\sin t, \cos t)$

↓ [Laptev-Weidl (1999)]

$$C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C}) \text{ functions satisfy the following Hardy inequality:}$$

$$\left(\min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k - \Phi_{\mathbf{A}}|\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{|u(x)|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} dx \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left|\nabla u(x) + i \frac{\mathbf{A}(x/|x|)}{|x|} u(x)\right|^{2} dx$$
optimal

"6th European Conference on Elliptic and Parabolic Problems", Gaeta, May 25, 2009 - p. 12

The functional setting If N = 2, (TC) holds (i.e. $A(\theta) \cdot \theta = 0$), and

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{A}} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \alpha(t) \, dt \not\in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \text{(ND)}$$

where $\alpha(t) := \mathbf{A}(\cos t, \sin t) \cdot (-\sin t, \cos t)$

↓ [Laptev-Weidl (1999)]

$$C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C}) \text{ functions satisfy the following Hardy inequality:}$$

$$\left(\min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k - \Phi_{\mathbf{A}}|\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{|u(x)|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} dx \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left|\nabla u(x) + i \frac{\mathbf{A}(x/|x|)}{|x|} u(x)\right|^{2} dx$$
optimal

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_*(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{D}^{1,2}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \text{ completion w.r.t. } \left\| \nabla u + i \frac{\mathbf{A}(x/|x|)}{|x|} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{C})}$$

"6th European Conference on Elliptic and Parabolic Problems", Gaeta, May 25, 2009 – p. 12

1

In an open bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $0 \in \Omega$, let

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1}_{*}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) &= \text{ completion of } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in H^{1}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) :\\ u \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood of } 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ w.r.t.} \\ &\|u\|_{H^{1}_{*}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})} = \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}^{N})}^{2} + \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})}^{2} + \left\|\frac{u}{|x|}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})}^{2} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In an open bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $0 \in \Omega$, let

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1}_{*}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) &= \text{ completion of } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in H^{1}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) :\\ u \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood of } 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ w.r.t.} \\ \|u\|_{H^{1}_{*}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})} &= \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}^{N})}^{2} + \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})}^{2} + \left\|\frac{u}{|x|}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})}^{2} \right)^{1/2}. \\ \\ H^{1}_{*}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) &= \left\{ u \in H^{1}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) : \frac{u}{|x|} \in L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

In an open bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $0 \in \Omega$, let

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1}_{*}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) &= \text{ completion of } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in H^{1}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) :\\ u \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood of } 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ w.r.t.} \\ \|u\|_{H^{1}_{*}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})} &= \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}^{N})}^{2} + \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})}^{2} + \left\|\frac{u}{|x|}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})}^{2} \right)^{1/2} . \\ \\ H^{1}_{*}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) &= \left\{ u \in H^{1}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) : \frac{u}{|x|} \in L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

• If $N \ge 3$, $H^1_*(\Omega) = H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and their norms are equivalent.

• If N = 2, $H^1_*(\Omega)$ is strictly smaller than $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$.

The Almgren frequency function

Studying regularity of area-minimizing surfaces of codimension \ge 1, in 1979 Almgren introduced the *frequency function*

$$N(r) = \frac{r \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\partial B_r} u^2}$$

and observed that, if u is harmonic, then $N \nearrow$ in r.

The Almgren frequency function

Studying regularity of area-minimizing surfaces of codimension \ge 1, in 1979 Almgren introduced the *frequency function*

$$N(r) = \frac{r \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\partial B_r} u^2}$$

and observed that, if u is harmonic, then $N \nearrow$ in r.

"frequency": if *u* is a harmonic function in \mathbb{R}^2 homogeneous of degree k $(u_k(r, \theta) = a_k r^k \sin(k\theta))$, then N(r) = k.

The Almgren frequency function

Studying regularity of area-minimizing surfaces of codimension \ge 1, in 1979 Almgren introduced the *frequency function*

$$N(r) = \frac{r \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\partial B_r} u^2}$$

and observed that, if u is harmonic, then $N \nearrow$ in r.

The Almgren monotonicity formula was used in

- [Garofalo-Lin, Indiana Univ. Math. J. (1986)]: generalization to variable coefficient elliptic operators in divergence form (unique continuation)
- [Athanasopoulos-Caffarelli-Salsa, Amer. J. Math. (2008)]: regularity of the free boundary in obstacle problems.
- [Caffarelli-Lin, J. AMS (2008)] regularity of free boundary of the limit components of singularly perturbed elliptic systems.

In an open bounded $\Omega \ni 0$, let u be a $H^1_*(\Omega)$ -weak solution to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = h(x)u$, with h satisfying

 $h \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C}), \quad |h(x)| = O(|x|^{-2+\varepsilon}) \text{ as } |x| \to 0 \quad (H_0)$

In an open bounded $\Omega \ni 0$, let u be a $H^1_*(\Omega)$ -weak solution to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = h(x)u$, with h satisfying

$$h \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C}), \quad |h(x)| = O(|x|^{-2+\varepsilon}) \text{ as } |x| \to 0 \quad (H_0)$$

For small r > 0 define

$$\begin{split} D(r) &= \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \int_{B_r} \left[\left| \nabla u + i \frac{\mathbf{A}(\frac{x}{|x|})}{|x|} u \right|^2 - \frac{a(\frac{x}{|x|})}{|x|^2} |u|^2 - (\Re h) |u|^2 \right] dx, \\ H(r) &= \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 \, dS. \end{split}$$

In an open bounded $\Omega \ni 0$, let u be a $H^1_*(\Omega)$ -weak solution to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = h(x)u$, with h satisfying

$$h \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C}), \quad |h(x)| = O(|x|^{-2+\varepsilon}) \text{ as } |x| \to 0 \quad (H_0)$$

For small r > 0 define

$$\begin{split} D(r) &= \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \int_{B_r} \left[\left| \nabla u + i \frac{\mathbf{A}(\frac{x}{|x|})}{|x|} u \right|^2 - \frac{a(\frac{x}{|x|})}{|x|^2} |u|^2 - (\Re h) |u|^2 \right] dx, \\ H(r) &= \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 \, dS. \end{split}$$

If (PD) holds and $u \not\equiv 0$, $\Rightarrow H(r) > 0$ for small r > 0 Almgren type frequency function

$$\mathcal{N}(r) = \mathcal{N}_{u,h}(r) = \frac{D(r)}{H(r)}$$

is well defined in a suitably small interval $(0, \bar{r})$.

 $\mathcal{N} \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(0,\overline{r})$ and, in a distributional sense and for a.e. $r \in (0,\overline{r})$,

$$\mathcal{N}'(r) = \frac{2r \left[\left(\int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 dS \right) \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS \right) - \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \Re \left(u \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial \nu} \right) dS \right)^2 \right]}{\left(\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS \right)^2} + \frac{\alpha_h(r)}{\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS}$$

where
$$\alpha_h(r) = 2 \left[\int_{B_r} \Re(h(x) \overline{u(x)} (x \cdot \nabla u(x))) dx + \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{B_r} (\Re h(x)) |u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{r}{2} \int_{\partial B_r} (\Re h(x)) |u(x)|^2 dS \right]$$

 $\mathcal{N} \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(0,\overline{r})$ and, in a distributional sense and for a.e. $r \in (0,\overline{r})$,

$$\mathcal{N}'(r) = \frac{2r \left[\left(\int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 dS \right) \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS \right) - \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \Re \left(u \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial \nu} \right) dS \right)^2 \right]}{\left(\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS \right)^2} + \frac{\alpha_h(r)}{\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}'(r)}{\mathcal{N}'(r)} = 2 \left[\int_{B_r} \Re(h(x) \frac{0}{u(x)} \left(x \cdot \nabla u(x) \right) \right) dx + \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{B_r} (\Re h(x)) |u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{r}{2} \int_{\partial B_r} (\Re h(x)) |u(x)|^2 dS \right]$$

 $\mathcal{N} \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(0,\overline{r})$ and, in a distributional sense and for a.e. $r \in (0,\overline{r})$,

$$\mathcal{N}'(r) = \frac{2r \left[\left(\int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 dS \right) \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS \right) - \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \Re \left(u \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial \nu} \right) dS \right)^2 \right]}{\left(\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS \right)^2} + \frac{\alpha_h(r)}{\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS}$$
where $\alpha_h(r) = 2 \left[\int_{B_r} \Re(h(x) \frac{0}{u(x)} (x \cdot \nabla u(x))) dx + \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{B_r} (\Re h(x)) |u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{r}{2} \int_{\partial B_r} (\Re h(x)) |u(x)|^2 dS \right]$

$$(H_0) \Longrightarrow \left| \frac{\alpha_h(r)}{\int_{\partial B_r} |u|^2 dS} \right| \leq \operatorname{const} r^{-1+\varepsilon}$$

 $\mathcal{N} \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(0,\overline{r})$ and, in a distributional sense and for a.e. $r \in (0,\overline{r})$,

$$\mathcal{N}'(r) = \frac{2r \left[\left(\int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 dS \right) \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \left| u \right|^2 dS \right) - \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \Re \left(u \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial \nu} \right) dS \right)^2 \right]}{\left(\int_{\partial B_r} \left| u \right|^2 dS \right)^2} + \frac{\alpha_h(r)}{\int_{\partial B_r} \left| u \right|^2 dS}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}'(r)}{\mathcal{S}chwarz's inequality}}$$
where $\alpha_h(r) = 2 \left[\int_{B_r} \Re(h(x) \frac{0}{u(x)} (x \cdot \nabla u(x))) dx + \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{B_r} (\Re h(x)) \left| u(x) \right|^2 dx - \frac{r}{2} \int_{\partial B_r} (\Re h(x)) \left| u(x) \right|^2 dS \right]$

$$\implies \text{ the limit } \gamma := \lim_{r \to 0^+} \mathcal{N}(r) \text{ exists and is finite.}$$

Blow-up: set
$$w^{\lambda}(x) = \frac{u(\lambda x)}{\sqrt{H(\lambda)}}$$
, so that $\int_{\partial B_1} |w^{\lambda}|^2 dS = 1$.

 $\{w^{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in (0,\bar{\lambda})}$ is bounded in $H^1_*(B_1) \Longrightarrow$ for any $\lambda_n \to 0^+$, $w^{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^1_*(B_1)$ along a subsequence $\lambda_{n_k} \to 0^+$, and $\int_{\partial B_1} |w|^2 dS = 1$.

Blow-up: set
$$w^{\lambda}(x) = \frac{u(\lambda x)}{\sqrt{H(\lambda)}}$$
, so that $\int_{\partial B_1} |w^{\lambda}|^2 dS = 1$.

 $\{w^{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in (0,\bar{\lambda})}$ is bounded in $H^1_*(B_1) \Longrightarrow$ for any $\lambda_n \to 0^+$, $w^{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^1_*(B_1)$ along a subsequence $\lambda_{n_k} \to 0^+$, and $\int_{\partial B_1} |w|^2 dS = 1$.

$$(E_k) \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} w^{\lambda_{n_k}}(x) = \lambda_{n_k}^2 h(\lambda_{n_k} x) w^{\lambda_{n_k}}(x) \quad \stackrel{weak}{\underset{limit}{\longrightarrow}} \quad (E) \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} w(x) = 0 \text{ in } B_1$$

Bootstrap and classical regularity theory \Rightarrow

 $w^{\lambda_{n_k}} \to w \quad \text{in } C^{1,\tau}_{\text{loc}}(B_1 \setminus \{0\}), \ \tau \in (0,1), \quad H^1(B_r, \mathbb{C}), \ H^1_*(B_r), \ r \in (0,1).$

Blow-up: set
$$w^{\lambda}(x) = \frac{u(\lambda x)}{\sqrt{H(\lambda)}}$$
, so that $\int_{\partial B_1} |w^{\lambda}|^2 dS = 1$.

 $\{w^{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in (0,\bar{\lambda})}$ is bounded in $H^1_*(B_1) \Longrightarrow$ for any $\lambda_n \to 0^+$, $w^{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^1_*(B_1)$ along a subsequence $\lambda_{n_k} \to 0^+$, and $\int_{\partial B_1} |w|^2 dS = 1$.

$$(E_k) \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} w^{\lambda_{n_k}}(x) = \lambda_{n_k}^2 h(\lambda_{n_k} x) w^{\lambda_{n_k}}(x) \quad \stackrel{weak}{\underset{limit}{\longrightarrow}} \quad (E) \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} w(x) = 0 \text{ in } B_1$$

Bootstrap and classical regularity theory \Rightarrow

 $w^{\lambda_{n_k}} \to w \quad \text{in } C^{1,\tau}_{\text{loc}}(B_1 \setminus \{0\}), \ \tau \in (0,1), \quad H^1(B_r, \mathbb{C}), \ H^1_*(B_r), \ r \in (0,1).$

If $\mathcal{N}_k(r)$ the Almgren frequency function associated to (E_k) and $\mathcal{N}_w(r)$ is the Almgren frequency function associated to (E), then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{N}_k(r) = \mathcal{N}_w(r) \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, 1).$$

Blow-up:

By scaling
$$\mathcal{N}_k(r) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda_{n_k}r)$$

 \Downarrow
 $\mathcal{N}_w(r) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{N}(\lambda_{n_k}r) = \gamma \quad \forall r \in (0, 1)$

Blow-up:

By scaling
$$\mathcal{N}_k(r) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda_{n_k}r)$$

 \Downarrow
 $\mathcal{N}_w(r) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{N}(\lambda_{n_k}r) = \gamma \quad \forall r \in (0, 1)$

Then \mathcal{N}_w is constant in (0,1) and hence $\mathcal{N}'_w(r) = 0$ for any $r \in (0,1)$

$$\left(\int_{\partial B_r} \left|\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\right|^2 dS\right) \cdot \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |w|^2 dS\right) - \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \Re\left(w\frac{\partial \overline{w}}{\partial \nu}\right) dS\right)^2 = 0$$

Therefore w and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}$ are parallel as vectors in $L^2(\partial B_r, \mathbb{C})$, i.e. \exists a real valued function $\eta = \eta(r)$ such that $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}(r, \theta) = \eta(r)w(r, \theta)$ for $r \in (0, 1)$.

Blow-up:

By scaling
$$\mathcal{N}_k(r) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda_{n_k}r)$$

 \Downarrow
 $\mathcal{N}_w(r) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{N}(\lambda_{n_k}r) = \gamma \quad \forall r \in (0, 1)$

Then \mathcal{N}_w is constant in (0,1) and hence $\mathcal{N}'_w(r) = 0$ for any $r \in (0,1)$

$$\left(\int_{\partial B_r} \left|\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\right|^2 dS\right) \cdot \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |w|^2 dS\right) - \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \Re\left(w\frac{\partial \overline{w}}{\partial \nu}\right) dS\right)^2 = 0$$

Therefore w and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}$ are parallel as vectors in $L^2(\partial B_r, \mathbb{C})$, i.e. \exists a real valued function $\eta = \eta(r)$ such that $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}(r, \theta) = \eta(r)w(r, \theta)$ for $r \in (0, 1)$.

After integration we obtain

$$w(r,\theta) = e^{\int_1^r \eta(s)ds} w(1,\theta) = \varphi(r)\psi(\theta), \quad r \in (0,1), \ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}.$$

Blow-up: $w(r, \theta) = \varphi(r)\psi(\theta)$

Rewriting equation (E) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}w(x) = 0$ in polar coordinates we obtain

$$\left(-\varphi''(r) - \frac{N-1}{r}\varphi'(r)\right)\psi(\theta) + r^{-2}\varphi(r)L_{\mathbf{A},a}\psi(\theta) = 0.$$

Blow-up: $w(r, \theta) = \varphi(r)\psi(\theta)$

Rewriting equation (E) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}w(x) = 0$ in polar coordinates we obtain

$$\left(-\varphi''(r) - \frac{N-1}{r}\varphi'(r)\right)\psi(\theta) + r^{-2}\varphi(r)L_{\mathbf{A},a}\psi(\theta) = 0.$$

Then ψ is an eigenfunction of the operator $L_{\mathbf{A},a}$. Let $\mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)$ be the corresponding eigenvalue $\implies \varphi(r)$ solves

$$-\varphi''(r) - \frac{N-1}{r}\varphi(r) + r^{-2}\mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)\varphi(r) = 0.$$

Blow-up: $w(r, \theta) = \varphi(r)\psi(\theta)$

Rewriting equation (E) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}w(x) = 0$ in polar coordinates we obtain

$$\left(-\varphi''(r) - \frac{N-1}{r}\varphi'(r)\right)\psi(\theta) + r^{-2}\varphi(r)L_{\mathbf{A},a}\psi(\theta) = 0.$$

Then ψ is an eigenfunction of the operator $L_{\mathbf{A},a}$. Let $\mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)$ be the corresponding eigenvalue $\implies \varphi(r)$ solves

$$-\varphi''(r) - \frac{N-1}{r}\varphi(r) + r^{-2}\mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)\varphi(r) = 0.$$

Then
$$\varphi(r) = c_1 r^{\sigma^+} + c_2 r^{\sigma^-}$$
 with $\sigma^{\pm} = -\frac{N-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)}$.

From $\mathcal{N}_w(r) \equiv \gamma$, we deduce that $\gamma = \sigma^+$.

Step 1: any $\lambda_n \to 0^+$ admits a subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ s.t.

weakly in $H^1(B_1)$ $\frac{u(\lambda_{n_k}x)}{\sqrt{H(\lambda_{n_k})}} \to |x|^{\gamma}\psi\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \qquad \text{strongly in } H^1(B_r) \text{ for all } r \in (0,1)$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\tau}(B_1 \setminus \{0\})$ for all $\tau \in (0,1)$

 $\gamma = -\frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)}, \psi$ eigenfunction associated to μ_{k_0} .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Step 1:}} & \text{any } \lambda_n \to 0^+ \text{ admits a subsequence } \{\lambda_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ s.t.} \\ & \frac{u(\lambda_{n_k} x)}{\sqrt{H(\lambda_{n_k})}} \to |x|^{\gamma} \psi\Big(\frac{x}{|x|}\Big) & \text{weakly in } H^1(B_1) \\ & \text{ strongly in } H^1(B_r) \text{ for all } r \in (0,1) \\ & \text{ in } C^{1,\tau}_{\text{loc}}(B_1 \setminus \{0\}) \text{ for all } \tau \in (0,1) \end{array}$

 $\gamma = -\frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)}, \psi$ eigenfunction associated to μ_{k_0} .

Step 2: $\lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{H(r)}{r^{2\gamma}}$ is finite and > 0 (Step 1 + separation of variables)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \label{eq:step1: linear statement} \underbrace{\text{Step 1: }}_{\substack{u(\lambda_{n_k}x)\\ \sqrt{H(\lambda_{n_k})}} \to |x|^{\gamma}\psi\Big(\frac{x}{|x|}\Big) & \text{weakly in } H^1(B_1) \\ \hline & \text{strongly in } H^1(B_r) \text{ for all } r \in (0,1) \\ & \text{in } C^{1,\tau}_{\text{loc}}(B_1 \setminus \{0\}) \text{ for all } \tau \in (0,1) \\ \end{array}$

 $\gamma = -\frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)}, \psi$ eigenfunction associated to μ_{k_0} .

Step 2: $\lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{H(r)}{r^{2\gamma}}$ is finite and > 0 (Step 1 + separation of variables)

Step 3: So $\lambda_{n_k}^{-\gamma} u(\lambda_{n_k} \theta) \to \sum_{i=j_0}^{j_0+m-1} \beta_i \psi_i(\theta)$ in $C^{1,\tau}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ where $\{\psi_i\}_{i=j_0}^{j_0+m-1}$ is an $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ -orthonormal basis for the eigenspace associated to μ_{k_0} .

Expanding
$$u(\lambda \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k(\lambda) \psi_k(\theta)$$
, we compute the β_i 's.

$$\beta_{i} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_{n_{k}}^{-\gamma} \varphi_{i}(\lambda_{n_{k}})$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left[R^{-\gamma} u(R\theta) + \int_{0}^{R} \frac{h(s\theta)u(s\theta)}{2\gamma + N - 2} \left(s^{1-\gamma} - \frac{s^{\gamma+N-1}}{R^{2\gamma+N-2}} \right) ds \right] \overline{\psi_{i}(\theta)} \, dS(\theta)$$

depends neither on the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ nor on its subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ \implies the convergences actually hold as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$.

$$\beta_{i} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_{n_{k}}^{-\gamma} \varphi_{i}(\lambda_{n_{k}})$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left[R^{-\gamma} u(R\theta) + \int_{0}^{R} \frac{h(s\theta)u(s\theta)}{2\gamma + N - 2} \left(s^{1-\gamma} - \frac{s^{\gamma+N-1}}{R^{2\gamma+N-2}} \right) ds \right] \overline{\psi_{i}(\theta)} \, dS(\theta)$$

Theorem 1 [F.-Ferrero-Terracini, JEMS, to appear]

Let $\Omega \ni 0$ be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 2$, (TC), (PD), and (H_0) hold. If $u \not\equiv 0$ weakly solves $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} u = h(x) u$ in Ω , then $\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_0 \ge 1$, s. t.

$$\gamma = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \mathcal{N}_{u,h}(r) = -\frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_{k_0}(\mathbf{A}, a)}.$$

Furthermore, for any $\tau \in (0,1)$, as $\lambda \to 0^+$,

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{-\gamma} u(\lambda \theta) & \to \sum_{i=j_0}^{j_0+m-1} \beta_i \psi_i(\theta) & \text{ in } C^{1,\tau}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \\ \lambda^{1-\gamma} \nabla u(\lambda \theta) & \to \sum_{i=j_0}^{j_0+m-1} \beta_i \big(\gamma \psi_i(\theta) \theta + \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \psi_i(\theta) \big) & \text{ in } C^{0,\tau}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}). \end{split}$$

Corollary. Under the same assumptions as Theorem 1, let u be a weak $H^1_*(\Omega)$ -solution to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} u = h(x) u$.

- (i) If $u(x) = O(|x|^k)$ as $|x| \to 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $u \equiv 0$ in Ω .
- (ii) If $0 < \gamma < 1$ then $u \in C^{0,\gamma}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$.
- (iii) If $\gamma \ge 1$ then u is locally Lipschitz continuous in Ω .

Corollary. Under the same assumptions as Theorem 1, let u be a weak $H^1_*(\Omega)$ -solution to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a} u = h(x) u$.

- (i) If $u(x) = O(|x|^k)$ as $|x| \to 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $u \equiv 0$ in Ω .
- (ii) If $0 < \gamma < 1$ then $u \in C^{0,\gamma}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$.
- (iii) If $\gamma \ge 1$ then u is locally Lipschitz continuous in Ω .

(i) is a strong unique continuation property. It extends to singular homogeneous magnetic potentials the unique continuation property proved by **Kurata** for electromagnetic potentials in the Kato class.

Further results

• Semilinear equations $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = f(x,u(x))$ in $\Omega \ni 0$ with $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$\left|\frac{f(x,z)}{z}\right| \leqslant \begin{cases} C(1+|z|^{2^*-2}), & \text{if } N \geqslant 3, \\ C(1+|z|^{p-2}) & \text{for some } p > 2 \ , & \text{if } N = 2 \ , \end{cases}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

Further results

• Semilinear equations $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = f(x,u(x))$ in $\Omega \ni 0$ with $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$\left|\frac{f(x,z)}{z}\right| \leqslant \begin{cases} C(1+|z|^{2^*-2}), & \text{if } N \geqslant 3, \\ C(1+|z|^{p-2}) & \text{for some } p > 2 \ , & \text{if } N = 2 \ , \end{cases}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

Under the further assumption $\mu_1(0,a) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$ a Brezis-Kato type iteration argument provides an upper bound for solutions and then reduces the semilinear problem to a linear one with enough control on the perturbing potential at the singularity to apply Theorem 1 to recover the exact asymptotic behavior of solutions at the singularity.

Further results

• Semilinear equations $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A},a}u = f(x,u(x))$ in $\Omega \ni 0$ with $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$\left|\frac{f(x,z)}{z}\right| \leqslant \begin{cases} C(1+|z|^{2^*-2}), & \text{if } N \geqslant 3, \\ C(1+|z|^{p-2}) & \text{for some } p > 2 \ , & \text{if } N = 2 \ , \end{cases}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

Under the further assumption $\mu_1(0,a) > -\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$ a Brezis-Kato type iteration argument provides an upper bound for solutions and then reduces the semilinear problem to a linear one with enough control on the perturbing potential at the singularity to apply Theorem 1 to recover the exact asymptotic behavior of solutions at the singularity.

• invariance by the Kelvin transform \implies asymptotics at ∞ for solutions in external domains

Example: Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potentials in dim N=2

 $\mathbf{A}(\cos t, \sin t) = \alpha(-\sin t, \cos t), \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \quad a(\cos t, \sin t) = a_0, \ a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left(-i\,\nabla + \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2}\right)\right)^2 u - \frac{a_0}{|x|^2} u = h\,u,$$

with $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, Ω bounded, $0 \in \Omega$, and h verifying (H_0).

Eigenvalues: $\{(\alpha - j)^2 - a_0 : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \Rightarrow \mu_1(\mathbf{A}, a) = (\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}))^2 - a_0$ If $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) \neq \frac{1}{2}$, then all eigenvalues are simple and the eigenspace associated to the first eigenvalue is generated by $\psi(\cos t, \sin t) = e^{-ijt}$. If $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{2}$, then all eigenvalues have multiplicity 2. Example: Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potentials in dim ${\cal N}=2$

 $\mathbf{A}(\cos t, \sin t) = \alpha(-\sin t, \cos t), \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \quad a(\cos t, \sin t) = a_0, \ a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left(-i\,\nabla + \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2}\right)\right)^2 u - \frac{a_0}{|x|^2} u = h\,u,$$

with $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, Ω bounded, $0 \in \Omega$, and h verifying (H_0).

Eigenvalues: $\{(\alpha - j)^2 - a_0 : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \Rightarrow \mu_1(\mathbf{A}, a) = (\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}))^2 - a_0$ If $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) \neq \frac{1}{2}$, then all eigenvalues are simple and the eigenspace associated to the first eigenvalue is generated by $\psi(\cos t, \sin t) = e^{-ijt}$. If $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{2}$, then all eigenvalues have multiplicity 2.

If
$$a_0 < (\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}))^2$$
, $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) \neq \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \exists j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t.

$$\lambda^{-\sqrt{(\alpha-j_0)^2-a_0}}u(\lambda\cos t,\lambda\sin t) \xrightarrow{\lambda\to 0^+} \beta e^{-ij_0t} \text{ in } C^{1,\tau}(0,2\pi)$$

Example: Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potentials in dim ${\cal N}=2$

 $\mathbf{A}(\cos t, \sin t) = \alpha(-\sin t, \cos t), \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \quad a(\cos t, \sin t) = a_0, \ a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left(-i\,\nabla + \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2}\right)\right)^2 u - \frac{a_0}{|x|^2} u = h\,u,$$

with $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, Ω bounded, $0 \in \Omega$, and h verifying (H_0).

Eigenvalues: $\{(\alpha - j)^2 - a_0 : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \Rightarrow \mu_1(\mathbf{A}, a) = (\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}))^2 - a_0$ If $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) \neq \frac{1}{2}$, then all eigenvalues are simple and the eigenspace associated to the first eigenvalue is generated by $\psi(\cos t, \sin t) = e^{-ijt}$. If $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{2}$, then all eigenvalues have multiplicity 2.

if
$$a_0 < (\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}))^2$$
, $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{2} \implies \exists j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t.

$$\lambda^{-\sqrt{(\alpha-j_0)^2-a_0}}u(\lambda\cos t,\lambda\sin t) \xrightarrow{\lambda\to 0^+} \beta_1 e^{-ij_0t} + \beta_2 e^{-i(2\alpha-j_0)t} \text{ in } C^{1,\tau}(0,2\pi)$$

Example: Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potentials in dim ${\cal N}=2$

 $\mathbf{A}(\cos t, \sin t) = \alpha(-\sin t, \cos t), \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \quad a(\cos t, \sin t) = a_0, \ a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left(-i\,\nabla + \alpha \left(-\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2}\right)\right)^2 u - \frac{a_0}{|x|^2} u = h\,u,$$

with $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, Ω bounded, $0 \in \Omega$, and h verifying (H_0).

Eigenvalues: $\{(\alpha - j)^2 - a_0 : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \Rightarrow \mu_1(\mathbf{A}, a) = (\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}))^2 - a_0$ If $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) \neq \frac{1}{2}$, then all eigenvalues are simple and the eigenspace associated to the first eigenvalue is generated by $\psi(\cos t, \sin t) = e^{-ijt}$. If $\operatorname{dist}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{2}$, then all eigenvalues have multiplicity 2.

Furthermore, in view of the Corollary,

if $(\operatorname{dist}(\alpha,\mathbb{Z}))^2 < 1 + a_0 \Rightarrow u \in C^{0,\gamma}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega)$ with $\gamma = \sqrt{(\operatorname{dist}(\alpha,\mathbb{Z}))^2 - a_0}$ if $(\operatorname{dist}(\alpha,\mathbb{Z}))^2 \ge 1 + a_0 \Rightarrow u$ is locally Lipschitz continuous in Ω .