ORIGINAL



Ventilation practices in acute brain injured patients and association with outcomes: the VENTIBRAIN multicenter observational study

Chiara Robba^{1,2*}, Daniele Giardiello³, Chiara Almondo¹, Karim Asehnoune⁴, Rafael Badenes⁵, Raphael Cinotti⁴, Muhammed Elhadi⁶, Francesca Graziano^{3,19}, Raimund Helbok^{7,8}, Lidan Jiang⁹, Wenjin Chen⁹, John G. Laffey¹⁰, Antonio Messina¹¹, Christian Putensen¹², Marcus J. Schultz^{13,14,15,16}, Sarah Wahlster¹⁷, Paola Rebora^{3,20}, Stefania Galimberti^{3,20}, Fabio Silvio Taccone¹⁸ and Giuseppe Citerio^{19,20} on behalf of the VENTIBRAIN study group

© 2025 The Author(s)

Abstract

Purpose: Current mechanical ventilation practices for patients with acute brain injury (ABI) are poorly defined. This study aimed to describe ventilator settings/parameters used in intensive care units (ICUs) and evaluate their association with clinical outcomes in these patients.

Methods: An international, prospective, multicenter, observational study was conducted across 74 ICUs in 26 countries, including adult patients with ABI (e.g., traumatic brain injury, intracranial hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and acute ischemic stroke), who required ICU admission and invasive mechanical ventilation. Ventilatory settings were recorded daily during the first week and on days 10 and 14. ICU and 6-months mortality and 6-months neurological outcome were evaluated.

Results: On admission, 2095 recruited patients (median age 58 [interquartile range 45–70] years, 66.1% male) had a median plateau pressure (Pplat) of 15 (13–18) cmH₂0, tidal volume/predicted body weight 6.5 (5.7–7.3) mL/Kg, driving pressure 9 (7–12) cmH₂0, and positive end-expiratory pressure 5 (5–8) cmH₂0, with no modifications in case of increased intracranial pressure (> 20 mmHg). Significant differences in practices were observed across different countries. The majority of these ventilatory settings were associated with ICU mortality, with the highest hazard ratio (HR) for Pplat (odds ratio 1.50; 95% confidence interval, Cl: 1.27–1.78). The results demonstrated consistent association with 6-month mortality; less clear association was observed for neurological outcome.

Conclusions: Protective ventilation strategies are commonly used in ABI patients but with high variability across different countries. Ventilator settings during ICU stay were associated with an increased risk of ICU and 6-month mortality, but not an unfavorable neurological outcome.

*Correspondence: kiarobba@gmail.com

¹ Department of Surgical Science and Integrated Diagnostic, University of Genova Genoa Italy

Full author information is available at the end of the article

Chiara Robba and Daniele Giardiello are shared the first authorship.Fabio Silvio Taccone and Giuseppe Citerio are shared the last authorship.

The members of collaborators is listed under acknowledgements section.



Keywords: Mechanical ventilation, Acute brain injury, Traumatic brain injury, Cerebrovascular disease, Outcome

Introduction

Mechanical ventilation (MV) is a fundamental component of the intensive care unit (ICU) management of acute brain injured (ABI) patients [1-3]. Over the last decades, lung protective strategies (LPS) have become the standard of care after demonstrating to improve clinical outcomes [4, 5] in mechanically ventilated ICU patients, regardless of the diagnosis of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [6]. However, clinical trials establishing the effect of LPS often excluded ABI patients from enrollment, due to their potentially detrimental effects on cerebral physiology [4, 5]. In particular, the need for low plateau pressure (Pplat) and low tidal volumes (TV), with consequent hypercapnia and increased intracerebral blood volume could be challenging in ABI patients at high risk of intracranial hypertension [7-10]. Moreover, high positive end-expiratory pressures (PEEP), resulting in increased intrathoracic pressure and reduced cerebral venous outflow, could favor hemodynamic instability, reduce cerebral perfusion pressure and increase intracranial pressure (ICP) [7-9, 11].

As such, strong evidence on the best ventilatory strategies to be applied in the ABI populations is lacking. Only a post hoc analysis [12] of an observational cohort suggested that the use of LPS has increased in this population over the last decade, but there are no specific studies primarily focusing on the ABI population [13]. Consequently, the most recent guidelines on MV in ABI patients from the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine [14] are based on a very low level of evidence and/or expert opinion and highlight the need for specific research in this field.

We, therefore, conducted the prospective, multicenter observational VENTIBRAIN study [15] to describe the current practice of MV in ABI patients and assess its association with clinical outcomes. We hypothesize that the use of lung protective strategies is frequently applied in ABI patients and that these have an association with clinical outcomes.

Methods

Study design and participants

This was a prospective, multicenter, observational cohort study conducted at 74 ICUs in 26 countries (electronic supplementary material figure 2) from November 25th, 2020, to October 15th, 2023. The inclusion criteria were adult (>18 years) patients admitted to ICU with a diagnosis of a primary non-anoxic ABI, e.g., traumatic brain

Take-home messages

Ventilator settings in acute brain injury (ABI) patients significantly vary across countries, and while protective strategies are commonly used, they are inconsistently applied. Plateau pressure is strongly associated with increased intensive care unit (ICU) and 6-month mortality, emphasizing the importance of tailored ventilation strategies. The association between ventilator settings and mortality highlights the need for further research and standardized guidelines to optimize care for ABI patients in the ICU

injury (TBI), intracranial hemorrhage (ICH), subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) or acute ischemic stroke (AIS), and requiring intubation and MV. Patients were excluded if pregnant (confirmed or suspected) or if they received only non-invasive ventilation. The full study protocol was previously published [15]. This study was conducted according to the STROBE guidelines (electronic supplementary material 2, item 1), was registered at ClinicalTrial.gov (NCT04459884), and was endorsed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) (https://www.esicm.org/endorsed-trials/ongoing-proje cts-endorsed/).

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Milano-Bicocca by the ethics committee Brianza ASST-Monza on 10/09/2020 (Approval number 3425, amendment on 25/02/2021), and was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Since patients included in the study were intubated and could not provide informed consent at the time of study recruitment, each center referred to local or national law on the issue of inability to provide consent. If patients regained consciousness and the ability to provide consent at the follow-up visit, they were required to confirm the initial consent for the use of data. National or local approvals at study sites were obtained by national coordinators and local principal investigators, according to local regulations.

Study objectives and definitions

The primary objective of the study was to describe the ventilatory settings/parameters applied in mechanically ventilated ABI patients admitted to the ICU. Secondary aims included: description of ventilatory settings/parameter in the presence/absence of intracranial hypertension (ICP > 20 mmHg [16, 17]); assess the heterogeneity in ventilatory settings among countries; describe their association with ICU, 6-month mortality and 6-month functional neurologic outcome.

An unfavorable neurological outcome was defined as an extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOSE) < 5 [18].

Outcomes at 6 months were collected via phone with structured interviews with the patients and/or family members [18]. Countries were categorized according to their Gross National Income (GNI) per capita into high-income, upper middle income and lower middle income as defined using the Atlas Method (www.world bank.or). LPS was defined as TV/predicted body weight (PBW) ≤ 8 m L/Kg and Pplat ≤ 30 cmH20 [19].

Procedures and data collection

Pseudo-anonymized data were collected in a web-based electronic case report form (eCRF) and protected by encryption software and passwords provided to single users. The data were securely stored at the University Milano-Bicocca. All procedures complied with the EU Regulation 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons regarding personal data processing and movement. A data transfer agreement to confirm the terms for data transfer from the centers to the sponsor was finalized.

Data were collected on admission, daily until day 7 from ICU admission and at days 10 and 14: demographics, neurological clinical status (i.e., pupils' characteristics and Glasgow Coma Scale, GCS), neuroradiological scores, type of neuromonitoring, therapy intensity levels for intracranial hypertension management [20], vital parameters, and the occurrence of neurological and systemic complications (such as pneumonia-community and hospital-acquired pneumonia, ventilator-associated pneumonia (according to the Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score), pneumothorax, and ARDS (according to the Berlin definition [21])). ICP data were obtained at 8 a.m. (first record in the morning); the lowest and highest ICP values during the day were also noted. Ventilator settings included mode of ventilation, tidal volume (TV), TV/ PBW, Pplat, peak pressure (Ppeak), PEEP, respiratory rate (RR) and inspired fraction of oxygen (FiO₂), and were obtained at 8 a.m. Further ventilatory parameters from arterial blood gas (including Ph and partial pressure of oxygen/carbon dioxide) were collected. Driving pressure (safe value < 15 cmH₂0) was calculated as the difference between Pplat-PEEP and mechanical power according to previously validated formulas [22–24]. Static compliance was calculated as tidal volume/driving pressure.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described by median and 25th and 75th percentiles, while categorical variables by absolute and relative frequencies. Linear mixed-effects models were used to assess the heterogeneity between countries of every ventilator setting/parameter measured over time, with individual and country as random intercepts effects and age, sex, type of brain injury, GCS motor score, baseline PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio and pupillary reactivity as

fixed effects [25]. We quantified the country-level variance partition coefficient (VPC) and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The first describes the amount of the total variability of every ventilator setting/parameter due to differences among countries, and the latter represents the correlation among ventilation setting/parameter in patients within the same countries. High values of country-level ICC (i.e., close to one) indicate high homogeneity among patients within the same country, while lower values (i.e., close to zero) suggest a lower country contextual effect.

The 6-month crude mortality was estimated by Kaplan-Meier. The association between every ventilator setting/parameter and ICU and 6-month mortality was investigated using the time-dependent Cox proportional regression hazard models with the same adjustments above (except for baseline PaO₂/FiO) [26]. The functional form of the relation between continuous predictors (i.e., age and ventilator/setting parameters) and the outcome was investigated using three-knot restricted cubic splines. The linear/non-linear form was established based on the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) [27]. The relation between the ventilator settings/parameters and mortality was visually shown through the log relative hazard of ICU mortality for every parameter. Hazard ratios (HRs) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated and provided for the 75th versus 25th percentile. The 6-month unfavorable neurological outcome (GOSE score < 5) was also investigated based on the same modeling approach, but using the regression logistic models, but summarizing the longitudinal profile of the ventilation setting/parameters with their mean. These regression models were performed first by considering the contribution of each ventilator setting/parameter alone and subsequently by considering all together the ventilator settings and parameters, respectively. Results of the latter models were reported with odds ratios (OR) and the corresponding 95% CIs. All analyses were performed using R software version 4.32.3 [28].

Results

Patients' characteristics

A total of 2136 patients were consecutively screened and 2095 were included in the analysis (52.8% of the patients were from Europe, 28.8% from Asia, 12.2% from the Americas and 6.2% from Africa—electronic supplementary figures 1-2). Baseline characteristics are reported in Table 1. The median age was 58 (interquartile range (IQR) 45–70) years, 1384 (66.1%) were male and 1294 (61.8%) were from high-income countries. Overall, 837 (40%) patients were admitted for TBI, 568 (27.1%) for ICH, 402 (19.2%) for SAH and 288 (13.7%) for AIS.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and ventilatory settings

	Total (N=2095)
Baseline characteristics	
Median age, years ($n = 2094$)	58 (45, 70)
Sex $(n = 2095)$	
Male	1384 (66.1%)
Female	711 (33.9%)
Resides in high-income countries ($n = 2095$)	1294 (61.8%)
Median APACHE II score (<i>n</i> = 2077)	18 (13, 22)
Pupil reactivity (n = 1992)	
Both reactive	1508 (75.7%)
One reactive	154 (7.7%)
Both unreactive	330 (16.6%)
Median LIPS ($n = 2095$)	2.00 (0.00, 4.50)
GCS motor score ($n = 2021$)	
1–4	1,253 (62.0%)
5–6	768 (38.0%)
GCS score ($n = 2016$)	
3–5	735 (36.5%)
6–8	633 (31.4%)
9–15	648 (32.1%)
Highly pathological CT scan [§] (<i>n</i> = 2058)	1044 (50.7%)
Neuroworsening [#] ($n = 2093$)	962 (46.0%)
Mode of mechanical ventilation (n = 2052)	
Volume controlled	964 (47.0%)
Pressure controlled	295 (14.4%)
SIMV	234 (11.4%)
BiPAP	146 (7.1%)
ASV	35 (1.7%)
СРАР	56 (2.7%)
Other (not specified)	105 (5.1%)
Spontaneous (assisted ventilation) breathing	43 (2.1%)
Gas exchanges and respiratory settings/parameter	ers
Tidal volume (<i>n</i> = 1880), ml	480 (432, 520)
Tidal volume per body weight (<i>n</i> = 1880), <i>ml/kg</i>	6.46 (5.73, 7.33)
PEEP (n = 1943), cmH2O	5(5, 8)
PaO2 (n = 1916), mmHg	113 (92, 149)
PaO2/FiO2 (n = 1908)	307 (227, 397)
PaCO2 (n = 1918), mmHg	38 (34, 42)
Driving pressure (<i>n</i> = 1209), <i>cmH2O</i>	9(7, 12)
Compliance ($n = 1197$), $ml/cmH2O$	51 (40, 71)
Mechanical power (n = 970), J/min	12.7 (9.7, 16.9)
ICP and pulmonary complications	
Lowest ICP ($n = 747$), mmHg	4.0 (2.0, 8.0)
Highest ICP ($n = 754$), mmHg	15 (10, 20)
Presence of ICP > 20 ($n = 754$), mmHg	171 (22.7%)
Pneumonia ($n = 1397$)	36 (2.6%)
Pneumothorax ($n = 1400$)	/6 (5.4%)
Pleural effusion ($n = 1400$)	212 (15.1%)
Atelectasis ($n = 1.399$)	324 (23.2%)
Pulmonary edema ($n = 1400$)	44 (3.1%)

Table 1 (continued)

	Total (N=2095)
ARDS (n = 1931)	478 (24.8%)
Mild	286 (14.8%)
Moderate	170 (8.8%)
Severe	22 (1.1%)

Data are *n* (%) or *n/N* (%) or median (25th and 75th percentile, p25-p75). GCS Glasgow Come Scale, *LIPS* Lung injury prediction score. [§]Defined as Marshall classification 3 or more (for patients with TBI), Fisher grade 3 or more (for patients with SAH), ASPECTS score 6 or less (for patients with IS), ICH score 4 or more (for patients with ICH). [#]Defined as a spontaneous GCS motor score decrease of 2 points or more compared with the previous examination or a new loss of pupillary reactivity, development of pupillary asymmetry of at least 2 mm, or deterioration in neurological or CT status sufficient to warrant immediate medical or surgical intervention during the first week of the ICU stay

SIMV synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation, *BiPAP* bilevel positive airway pressure, *ASV* adaptive support ventilation, *CPAP* continuous positive airway pressure, *ARDS* acute respiratory distress syndrome (using 2012 Berlin definition), *ICP* intracranial pressure, *VAP* ventilator-associated pneumonia defined by Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (CPIS score), *PC* pulmonary complication

 * Data at ICU admission (i.e., first measurements) are collected at day 0 when patients were admitted at ICU before 6 pm or at the day after (i.e., day 1) when admitted at ICU after 6 pm

Median GCS on admission was 7 (4–10). ARDS occurred in 478 patients (14.8% mild, 8.8% moderate, 1.1% severe) (electronic supplementary table 1).

Ventilatory parameters

Ventilatory settings on admission are presented in Table 1. The most common mode of ventilation was volume-controlled ventilation (n=964, 47%), followed by pressure-controlled ventilation (n=295, 14.4%). On admission, LPS strategies were adopted in 1082 (86.1%) cases. Median TV was 480 (432–520) mL, TV/PBW 6.5 (5.7–7.3) mL/Kg, PEEP 5 (5–8) cmH₂0, RR 15 (14–18)/min, and FiO₂ 0.40 (0.30–0.50). Median Ppeak was 20 (17–25) cmH₂0, Pplat 15 (13–18) cmH₂0, DP 9 (7–12) cmH₂0 and mechanical power 12.7 (9.7–16.9) J/min. Median respiratory system compliance was 51 (40–71) ml/cmH₂0, PaO₂ was 113 (92–149) mmHg, PaCO₂ 38 (34–42) mmHg and PaO₂/FiO₂ was 307 (227–397).

The distribution of the ventilatory settings and parameters is depicted over time in Fig. 1 (and electronic supplementary figure 3) and described in electronic supplementary table 2. Over the study period, information about LPS usage was available in 1389 patients, with a total number of 6468 measurements. LPS were used at least once in 1273 (91.6%) patients and for a total of 5360 (82.8%) measurements.

Ventilation settings according to intracranial hypertension

A total of 14,977 measurements of ICP were obtained over the study period in 820 patients (Table 1). The



collected daily till day 7 since intensive care unit (ICU) admission and at days 10 and 14 for all patients. The lower and upper limits of the boxes correspond to the 25th percentile and the 75th percentile, respectively. The horizontal lines inside the box represent the median (50th percentile). The points represent the values higher or lower than the box limits by more than 1.5 times the interquartile range (IQR: the difference between the 75th and the 25th percentile). Panel **A** plateau pressure, panel **B** driving pressure, panel **C** tidal volume per body weight, panel **D** positive and expiratory pressure (PEEP), panel **E** respiratory rate, panel **F** FiO₂

median ICP value in the morning was 10 (6–14) mmHg, the highest ICP was 15 (11–20) mmHg. Episodes of intracranial hypertension were observed in 1116 (22.3%) measurements (electronic supplementary table 1) and at least once in 171 (22.7%) patients. In the

presence of intracranial hypertension, no differences were observed across the ventilatory settings (electronic supplementary figures 4 and 5).



body weight, panel ${\bf D}$ positive and expiratory pressure (PEEP), panel ${\bf E}$: respiratory rate, panel ${\bf F}$ FiO₂

Ventilation settings differences among countries

The distribution of each ventilator setting/parameter in the participating countries is reported in Fig. 2 and electronic supplementary figures 6-8. The highest country-to-country variability was observed for mechanical power (VPC 35%) and Peak, PEEP, driving pressure, and RR (VPC from 23 to 26%), while the lowest was reported for Pplat (VPC 13%) and TV/PBW (VPC 6%) (Fig. 2 and electronic supplementary figures 7-8). We also assessed the degree of homogeneity in the patients' longitudinal ventilator measures within the same country and found moderate similarity for PaO_2 (ICC 60%), mechanical power (ICC 51%), respiratory rate (ICC 48%) and FiO₂ (ICC 42%). The lowest similarity and the lowest country contextual effect were obtained for $PaCO_2$, Pplat and TV/PBW, with the corresponding estimated ICCs of 25%, 20% and 10%, respectively (Fig. 2, electronic supplementary figures 7-8).

Association of ventilator settings/parameters with mortality and neurological outcome

A total of 597 (29.2%) patients died in the ICU, and mortality at 6-month follow-up was 42% (95% CI=40-45%). Most of the ventilatory measures were individually associated with ICU mortality. In particular, an increased probability of mortality was observed for increasing values of Ppeak (HR=1.43, 95% CI=1.28-1.61), Pplat (HR=1.50, 95% CI=1.27-1.78), and DP (HR=1.41, 95% CI=1.18–1.69) (Fig. 3, electronic supplementary figures 9-10). The probability of mortality over time was lower for patients with higher values of TV/PBW (HR=0.86, 95% CI=0.77-0.96), while PEEP, respiratory rate and FiO₂ showed a U-shaped non-linear association with ICU mortality rate (Fig. 3 and electronic supplementary table 4, electronic supplementary figure 9). When the ventilator measures were included in two global Cox adjusted models, one for settings and another one for parameters, their association with ICU mortality was confirmed (electronic supplementary material 4), except for MP. The results demonstrated consistency in their association with 6-month mortality (electronic supplementary material 4). An unfavorable GOSE was observed in 1254 (69.3%) out of 1807 patients. Among ventilatory settings, those suggested to be individually associated with GOSE (electronic supplementary figures 10-11 and electronic supplementary material 4) were PEEP (OR=1.23, 95% CI=1.05-1.44) and FiO2 (OR=1.23, 95% CI=1.08-1.39). Among ventilatory parameters, only driving pressure (DP) suggested an association with GOSE (OR=1.35, 95% CI=1.14-1.59) (electronic supplementary material 4). When all ventilatory settings and parameters were considered for a global assessment into two separate adjusted models, the magnitude of the effects resulted diluted, except for a borderline signal for FiO₂ (electronic supplementary material 4).

Discussion

In this large, international, prospective cohort study, we observed that LPS were often adopted in ABI patients, both on admission and during their ICU stay, regardless of the presence of increased ICP. High to moderate variability across different countries was estimated, especially regarding DP and mechanical power. Higher Pplat, Ppeak and DP and lower TV/PBW were linearly associated with ICU- and 6-month mortality, while PEEP, RR and FiO₂ showed a U-shaped association. No clear relation of these measures with neurological outcome was depicted.

Over the last decades, research has importantly focused on the need to adopt LPS [29-31], which include the use of low TV, Pplat, and moderate-high PEEP to prevent lung damage and to reduce morbidity and mortality in general ICU patients [2, 32]. However, safety concerns regarding the potential detrimental effects of these strategies on cerebral perfusion pressure and intracranial pressure [2] have traditionally limited their applications in the ABI population [33]. The concept of lung-brain cross-talk in this context remains a critical topic, characterized by limited evidence and challenging clinical scenarios [1]. For instance, LPS incorporating permissive hypercapnia may be poorly tolerated in patients with ABI and elevated intracranial pressure, while increased intrathoracic pressure could impair cerebral hemodynamics [1].

The concept of LPS has been progressively translated into the ABI population over the last decade and has led to a progressive change in clinical practice. Tejerina et al. [34], in a retrospective study of 4152 patients, found that the proportion of ABI patients receiving a protective lung ventilation strategy increased over time: 47% in 2004, and 65% in 2016, with progressively higher values of PEEP used (and a lower rate of zero PEEP-ZEEP) as well as lower values of TV/Kg PBW. Our results confirm this trend; neuro-intensivists seem to pay particular attention to Pplat, DP and tidal volume/PBW values, which were within "protective" ranges in our cohort [28]. This is also likely because the values of compliance of the respiratory system and PaO₂/FiO₂ suggest that respiratory mechanics were generally well preserved in our population. In particular, the median TV/PBW at admission was extremely low, e.g., 6.5 ml/Kg PBW, whereas in the PROVENT [35] study median TV/PBW was 7.9 mL/kg with no differences between patients at risk of ARDS and those not at risk.

Similarly, the median PEEP value in our study was 5 cmH20, similar to in the PROVENT study, and only 0.1% were ventilated using a ZEEP, suggesting a progressive adherence to the latest guidelines [14]. Despite we observed a slight progressive increase in Ppeak and Pplat, from day 1 to day 7, these values remained below the thresholds described in the literature as at risk for complications [3]. Our results show an important change, with the application of LPS even in ABI patients, as suggested by previous sub-analyses and retrospective large



reference categories for the categorical adjustment predictors (e.g., male individuals for sex) and using medians for continuous predictors (e.g., age). Pointwise 95% confidence limits (shaded grey areas) are also shown. The adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) for the 75th percentile of every mechanical ventilator setting/parameter versus the 25th percentile with 95% confidence intervals are also reported using the linear or non-linear term (i.e., three-knot restricted cubic spline). Panel **A** plateau pressure, panel **B** driving pressure, panel **C** tidal volume per body weight, panel **D** positive and expiratory pressure (PEEP), panel **E** respiratory rate, panel **F** FiO₂

databases [36]. However, it is also important to highlight that we found considerable variability across different countries in the use of these strategies, especially regarding more novel and composite parameters such as mechanical power and driving pressure, but with lower heterogeneity regarding the values of TV/PBW and Pplat. This might be related to the presence of stronger and more established evidence for the use of low TV and Pplat in the literature available [31, 37, 38] and less clear for other parameters. The variability observed in our

results is also a clear consequence of the lack of strong evidence provided by the latest ESICM Guidelines on MV in ABI patients [14].

Interestingly, when ICP was increased, no changes in the ventilatory parameters were observed. However,the median highest ICP value during the day was 15 (11–20) mmHg, thus suggesting that ICP was overall well controlled.

We finally explored the association between ventilatory measures on clinical outcomes, finding that the majority of ventilatory settings/parameters are associated with both ICU and 6-month mortality. These results are in line with a recent secondary analysis of the TTM2 trial including 1848 patients with post-anoxic brain injury (3), but are extremely novel in the ABI population.

High Pplat, Ppeak, and DP were linearly associated with mortality, confirming previous findings from the general ICU population; PEEP, RR and FiO_2 showed a U-shaped curve association, suggesting the need to individualize these measures, in line with the evidence from the general ICU population [39].

Interestingly, our model shows that higher TV/PBW is associated with decreased mortality. However, it is important to highlight that TV was maintained quite low in our cohort, with an estimated IQR of 5.7-7.3 ml/PBW. Despite observational data, we cannot draw any conclusion on causal relationship, we can only speculate that while protective limits for Pplat, DP are essential, very low TV may not necessarily be beneficial in this population. Adequate TV may help stabilize and control PaCO₂ levels [40]; however, after adjusting our model for PaCO₂, no significant differences in measured HR were observed, indicating that further studies are needed to better understand this association.

This finding aligns with the recent PROLABI randomized controlled trial [41], which did not demonstrate that ventilation with lower TV and higher PEEP, compared to conventional ventilation, improved clinical outcomes in patients with ABI.

We found no potential effect of ventilation strategies on the long-term neurological outcome. This may be due to several factors, including the limitations of the GOSE scale itself, which grades disability, but lacks a detailed assessment of neurocognitive dysfunction and quality of life. Furthermore, numerous ICU and post-ICU factors, such as physiotherapy, rehabilitation, and healthcare system organization for post-ICU care, can significantly influence neurological outcomes but were not addressed in our study. Interestingly, FiO₂ was associated with neurological outcomes, underscoring the critical importance of precise titration of oxygen targets in this population [42–44].

Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first prospective international multicenter study which focused on the description of ventilatory settings of ABI patients requiring invasive MV and their effect on outcomes in the specific population. This study includes a high number of centers from different countries, thus providing a comprehensive picture of the clinical practice worldwide and paving the way to a generalization of our results as well as to the uniformity of care all over the globe.

However, our study also presents several limitations that need to be mentioned. Importantly, this is an observational study, and no conclusions about causality can be drawn from our findings. Indeed, while the results on the associations with mortality and neurological outcomes were adjusted for confounders using robust statistical models, they should be interpreted with caution.

In addition, the inclusion timeframe overlaps with the COVID-19 pandemic; therefore, we cannot entirely exclude the possibility that this period influenced the occurrence of pulmonary complications or altered workflows, resource allocation, or other pandemic-related factors. Although this study was designed as a planned multicenter effort with detailed information on ICU stay and clinical outcomes, specific and granular information is lacking, such as the presence of chest or spinal cord trauma. In addition, ventilatory settings were recorded only once daily, which prevented detailed analysis of dynamic changes in response to clinical events or complications. Similarly, more comprehensive data on non-invasive ventilation, assisted ventilation, weaning processes, physiotherapy, and post-acute care would have provided valuable insights and further strengthened our findings. This approach was taken to balance the collection of meaningful data with minimizing the workload for participating centers, particularly in the absence of funding to support recruitment efforts.

Despite these limitations, our findings offer valuable insights that may help to guide clinical decision-making and improve patient stratification in future RCTs. Our results highlight the need for well-designed, adequately powered randomized studies to optimize care and outcomes in this challenging patient population.

Conclusions

In this large cohort of ABI mechanically ventilated patients, we found that LPS were commonly used, with considerable variability across different countries. Several ventilatory settings were associated with ICU and 6-month mortality, but not with neurological outcomes. Personalized ventilatory targets and their impact on survival need to be further assessed in this heterogeneous population considering variability among centers and countries.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-025-07808-1.

Author details

¹ Department of Surgical Science and Integrated Diagnostic, University of Genova, Genoa, Italy.² IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy.³ Bicocca Bioinformatics Biostatistics and Bioimaging B4 Center, School of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy.⁴ Department of Anaesthesia and Critical Care, CHU Nantes, Nantes Université, Hôtel Dieu, Nantes, France.⁵ Department of Anesthesiology and Surgical-Trauma Intensive Care, Hospital Clinic Universitari de Valencia, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain.⁶ Faculty of Medicine, University of Tripoli, Tripoli, Libya. ⁷ Department of Neurology, Kepler University Hospital, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Linz, Austria.⁸ Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria.⁹ Department of Neurosurgery, XuanWu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. ¹⁰ Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Galway, University of Galway, Galway, Ireland.¹¹ Humanitas Clinical and Research Center-IRCCS, Rozzano, Milan, Italy. ¹² Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ¹³ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford Nuffield, Oxford, UK. ¹⁴ Department of Intensive Care, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Location 'AMC', Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ¹⁵ Mahidol–Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit (MORU), Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand.¹⁶ Department of Anesthesia, General Intensive Care and Pain Management, Division of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. ¹⁷ Departments of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Anesthesiology, University of Washington, Seattle, USA.¹⁸ Department of Intensive Care, Hôpital Universitaire de Bruxelles (HUB), Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium. ¹⁹ School of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy. ²⁰ Fondazione IRCCS San Gerardo Dei Tintori, Monza, Italia.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the late Prof. Paolo Pelosi, a valued member of the Steering Committee, whose insights and inspiration greatly contributed to this work. Prof. Pelosi passed away in 2023. Chiara Robba Work was supported by #NEXTGENERATIONEU (NGEU) and funded by the Ministry of University and Research (MUR), National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), under Project No. MNESYS (PE0000006)—a multiscale integrated approach to the study of the nervous system in health and disease (DN. 1553 11.10.2022). Profs Rebora, Galimberti, and Citerio participated in the manuscript preparation as part of their involvement in the Italian Ministry of University and Research "Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2023-2027" program (L. 232/2016, Art. 1, Commi 314-337). Dott Giardiello is now funded by the National Plan for NRRP Complementary Investments (PNC, established with the decree-law 6 May 2021, n. 59, converted by law n. 101 of 2021) in the call for the funding of research initiatives for technologies and innovative trajectories in the health and care sectors (Directorial Decree n. 931 of 06-06-2022)-project n. PNC0000003—AdvaNced Technologies for Human-centrEd Medicine (project acronym: ANTHEM). Prof Galimberti, Prof Citerio and Dott Giardiello also acknowledge support from a 2023 PRIN (Projects of Relevant National Interest) grant (No. 2022SYXEH). This work reflects only the authors' views and opinions, neither the Ministry for University and Research nor the European Commission can be considered responsible for them. CR was supported by Ricerca Corrente IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino.

List of collaborators VENTIBRAIN: Chenggong Hu, Department of Critical Care Medicine, West China Hospital of Sichuan University China; Tingting Liu, Department of Respiratory Care, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, China; Meiling Dong, Department of Respiratory Care, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, China; Wei Yang, Department of Respiratory Care, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, China; Ying Yang, Department of Respiratory Care, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, China; Yinxia Lv, Department of Respiratory Care, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, China; Hongtao Xia, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Suining Central Hospital, An Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, An Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, China; Bangguo Zhang, Department of Respiratory Care, Chengdu Qingbaijiang District People's Hospital, China; Qionglan Dong, Department of Respiratory Care, The Third Hospital of Mianyang, Sichuan Mental Health Center, China; Dan Xu, Department of Neurosurgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Chongging Medical University, Chongqing, China; Yunxing Cao, Department of Critical Care Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongging Medical University, Chongqing, China; Natalie L. Smith, Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, USA; Nassim S. Matin, Department of Neurology, Barrow Neurologic Institute, Phoenix, USA; Adrienne James, Department of Anesthesiology, University of Washington, Seattle, USA; Abhijit V. Lele, Department of Anesthesiology, University of Washington, Seattle, USA; James A. Town, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, USA; Sarah Wahlster, Department of Neurology, University of Washington, Seattle, USA; Christian Putensen, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Stefan Ehrentraut, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Felix Lehmann, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Stefan Kreyer, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Thomas Muders, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Jens Poth, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Yvonne Klevenhaus, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Andrea Sauer, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Christian Bode, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Konrad Peukert, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn; Qibing Huang, Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China; Zeli Zhang, Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China; Shoujia Sun, Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China; Min Xu, Neurointensive Care Unit, Shengli Oilfield Central Hospital, Dongying, China; Kun Yang, Neurointensive Care Unit, Affiliated Taian City Central Hospital of Qingdao University, Taian, China; Ruifang She, Neurointensive Care Unit, Affiliated Taian City Central Hospital of Qingdao University, Taian, China; Ben Sang, Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Jining NO.1 People's Hospital, Jining, China; Hongpeng Li, Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Rizhao Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Rizhao, China; Liangpeng Song, Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Rizhao Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Rizhao, China; Lisheng Wu, Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Linyi People's Hospital, Linyi, China; Wenjin Chen, Department of Neurosurgery, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, China; Lidan Jiang, Department of Neurosurgery, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, China; Liang Wu, Department of Neurosurgery, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, China; Heng Zhang, Department of Neurosurgery, The First Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning, China; Liqing Bi, Department of Neurosurgery ICU, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu, China; Jingmei Wang, Department of Critical Care Medicine, HanDan Central Hospital, Henan, China; Yong Li, Department of Emergency, Cangzhou Central Hospital, Hebei, China; Ziyue Wang, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Shenyang 739 Hospital, Liaoning, China; Guang Feng, Department of Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, China; Yangong Chao, Department of Critical Care Medicine, First Hospital of Tsinghua University, Beijing, China; Giulia Ciparelli, Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy; Nicoló Patroniti, Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy; Nicoló Patroniti, Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy; Camilla Paolessi, Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy; Carlotta Bandoni, Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy; Maura Mandelli, Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy; Gianni Ciabatti, Department of Neuroanesthesia and Intensive Care, Careggi University Hospital, Florence; Patrick Rusagara, Management of Operating Theatres and Southern Anesthesia, Bologna Health Authority; Vanni Orzalesi, Department of Neuroanesthesia and Intensive Care, Careggi University Hospital, Florence; Luca Bucciardini, Department of Neuroanesthesia and Intensive Care, Careggi University Hospital, Florence; Fabio Picciafuochi, Department of Neuroanesthesia and Intensive Care, Careggi University Hospital, Florence; Alessandra De Luca, Department of Neuroanesthesia and Intensive Care, Careggi University Hospital, Florence; Elena Torrini, Department of Neuroanesthesia and Intensive Care, Careggi University Hospital, Florence; Laura Cosenza, Department of Neuroanesthesia and Intensive Care, Careggi University Hospital, Florence; Maria Amigoni, Department of Neurosciences-Neurological Intensive Care and Neuroanesthesia Unit—San Gerardo dei Tintori IRCCS Foundation, Monza; Paolo Mangili, Department of Neurosciences-Neurological Intensive Care and Neuroanesthesia Unit-San Gerardo dei Tintori IRCCS Foundation, Monza; Valentina Piazza, University of Milano-Bicocca; Melisa Juliana Polo Friz. University of Milano-Bicocca; Silvia del Bianco, Department of Neurosciences-Neurological Intensive Care and Neuroanesthesia Unit-San Gerardo dei Tintori IRCCS Foundation, Monza; Margherita Valla, University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara; Giorgia Ogliari, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome; Alberto Addis, Department of Neurosciences-Neurological Intensive Care and Neuroanesthesia Unit—San Gerardo dei Tintori IRCCS Foundation, Monza: Jorge H. Meiía-Mantilla, Fundación Valle del Lili, Intensive Care Unit: Leidy Gaviria Villarreal, Fundación Valle del Lili, Clinical Research Center; Ángela Marulanda, Fundación Valle del Lili, Intensive Care Unit; José Luis Aldana, Fundación Valle del Lili, Intensive Care Unit; Luis Figueroa, Fundación Valle del Lili, Clinical Research Center; Leidy Johanna Bolaños, Fundación Valle del Lili, Intensive Care Unit; Jackeline Vivas, Fundación Valle del Lili, Intensive Care Unit; Diana Marcela Londoño, Fundación Valle del Lili, Intensive Care Unit; Vincent Legros, Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Perioperative Medicine, Reims University Hospital, Reims, France; Thierry Floch, Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Perioperative Medicine, Reims University Hospital, Reims, France; Marion Leclercq-Rouget, Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Perioperative Medicine, Reims University Hospital, Reims, France; Pierre-Antoine Seube-Remy, Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Perioperative Medicine, Reims University Hospital, Reims, France; Lison Menage-Innocenti, Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Perioperative Medicine, Reims University Hospital, Reims, France; Cindy Chauchard, Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Perioperative Medicine, Reims University Hospital, Reims, France; Francesca Fossi, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milano, Italy; Arturo Chieregato, ASST GrandeOspedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milano, Italy; Federico Pozzi, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milano, Italy; Cristiana Cipolla, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milano, Italy; Anna Bortolaso, School of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy; Linda Bosa, School of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy; Antonella Cotoia, Unit of Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Policlinic of Foggia, Italy; Tecla Zimotti, Unit of Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Policlinic of Foggia, Italy; Filippo Di Pierro, Unit of Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Policlinic of Foggia, Italy; Davide Correnti, Unit of Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Policlinic of Foggia, Italy; Luciano Napolitano, Unit of Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Policlinic of Foggia, Italy; Giuseppe Ferrara, Unit of Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Policlinic of Foggia, Italy; Abele Donati, Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria delle Marche, Ancona, Italy, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Public Health, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy; Vincenzo Gabbanelli, Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria delle Marche, Ancona, Italy; Lucia Pesaresi Department of Biomedical Sciences and Public Health, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy; Giulia Mariotti, Cardiosurgical Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Delle Marche, Ancona, Italy; Evdokia Gavrielatou, First Department of Critical Care Medicine and Pulmonary Services, Evangelismos Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece; Konstantinos Gkirgkiris, First Department of Critical Care Medicine and Pulmonary Services, Evangelismos Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece; Vasileios Grigoropoulos, First Department of Critical Care Medicine and Pulmonary Services, Evangelismos Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece; Ilias Siempos, First Department of Critical Care Medicine and Pulmonary Services, Evangelismos Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece; Anna Lindner, Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Austria; Verena Rass, Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Austria; Philipp Kindl, Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Austria; Lauma Putnina, Department of Neurology, Medical University of

Innsbruck, Austria; Antonio Messina, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano-Milan, Italy; Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Pieve Emanuele-Milan, Italy; Maurizio Cecconi, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano-Milan, Italy; Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Pieve Emanuele-Milan, Italy; Federico Villa, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano-Milan, Italy; Daniele Bono, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano-Milan, Italy; Andrea Cortegiani, Department of Precision Medicine in Medical, Surgical and Critical Care (Me. Pre.C.C.), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; Department of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Intensive Care and Emergency: Giulia Ingoglia, Department of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Intensive Care and Emergency, University Hospital Policlinico Paolo Giaccone; Santi Maurizio Raineri, Department of Precision Medicine in Medical, Surgical and Critical Care (Me.Pre.C.C.), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; Department of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Intensive Care and Emergency, University Hospital Policlinico Paolo Giaccone; Mariachiara Ippolito, Department of Precision Medicine in Medical, Surgical and Critical Care (Me.Pre.C.C.), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; Department of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Intensive Care and Emergency, University Hospital Policlinico Paolo Giaccone; Feng Wei, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Chifeng University, China; Ao Jiao, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Hulunbeier People's Hospital, China; Sami Sannoufa, Radiology Department, Al-Mouwasat University Hospital, Damascus, Syria; Mhd Noor Tahawi, Radiology Department, Al-Mouwasat University Hospital, Damascus, Syria; Mohammad Eyad Takahiji, ENT Department, Damascus Hospital, Syria; Chiarini Valentina, Department of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Prehospital Emergency, Maggiore Hospital Carlo Alberto Pizzardi, Bologna, Italy; Cavagna Silvia, Department of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Prehospital Emergency. Maggiore Hospital Carlo Alberto Pizzardi, Bologna, Italy; Gamberini Lorenzo, Department of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Prehospital Emergency, Maggiore Hospital Carlo Alberto Pizzardi, Bologna, Italy; Muhammed Elhadi, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tripoli, Tripoli, Libya; Joana Ferreira, Hospital Garcia de Orta, Portugal; Dário Batista, Hospital Garcia de Orta, Portugal; Antero do Vale Fernandes, Hospital Garcia de Orta, Universidade da Beira Interior, Universidade de Lisboa, Universidade Fernando Pessoa, Portugal; Yannick Hourmant CHU Nantes, Nantes University, Department of Anaesthesia and critical care, Hôtel Dieu, Nantes, France; Antoine Roguilly CHU Nantes, Nantes University, Department of Anaesthesia and critical care, Hôtel Dieu, Nantes, France, Nantes University, CHU Nantes, INSERM, Center for Research in Transplantation and Translational Immunology, Nantes, France; Raphaël Cinotti, CHU Nantes, Nantes Université, Department of Anaesthesia and critical care, Hôtel Dieu, Nantes, France; UMR 1246 SPHERE "MethodS in Patientscentered outcomes and HEalth Research", University of Nantes, University of Tours, INSERM, Nantes, France; Katharina Krenn, Department of Anaesthesia, General Intensive Care and Pain Management, Medical University of Vienna; Alessia Felli, Department of Anaesthesia, General Intensive Care and Pain Management, Medical University of Vienna; Petra Hobart, Department of Anaesthesia, General Intensive Care and Pain Management, Medical University of Vienna; Essam Eldien Abuobaida Banaga Haj Eltayeb, The National Ribat University, Khartoum, Sudan; Hayat Abuobaida Bannaga, The National Ribat University, Khartoum, Sudan; Ubay Abdullah Kareem Allah Alday, The National Ribat University, Khartoum, Sudan; Lochner Piergiorgio, Department of Neurology, Saarland University Medical Center, Homburg, Germany; Beomonte Zobel Michele, Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Sankt Josefs-Hospital, Wiesbaden, Germany; Harajdova Estera, Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Johannes Gutenberg University Medical Center, Mainz, Germany; Domenico Gelormini, Department of Emergency and Intensive Care, Verona Integrated University Hospital, Verona, Italy; Marilena Casartelli Liviero, Department of Emergency and Intensive Care, Verona Integrated University Hospital, Verona, Italy; Leonardo Gottin, Department of Emergency and Intensive Care, Verona Integrated University Hospital, Verona, Italy; Rafael Badenes, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Clínic Universitari de Valencia, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain; Berta Monleón, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Clínic Universitari de Valencia, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain; Nekane Romero-García, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Clínic Universitari de Valencia, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain; Hussam Elmelliti, Emergency Department, Hamad General Hospital, Doha, Qatar; Phool Iqbal, New York Medical College/Metropolitan Hospital Center, New York, USA; Foziya Solanki, Medical Intensive Care Unit, Hamad General Hospital, Doha, Oatar: Ahmed Lutfe Abdussalam, Medical Intensive Care Unit, Hamad General Hospital, Doha, Qatar; Amr Elwany, Alexandria University Main Hospital;

Mohamed Shemeis, Alexandria University Main Hospital; AbdulRhman Alaa, Alexandria University Main Hospital; Reem Hunain, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal; Shwethapriya Rao, Department of Critical Care, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal; Zehra Siddigui, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal; Eder Cáceres, Clínica Universidad de la Sabana, Department of Critical Care, Chía, Colombia, Unisabana Center for Translational Science, Universidad de La Sabana; Juan Olivella-Gomez, Clínica Universidad de la Sabana, Department of Critical Care. Chía. Colombia: Emilio Viñán-Garcés. Clínica Universidad de la Sabana, Department of Critical Care, Chía, Colombia; Anselmo Caricato, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario "A. Gemelli", IRCCS, Rome, Italy, Università Cattolica S.Cuore, Rome, Italy; Luca Pisapia, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario "A. Gemelli", IRCCS, Rome, Italy; Camilla Gelormini, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario "A. Gemelli", IRCCS, Rome, Italy; Pouya Tahsili-Fahadan, Medical Critical Care Service, Department of Medicine, Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, Falls Church, VA, Neurocritical Care, Inova Neuroscience and Spine Institute, Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, Falls Church, VA, Department of Medical Education, University of Virginia, Inova Campus, Falls Church, VA, Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; Jing Wang, Medical Critical Care Service, Department of Medicine, Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, Falls Church, VA, Neurocritical Care, Inova Neuroscience and Spine Institute, Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, Falls Church, VA; Naveen M. Altaweel, Neurocritical Care, Inova Neuroscience and Spine Institute, Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, Falls Church, VA; Fabio Micheli, Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo, Italy: Paolo Gritti, Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo, Italy; Clotilde Schilte, Reanimation Neurochirurgicale PolyvalenteChirurgicale, CHU Grenoble Alpes, France; Gilles Francony, Reanimation Neurochirurgicale Polyvalente Chirurgicale, CHU Grenoble Alpes, France; Vera Spatenkova, Neurocenter, Neurointensive Care Unit, Liberec Regional Hospital, Liberec, Czech Republic; Ondrej Brandejs, Neurocenter, Neurointensive Care Unit, Liberec Regional Hospital, Liberec, Czech Republic; Hang Chen, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Shengli Clinical Medical College of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou University Affiliated Provincial Hospital, Fujian Provincial Hospital, Fujian Provincial Center for Critical Care Medicine, Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Critical Care Medicine, Fuzhou, China; Minli Chen, Department of Intensive Care Unit, Third Hospital of Xiamen, Xiamen, Fujian, China; Guang Feng, Department of Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, China; Yanru Li, Department of Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, China; Reng Ren, Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, China; Carlos Ferrando, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Spain; Luigi Zattera, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Spain; Claudia de Peray, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Spain; Anna Recasens, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Spain; Mohamed Elbahnasawy, Tanta University Faculty of Medicine, Emergency Medicine and Traumatology Department, Tanta, Egypt; Mohamed Nasreddin, Tanta University Faculty of Medicine, Emergency Medicine and Traumatology, Tanta, Egypt; Mohamed Shama, Tanta University Faculty of Medicine, Emergency Medicine and Traumatology, Tanta, Egypt; Min Zhou, Department of Critical Care Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China; Rui Tang, Department of Critical Care Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China; Nitin Manohara, Associate Staff Physician, Anaesthesia Division-Integrated Hospital Care Institute, Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi, UAE; Mukilan Balasubramanian, Associate Staff Physician, Anaesthesia Division-Integrated Hospital Care Institute, Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi, UAE; Isaac Babu, Associate Staff Physician, Anaesthesia Division-Integrated Hospital Care Institute, Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi, UAE; Ciara Hanley, Dept of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Galway University Hospitals and University of Galway, Ireland; Ciprian Nita, Dept of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Galway University Hospitals and University of Galway, Ireland; Reem Elsaadany, Mansoura University Hospital, Mansoura, Egypt, MBBCh—Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University—Mansoura Manchester Programme for Medical Education; Wen Wu, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Yichang Central People's Hospital, The First College of Clinical Medical Science, China Three Gorges University, China; Yupei Zhang, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Yichang Central People's Hospital, The

First College of Clinical Medical Science, China Three Gorges University, China; Yman Majdi Ibrahim Mohammed, Neurosurgery Center Trauma, The Hajj Al-Mardi Moheu Eldeen Teaching Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan; Misurata Medical Center-Misurata - Libya; Saifaleslam Elsahli, Misurata Medical Center, Misurata, Libya; Suez Canal University; Aiman Al-Touny, Department of Anaesthesia, Suez Canal University Faculty of Medicine, Ismailia, Egypt; Al Ahli Hospital—Hebron- Palestine; Name: Mohammed Alsharif, Al Ahli Hospital-Hebron, Palestine; University Medical Centre Maribor; Andreja Möller Petrun, Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, University Medical Centre, Maribor, Slovenia, Faculty of Medicine, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia; Hospital de Santo António; Maria João Ferreira da Silva Centro, Hospitalar Universitário Santo António, Porto, Portugal; Parc Tauli Hospital University; Gemma Gomà, Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí, Barcelona, Spain; Wake Forest Baptist Health Center; Areen Al-Dhoon, Neurocritical care, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston Salem, NC; Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad del Bajío; Manuel J. Rivera-Chávez; John Hopkins University; Sung-Min Cho, Departments of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Neurology, and Neurosurgery, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; Matthew Bower, Departments of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Neurology, and Neurosurgery, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; Jose I. Suarez, Departments of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Neurology, and Neurosurgery, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, China; Jinfang Liu, Department of Neurosurgery, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China; First Affiliated Hospital of Tsinghua University; Yangong Chao, Department of Critical Care Medicine, First Hospital of Tsinghua University, Beijing, China; Al-Sader Medical City Teaching Hospital, Najaf, Iraq; Maytham A. Al-juaifari, Al-Sader Medical City Teaching Hospital, Najaf, Iraq; Ruhr-University Bochum; Dietrich Henzler, Ruhr-University Bochum, University Dept. Of Anaesthesiology, Surgical Intensive Care, Emergency Medicine and Pain Therapy, Klinikum Herford KKHB, Germany; Jordan University Hospital, Amman, Jordan; Almu'atasim Khamees, Princess Basma Teaching Hospital, Ministry of Health, Jordan; Hospital Municipal Eva Peron de Merlo; Tania Huanca Felipez, Hospital Eva Peron de Merlo, Buenos Aires, Argentina; Islamic Hospital—Amman, Jordan; Bourhan Mohammad Hassan Alrayes, Islamic Hospital, Amman, Jordan; Shuhan Cai, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China, Clinical Research Center of Hubei Critical Care Medicine, Wuhan, Hubei, China; Daniel Godoy, Departamento Medicina Critica. Unidad de Cuidados Neurointensivos, Sanatorio Pasteur, Catamarca, Argentina; Filippo San Filippo, Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, A.O.U." Policlinico-San Marco", Catania, Italy.

Funding

Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Genova within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Data availability

The data supporting the study findings are available upon reasonable request, after approval by the study management committee. Only deidentified and anonymized data will be shared, in compliance with GDPR and data protection regulations and a data dictionary defining each field in the set. Related documents, such as the study protocol, statistical analysis plan, and informed consent form, will also be available.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest

CR received fees for lectures from BD, not related to this work. GC reports fees as a Speakers' Bureau Member and Advisory Board Member from Integra and Neuroptics, all outside the submitted work. FST reports fees as an Advisory Board Member for Neuroptics, Nihon Khoden and Eurosets. JGL reports consulting fees received from Cellenkos. RH reports fees from BD, Zoll, Integra, and Neuroptics. The other authors have no COI to declare.

Open Access

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a

link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 15 November 2024 Accepted: 19 January 2025 Published online: 24 February 2025

References

- Frisvold S, Coppola S, Ehrmann S et al (2023) Respiratory challenges and ventilatory management in different types of acute braininjured patients. Crit Care Lond Engl 27:247. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13054-023-04532-4
- Borsellino B, Schultz MJ, Gama de Abreu M et al (2016) Mechanical ventilation in neurocritical care patients: a systematic literature review. Expert Rev Respir Med 10:1123–1132. https://doi.org/10.1080/17476348.2017. 1235976
- Robba C, Badenes R, Battaglini D et al (2022) Ventilatory settings in the initial 72 h and their association with outcome in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients: a preplanned secondary analysis of the targeted hypothermia versus targeted normothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (TTM2) trial. Intensive Care Med 48:1024–1038. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00134-022-06756-4
- Network ARDS, Brower RG, Matthay MA et al (2000) Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 342:1301–1308. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200005043421801
- Zhang Z, Hu X, Zhang X et al (2015) Lung protective ventilation in patients undergoing major surgery: a systematic review incorporating a Bayesian approach. BMJ Open 5:e007473. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjop en-2014-007473
- Neto AS, Hemmes SNT, Barbas CSV et al (2016) Association between driving pressure and development of postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation for general anaesthesia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet Respir Med 4:272–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(16)00057-6
- Blanch L, Quintel M (2017) Lung-brain cross talk in the critically ill. Intensive Care Med 43:557–559. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-016-4583-1
- Hawryluk GWJ, Aguilera S, Buki A et al (2019) A management algorithm for patients with intracranial pressure monitoring: the Seattle International Severe Traumatic Brain Injury Consensus Conference (SIBICC). Intensive Care Med 45:1783–1794. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00134-019-05805-9
- Beqiri E, Smielewski P, Guérin C et al (2023) Neurological and respiratory effects of lung protective ventilation in acute brain injury patients without lung injury: brain vent, a single centre randomized interventional study. Crit Care Lond Engl 27:115. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13054-023-04383-z
- Robba C, Camporota L, Citerio G (2023) Acute respiratory distress syndrome complicating traumatic brain injury. Can opposite strategies converge? Intensive Care Med 49:583–586. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00134-023-07043-6
- Meyfroidt G, Bouzat P, Casaer MP et al (2022) Management of moderate to severe traumatic brain injury: an update for the intensivist. Intensive Care Med 48:649–666. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-022-06702-4
- 12. Wahlster S, Sharma M, Taran S et al (2024) Associations between driving pressure and clinical outcomes in acute brain injury: a subanalysis of

ENIO. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 209:1400–1404. https://doi.org/10.1164/ rccm.202402-0402LE

- 13. Taran S, Cho S-M, Stevens RD (2023) Mechanical ventilation in patients with traumatic brain injury: is it so different? Neurocrit Care 38:178–191. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-022-01593-1
- Robba C, Poole D, McNett M et al (2020) Mechanical ventilation in patients with acute brain injury: recommendations of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine consensus. Intensive Care Med 46:2397–2410. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06283-0
- Robba C, Citerio G, Taccone FS et al (2021) Multicentre observational study on practice of ventilation in brain injured patients: the VENTIBRAIN study protocol. BMJ Open 11:e047100. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjop en-2020-047100
- Foundation BT, American Association of Neurological Surgeons, Congress of Neurological Surgeons (2007) Guidelines for the management of severe traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma 24(Suppl 1):S1-106. https:// doi.org/10.1089/neu.2007.9999
- Robba C, Graziano F, Rebora P et al (2021) Intracranial pressure monitoring in patients with acute brain injury in the intensive care unit (SYNAPSE-ICU): an international, prospective observational cohort study. Lancet Neurol 20:548–558. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(21) 00138-1
- Wilson JT, Pettigrew LE, Teasdale GM (1998) Structured interviews for the Glasgow Outcome Scale and the extended Glasgow Outcome Scale: guidelines for their use. J Neurotrauma 15:573–585. https://doi.org/10. 1089/neu.1998.15.573
- Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T et al (2016) Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. JAMA 315:788–800. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jama.2016.0291
- Robba C, Graziano F, Guglielmi A et al (2023) Treatments for intracranial hypertension in acute brain-injured patients: grading, timing, and association with outcome. Data from the SYNAPSE-ICU study. Intensive Care Med 49:50–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-022-06937-1
- (2012) Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition. JAMA. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.5669
- 22. Gattinoni L, Tonetti T, Cressoni M et al (2016) Ventilator-related causes of lung injury: the mechanical power. Intensive Care Med 42:1567–1575. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-016-4505-2
- Becher T, van der Staay M, Schädler D et al (2019) Calculation of mechanical power for pressure-controlled ventilation. Intensive Care Med 45:1321–1323. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05636-8
- 24. Giosa L, Busana M, Pasticci I et al (2019) Mechanical power at a glance: a simple surrogate for volume-controlled ventilation. Intensive Care Med Exp 7:61. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40635-019-0276-8
- 25. Snijders TAB, Bosker RJ (2012) Multilevel analysis: an introduction to basic and advanced multilevel modeling, 2nd edn. SAGE, Los Angeles
- 26. Therneau TM, Grambsch PM (2000) Modeling survival data: extending the Cox model. Springer, New York
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR, Anderson DR (2010) Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach, 2nd edn. Springer, New York
- R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2024. http:// www.R-project.org/, https://cran.r-project.org/doc/FAQ/R-FAQ.html# Citing-R.
- Slutsky AS, Ranieri VM (2013) Ventilator-induced lung injury. N Engl J Med 369:2126–2136. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1208707
- Briel M, Meade M, Mercat A et al (2010) Higher vs lower positive end-expiratory pressure in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 303:865–873. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.218
- Grasselli G, Calfee CS, Camporota L et al (2023) ESICM guidelines on acute respiratory distress syndrome: definition, phenotyping and respiratory support strategies. Intensive Care Med 49:727–759. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00134-023-07050-7
- 32. Serpa Neto A, Cardoso SO, Manetta JA et al (2012) Association between use of lung-protective ventilation with lower tidal volumes and clinical outcomes among patients without acute respiratory distress syndrome: a meta-analysis. JAMA 308:1651. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.13730

- Tejerina E, Pelosi P, Muriel A et al (2017) Association between ventilatory settings and development of acute respiratory distress syndrome in mechanically ventilated patients due to brain injury. J Crit Care 38:341–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2016.11.010
- 34. Tejerina EE, Pelosi P, Robba C et al (2021) Evolution Over Time of Ventilatory Management and Outcome of Patients With Neurologic Disease. Crit Care Med. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000004921. (Publish Ahead of Print)
- 35. Neto AS, Barbas CSV, Simonis FD et al (2016) Epidemiological characteristics, practice of ventilation, and clinical outcome in patients at risk of acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units from 16 countries (PRoVENT): an international, multicentre, prospective study. Lancet Respir Med 4:882–893. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(16)30305-8
- 36. Wahlster S, Sharma M, Taran S et al (2023) Utilization of mechanical power and associations with clinical outcomes in brain injured patients: a secondary analysis of the extubation strategies in neuro-intensive care unit patients and associations with outcome (ENIO) trial. Crit Care Lond Engl 27:156. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-023-04410-z
- 37. Neto AS, Simonis FD, Barbas CSV et al (2015) Lung-protective ventilation with low tidal volumes and the occurrence of pulmonary complications in patients without acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and individual patient data analysis. Crit Care Med 43:2155–2163. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000001189

- Serpa Neto A, Simonis FD, Barbas CSV et al (2014) Association between tidal volume size, duration of ventilation, and sedation needs in patients without acute respiratory distress syndrome: an individual patient data meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med 40:950–957. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00134-014-3318-4
- Manzano F, Fernández-Mondéjar E, Colmenero M et al (2008) Positiveend expiratory pressure reduces incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in nonhypoxemic patients. Crit Care Med 36:2225–2231. https:// doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31817b8a92
- Robba C, Battaglini D, Abbas A et al (2024) Clinical practice and effect of carbon dioxide on outcomes in mechanically ventilated acute braininjured patients: a secondary analysis of the ENIO study. Intensive Care Med 50:234–246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-023-07305-3
- Mascia L, Fanelli V, Mistretta A et al (2024) Lung-protective mechanical ventilation in patients with severe acute brain injury: a multicenter randomized clinical trial (PROLABI). Am J Respir Crit Care Med 210(9):1123– 1131. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202402-0375OC
- 42. Rezoagli E, Petrosino M, Rebora P et al (2022) High arterial oxygen levels and supplemental oxygen administration in traumatic brain injury: insights from CENTER-TBI and OZENTER-TBI. Intensive Care Med 48:1709–1725. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-022-06884-x
- Robba C, Graziano F, Picetti E et al (2024) Early systemic insults following traumatic brain injury: association with biomarker profiles, therapy for intracranial hypertension, and neurological outcomes-an analysis of CENTER-TBI data. Intensive Care Med 50:371–384. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00134-024-07324-8
- Robba C, Taccone FS, Citerio G (2022) Monitoring cerebral oxygenation in acute brain-injured patients. Intensive Care Med 48:1463–1466. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00134-022-06788-w