Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Sebhat Erqou, Brown University, United States

REVIEWED BY Damiano D'Ardes, Università degli Studi G. d'Annunzio Chieti e Pescara, Italy Ahmed K. Awad, Ain Shams University, Egypt Mariana Tudoran, Pius Brînzeu Emergency County Clinical Hospital Timisoara, Romania

\*CORRESPONDENCE Luisa Ojeda-Fernández 🗵 Luisa.ojeda@marionegri.it

RECEIVED 21 June 2023 ACCEPTED 22 August 2023 PUBLISHED 12 September 2023

#### CITATION

Ojeda-Fernández L, Baviera M, Foresta A, Tettamanti M, Zambon A, Macaluso G, Schena S, Leoni O, Fortino I, Roncaglioni MC and Parati G (2023) Impact of first and second/ third wave of COVID-19 pandemic on postacute cardiovascular outcomes in Lombardy. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1244002. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1244002

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ojeda-Fernández, Baviera, Foresta, Tettamanti, Zambon, Macaluso, Schena, Leoni, Fortino, Roncaglioni and Parati. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Impact of first and second/third wave of COVID-19 pandemic on post-acute cardiovascular outcomes in Lombardy

Luisa Ojeda-Fernández<sup>1\*</sup>, Marta Baviera<sup>1</sup>, Andreana Foresta<sup>1</sup>, Mauro Tettamanti<sup>2</sup>, Antonella Zambon<sup>3</sup>, Giulia Macaluso<sup>1</sup>, Simone Schena<sup>1</sup>, Olivia Leoni<sup>4</sup>, Ida Fortino<sup>4</sup>, Maria Carla Roncaglioni<sup>1</sup> and Gianfranco Parati<sup>5,6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Cardiovascular Prevention, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Milan, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Geriatric Epidemiology, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Milan, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics and Quantitative Methods, University of Milano Bicocca, Milan, Italy, <sup>4</sup>Unità Organizzativa Osservatorio Epidemiologico Regionale, Lombardy Region, Milan, Italy, <sup>5</sup>Department of Cardiology, Ospedale San Luca, IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy, <sup>6</sup>Department of Cardiovascular, Neural and Metabolic Sciences, Ospedale San Luca, IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy, <sup>7</sup>Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy

Background: COVID-19 has been associated with a higher risk of post-acute complications. Our aim was to analyze and compare post-acute cardiovascular complications of COVID-19 survivors of the first and second/third pandemic waves in Lombardy, in both hospitalized and non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Methods and results: We included adults aged ≥40 years infected during the first and second/third waves of COVID-19 pandemic. The follow-up initiated 30 days after COVID-19 diagnosis and continued up to 9 months. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the post-acute cardiovascular outcomes were calculated against an inverse probability treatment weighted control group. Subgroup analysis were performed by age classes, sex, previous cardiovascular disease and stratified by COVID-19 hospitalization status to explore the impact of COVID-19 severity on outcomes. Compared to the control group, COVID-19 patients had an increased risk of hospitalization for any cardiovascular complications (HR 1st wave 1.53 95% CI: 1.38-1.69; HR 2nd/3rd wave 1.25 95% CI: 1.19-1.31) and for individual cardiovascular outcomes, although HRs were higher in COVID-19 group from the 1st pandemic wave. The results were confirmed in the subgroup analyses. Of note, the risk for any cardiovascular disease was also evident even among individuals who were not hospitalized during the acute phase of the infection.

**Conclusion:** Our results provide evidence that COVID-19 is a risk factor for postacute cardiovascular complications among different pandemic waves regardless of COVID-19 severity, age, sex and a history of cardiovascular diseases. Care strategies of people with COVID-19 should include cardiac monitoring.

#### KEYWORDS

COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, post-acute outcomes, cardiovascular outcomes, pandemic waves



### Introduction

COVID-19 pandemic, which is caused by SARS-CoV-2, spread globally and caused mortality at an unprecedented scale (1). Although acute respiratory distress syndrome was one of the hallmarks of the COVID-19 viral infection, multi-organ system complications were observed in patients with severe disease (2). In particular, COVID-19 patients could present cardiovascular (CV) disorders, such as myocardial injury, arrhythmias, acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and venous thromboembolism (VTE) (3-6). In addition, a wide range of CV complications have been also reported after the acute phase supporting an interplay between SARS-CoV-2 and CV system (7-9). The reason is unclear but may be related directly to virally mediated vascular endothelial injury or indirectly to the inflammatory process accompanying the immune response (10-13). Long-term CV sequelae in COVID-19 patients are currently under investigation worldwide. So far, most published observational studies have mainly focused on patients admitted to hospital for COVID-19 (14-16), or analyzed the general population but with a short/ mid-term post-infection follow-up (17-19). To our knowledge, only two large population based studies have analyzed the incidence of long-term CV outcomes (20, 21). However, these studies have pooled data from different COVID-19 pandemic waves, although the disease severity and the clinical characteristics were driven by different variants of the coronavirus (22, 23). Of note, in Lombardy, one of the first regions to experience a rapid increase in numbers of COVID-19 infections and related deaths (24), the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic overwhelmed the capacity of hospitals, particularly intensive care units, and had a stronger impact on health of people compared to the second wave of the pandemic (23, 25).

With the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and the increasing number of patients recovered from the disease, growing evidence is needed about CV long-term effects to improve care strategies in COVID-19 survivors. We investigated the prevalence of post-acute CV outcomes in a large sample of hospitalized and non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients in comparison to a matched control group in the 1st and 2nd/3rd waves of the pandemic in Lombardy.

# **Methods**

### Data source

Our study used two linkable administrative databases of the of the Lombardy region. One of them was a healthcare utilization database aimed to facilitate Regional Health Service (RHS) management and includes information on demographic data, drug prescriptions and hospital records of Lombardy residents. The second administrative database was the *Database DB Covid-19* that was the registry of patients with a confirmed diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection, with the aim of monitoring ascertained infections of SARS-CoV-2 and hospital admissions, emergency room accesses, and deaths due to COVID-19. *Database DB Covid-19* was used to identify COVID-19 patients and RHS database to identify control individuals. A unique identification code allows linkage of all databases. For more details, see **Supplementary Material**.

Healthcare in Italy is publicly funded for all residents, irrespective of social class or employment, and every resident is assigned a personal identification number kept in the National Civil Registration System. All residents are assisted by general practitioners (GPs) under the NHS. To ensure privacy, each identification code was automatically de-identified. The inverse process being allowed only to the RHA on request from judicial authorities. According to Italian law, studies using retrospective aggregated data from administrative databases that do not involve direct access by investigators to individual patients' data, do not require approval or notification from an Ethics Committee/IRB or patients' informed consent.

### Study population and exposure

We selected patients with a diagnosis of COVID-19 aged 40 years and older from 15 February to 30 June 2020 (1st wave) and from 1 October 2020 to 31 May 2021 (2nd/3rd wave). In Italy, there was not a strict separation between the 2nd and 3rd wave of COVID-19 pandemic, thus in the present study they are considered together. Index date is defined as the date of positive test for SARS-CoV-2 or hospital admission for COVID-19, whichever comes before. For each COVID-19 patient, up to three control individuals were randomly assigned and available in the control dataset, with the same distribution of age (±3 years), sex and GP. We excluded control individuals with a COVID-19 diagnosis during the follow-up. To examine post-acute CV outcomes, we then selected individuals from the COVID-19 group who were alive 30 days after the index date. For further analysis, the COVID-19 cohort was also divided by nonhospitalized or hospitalized patients during the acute phase of COVID-19. Also in the control group, subjects alive 30 days after the assigned index date were analyzed (Figure 1).

### Study variables

Demographic data were recorded at the time of inclusion. History of comorbidities was collected in the four years before the index date using hospital records as primary diagnosis and up to five co-existing conditions. The Charlson comorbidity index (26) as a proxy of comorbidities was also retrieved. Exposures to medications of interest were traced in the 12 months before index date electrocardiogram (ECG) and cardiology visits were also collected in the previous 12 months before index date (Supplementary Material, ATC and ICD-9 codes).

# Outcomes and follow-up

Follow-up observation started 30 days after the index date and proceeded up until the minimum date between the date of death or the date of the event or the end of the study period (9 months after entering the cohorts). We analyzed the following CV outcomes: ischemic stroke, chronic ischemic heart disease, coronary artery disease, acute heart failure, chronic heart failure, myocardial infarction, angina and atrial fibrillation using hospital records as primary diagnosis. We also analyzed a composite CV outcome defined as any CV disease that consisted of at least one of the CV outcomes mentioned above. In addition, we reported the frequency of hospitalizations due to venous thromboembolism (VTE) and all-cause death. Finally, we evaluated the number cardiology visits, ECG and emergency room access during the follow-up. To test the specificity of any association between COVID-19 and CV outcomes, we also included a negative control composite outcome.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis was performed to describe the cohort baseline characteristics: COVID-19 and control individuals. Continuous variables were reported as mean and standard deviation (SD) and dichotomous variables as frequencies and percentages. Baseline continuous variables were compared using a *t*-test, and categorical variables were compared with a  $\chi^2$  test.

Using a Cox proportional hazards model, we estimated hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to analyze the association between COVID-19 and risk of CV outcomes of interest and all-cause death. HRs were computed taking advantage of Inverse Probability Treatment Weighting (IPTW). We used IPTW to minimize the repercussions of selection bias (27). The average of the inverse predicted probabilities must be approximately equal to 1 to consider the well-behaved weights that led to a small variance of the effect estimate (28). HRs were adjusted for sex, age, pre-existing condition, previous ECG and cardiology visits, and medications of interest.

HRs for any CV disease and all-cause death between COVID-19 and control cohorts were estimated in subgroup analyses by sex (M/F), age (40–65 vs. >65 years) and previous CV disease (yes/no). Additional HRs were also calculated according to COVID-19 acute phase care setting (hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients) in comparison with control cohort.

A *P*-value <0.05 was used for statistical significance. All analyses were done using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute).



# Results

**Figure 1** shows the flow-chart of the study. A total of 59,545 COVID-19 patients and 196,091 control individuals, and 425,600 COVID-19 patients and 1,316,933 control individuals, for the 1st and the 2nd/3rd wave respectively, were included in the analysis. Baseline characteristics of COVID-19 survivors and control groups for 1st and 2nd/3rd waves of the pandemic are reported in **Table 1**. Survivors to the COVID-19 acute phase during 1st and 2nd/3rd waves were slightly younger and had more pre-existing conditions compared to control individuals. COVID-19 patients in the 2nd/3rd wave were younger and with less pre-existing conditions than COVID-19

patients in the 1st wave. Baseline characteristics of nonhospitalized and hospitalized COVID-19 patients vs. control individuals are reported in **Supplementary Tables S1, S2**. In general, non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients were younger, more likely to be females and less exposed to medication compare to control group, while hospitalized COVID-19 patients were older, more likely to be men with more preexisting clinical conditions and received more medications compared to the control group. The largest difference in preexisting clinical conditions prevalence between both COVID-19 groups (non-hospitalized and hospitalized) and the control group was observed for chronic obstructive disease. The mean follow-up in the COVID-19 and control groups was  $222 \pm 46$  TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of COVID-19 patients during the 1st and 2nd/3rd wave of the pandemic and randomly assigned control subjects alive after 30 days, before inverse probability weighting.

Variables	1st wave			2nd/3rd wave			
	COVID-19 <i>N</i> = 59,545	Control <i>N</i> = 196,091	<i>P</i> -value	COVID-19 <i>N</i> = 425,600	Control N = 1,316,933	<i>P</i> -value	
Age (years), mean ± SD	$64.97 \pm 14.97$	$66.65 \pm 14.73$	< 0.0001	59.26 ± 13.48	$60.12 \pm 13.87$	< 0.0001	
Age groups (years), n (%)							
40-59	25,694 (43.15)	73,638 (37.55)	< 0.0001	249,648 (58.66)	745,308 (56.59)	< 0.0001	
60-79	20,920 (35.13)	73,782 (37.63)		131,267 (30.84)	408,585 (31.03)		
≥80	12,931 (21.72)	48,671 (24.82)		44,685 (10.50)	163,040 (12.38)		
Gender (female)	32,035 (53.80)	97,938 (49.95)	< 0.0001	221,581 (52.06)	681,932 (51.78)	0.0014	
Pre-existing clinical conditions, $n$ (%) (in the previous 4 years)							
Ischemic stroke	754 (1.27)	1,400 (0.71)	< 0.0001	1,878 (0.44)	4,160 (0.32)	< 0.0001	
Acute heart failure	251 (0.42)	498 (0.25)	< 0.0001	677 (0.16)	1,313 (0.10)	< 0.0001	
Chronic heart failure	403 (0.68)	747 (0.38)	< 0.0001	1,105 (0.26)	2,047 (0.16)	< 0.0001	
Myocardial infarction	988 (1.66)	2,271 (1.16)	< 0.0001	3,713 (0.87)	8,578 (0.65)	< 0.0001	
Chronic ischemic heart disease	2,090 (3.51)	4,595 (2.34)	< 0.0001	6,794 (1.60)	15,241 (1.16)	< 0.0001	
Coronary artery disease	219 (0.37)	547 (0.28)	0.0005	987 (0.23)	2,161 (0.16)	< 0.0001	
Angina	637 (1.07)	1,652 (0.84)	< 0.0001	2,691 (0.63)	6,446 (0.49)	< 0.0001	
Cardiogenic shock	59 (0.10)	53 (0.03)	< 0.0001	149 (0.04)	258 (0.02)	< 0.0001	
Atrial fibrillation	2,623 (4.41)	4,957 (2.53)	< 0.0001	7,205 (1.69)	14,983 (1.14)	< 0.0001	
Venous thromboembolism	1,031 (1.73)	1,030 (0.53)	< 0.0001	2,309 (0.54)	3,542 (0.27)	< 0.0001	
Chronic kidney disease	1,370 (2.30)	1,871 (0.95)	<0.0001	3,169 (0.74)	5,383 (0.41)	< 0.0001	
Dialysis	271 (0.46)	142 (0.07)	< 0.0001	485 (0.11)	473 (0.04)	< 0.0001	
Chronic obstructive disease	7,447 (12.51)	3,611 (1.84)	<0.0001	10,068 (2.37)	11,215 (0.85)	< 0.0001	
Asthma	216 (0.36)	259 (0.13)	<0.0001	576 (0.14)	1,074 (0.08)	< 0.0001	
Liver disease	632 (1.06)	1,003 (0.51)	<0.0001	1,804 (0.42)	4,036 (0.31)	< 0.0001	
Charlson index (years), mean ± SD (in the previous 12 months)	$0.19 \pm 0.87$	0.06 ± 0.39	< 0.0001	$0.06 \pm 0.42$	$0.03 \pm 0.26$	< 0.0001	
CV healthcare utilization markers, n (%) (in the previous 12 months)							
ECG	9,818 (16.49)	31,617 (16.12)	0.0344	43,588 (10.24)	116,390 (8.84)	< 0.0001	
Cardiology visit	7,494 (12.59)	24,863 (12.68)	0.5462	31,765 (7.46)	87,789 (6.67)	< 0.0001	
Medications, n (%) (in the previous 12 months)							
Antidiabetic drugs	6,064 (10.18)	17,808 (9.08)	< 0.0001	30,063 (7.06)	80,909 (6.14)	< 0.0001	
ACE-I/ARBS	18,462 (31.01)	64,995 (33.15)	< 0.0001	104,768 (24.62)	305,393 (23.19)	< 0.0001	
Beta blockers	11,400 (19.15)	37,350 (19.05)	0.5943	59,603 (14.00)	173,023 (13.14)	< 0.0001	
Diuretics	6,244 (10.49)	17,726 (9.04)	< 0.0001	24,382 (5.73)	68,160 (5.18)	< 0.0001	
Ca-antagonists	6,714 (11.28)	22,984 (11.72)	0.0030	33,629 (7.90)	98,089 (7.45)	< 0.0001	
Lipid lowering drugs	11,255 (18.90)	40,497 (20.65)	< 0.0001	64,226 (15.09)	188,857 (14.34)	< 0.0001	
Antiplatelet drugs	7,843 (13.17)	25,901 (13.21)	0.8147	34,457 (8.10)	100,857 (7.66)	< 0.0001	
Oral anticoagulant drugs	3,396 (5.70)	10,989 (5.60)	0.3575	14,714 (3.46)	42,837 (3.25)	< 0.0001	
Heparin	3,395 (5.70)	9,286 (4.74)	< 0.0001	33,881 (7.96)	41,620 (3.16)	< 0.0001	
Drugs for respiratory disease	6,400 (10.75)	18,835 (9.61)	< 0.0001	35,722 (8.39)	81,527 (6.19)	< 0.0001	
Steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	5,484 (9.21)	15,101 (7.70)	< 0.0001	50,871 (11.95)	71,708 (5.45)	< 0.0001	
No-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	8,286 (13.92)	27,242 (13.89)	0.8870	53,408 (12.55)	133,312 (10.12)	< 0.0001	

ACE-I, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARBs, angiotensin II receptor agonist blockers; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

and  $230 \pm 30$  days for the 1st wave, and  $237 \pm 29$  and  $240 \pm 16$  days for 2nd/3rd wave, respectively.

After IPTW the average inverse predicted probabilities were around 1 for all groups in comparison with their counterparts, suggesting that covariates were well balanced after inverse probability weighting (28). Absolute standardized difference between COVID-19 and control group before and after IPTW are shown in **Supplementary Figures S2**, S3. Number of events and adjusted HRs (95% CI) are shown in **Figure 2**. Significantly higher risks were observed in COVID-19 patients compared with control group for all considered outcomes, except for ischemic stroke in both waves, although differences for coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction were not significant in the 2nd/3rd wave. In both waves the risk of VTE was statistically significant higher in COVID-19 patients compared to controls. A significantly higher number of ECGs, cardiology visits, emergency room accesses and CV re-hospitalizations was reported for COVID-19 patients compared to control group, while differences for CV re-hospitalizations were not statistically significant in COVID-19 patients in the 2nd/3rd wave of the pandemic (Supplementary Tables S3). No significant association was observed between COVID-19 and a composite negative-outcome where an association is not expected (Supplementary Tables S4).

	COVID-19		Con	trol	HRs (95%, CI)				
	N	%	N	%		1			
lashamia straka	56	(0.00)	102	(0.10)	0.07/0.71.1.22)		T I		
Chronic Stroke	50 124	(0.09)	192	(0.10)	0.97(0.71,1.32)				ī.
Chronic ischemic heart disease	124	(0.21)	212	(0.11)	1.99(1.59,2.49)				- ,
Coronary anery disease	32	(0.03)	71	(0.03)	2.00(1.37,3.09)				
Acute near failure	41	(0.07)	71	(0.04)	1.96(1.33,2.90)				
Mussardial infanction	45	(0.08)	207	(0.04)	1.64(1.20,2.09)			1	-
	120	(0.20)	170	(0.10)	1.40(1.13,1.73)			7	
Angina	02	(0.14)	204	(0.09)	1.55(1.16,2.02)				
	527	(0.15)	1104	(0.10)	1 52(1 20 1 60)			1	
Venous thromboembolism	23/	(0.90)	154	(0.01)	1.33(1.38,1.09)			1	ĩ
Death	00	(0.15)	104	(0.00)	1.04(1.41,2.40)			1	1
		(010 1)		(2.0.0)					1
and /ard wave						0.8 1	1.5	2	2.5 3 3.
2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> wave	COVI	D-19	Con	itrol	HRs (95%, CI)	0.8 1	1.5	2	2.5 3 3.
2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> wave	COVI	D-19	Con N	itrol	HRs (95%, Cl)	0.8 1	1.5	2	2.5 3 3.
2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave	COVI N 266	D-19 %	Con N 894	1trol %	HRs (95%, CI)	0.8 1	1.5	2	2.5 3 3.
<sup>2nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease	COVI N 266 678	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16)	Con N 894 1346	trol % (0.07) (0.10)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35.1.63)	0.8 1	1.5	2	2.5 3 3.
2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease Coronary artery disease	N 266 	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03)	Con N 894 1346 338	(0.07) (0.10) (0.03)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39)	0.8 1	1.5  -=-	2	2.5 3 3.
2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease Coronary artery disease Acute heart failure	COVI N 266 678 133 134	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03)	Con N 894 1346 338 278	(0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68)	0.8 1	1.5  -+-  	2	2.5 3 3.
a 2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease Coronary artery disease Acute heart failure Chronic heart failure	COVI N 266 678 133 134 142	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03) (0.03)	Con N 894 1346 338 278 290	(0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02) (0.02)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68) 1.41(1.15,1.74)	0.8 1		2	2.5 3 3.
2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease Coronary artery disease Acute heart failure Chronic heart failure Myocardial infarction	COVI N 266 678 133 134 142 547	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03) (0.03) (0.13)	Con N 894 1346 338 278 290 1652	trol % (0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02) (0.02) (0.13)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68) 1.41(1.15,1.74) 1.01(0.92,1.12)			2	2.5 3 3.
2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease Coronary artery disease Acute heart failure Chronic heart failure Myocardial infarction Angina	COVI N 266 678 133 134 142 547 426	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03) (0.03) (0.13) (0.10)	Con N 894 1346 338 278 290 1652 1002	trol % (0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02) (0.02) (0.13) (0.08)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68) 1.41(1.15,1.74) 1.01(0.92,1.12) 1.25(1.11,1.40)			2	2.5 3 3.
2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease Coronary artery disease Acute heart failure Chronic heart failure Chronic heart failure Myocardial infarction Angina Atrial fibrillation	COVI N 266 678 133 134 142 547 426 527	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03) (0.03) (0.13) (0.10) (0.12)	Con N 894 1346 338 278 290 1652 1002 1027	trol % (0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02) (0.02) (0.13) (0.08) (0.08)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68) 1.41(1.15,1.74) 1.01(0.92,1.12) 1.25(1.11,1.40) 1.52(1.37,1.69)			2	2.5 3 3.
2nd/3rd Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease Coronary artery disease Acute heart failure Chronic heart failure Chronic heart failure Myocardial infarction Angina Atrial fibrillation Any cardiovascular disease	COVI N 266 678 133 134 142 547 426 527 2628	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03) (0.13) (0.10) (0.12) (0.62)	Con N 894 1346 338 278 290 1652 1002 1027 6275	trol % (0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02) (0.02) (0.13) (0.08) (0.08) (0.48)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68) 1.41(1.15,1.74) 1.01(0.92,1.12) 1.25(1.11,1.40) 1.52(1.37,1.69) 1.25(1.19,1.31)			2	2.5 3 3.
2nd/3rd Wave utcomes Ischemic stroke Chronic ischemic heart disease Coronary artery disease Acute heart failure Chronic heart failure Chronic heart failure Myocardial infarction Angina Atrial fibrillation Any cardiovascular disease Venous thromboembolism	COVI N 266 678 133 134 142 547 426 527 2628 379	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03) (0.13) (0.10) (0.12) (0.62) (0.09)	Con N 894 1346 338 278 290 1652 1002 1027 6275 579	trol % (0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02) (0.02) (0.13) (0.08) (0.08) (0.08) (0.48)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68) 1.41(1.15,1.74) 1.01(0.92,1.12) 1.25(1.11,1.40) 1.52(1.37,1.69) 1.25(1.19,1.31) 1.82(1.60,2.08)			2	2.5 3 3.
2 nd/3rd Wave	COVI N 266 678 133 134 142 547 426 527 2628 379 9486	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03) (0.03) (0.13) (0.10) (0.12) (0.62) (0.09) (2.23)	Con N 894 1346 338 278 290 1652 1002 1027 6275 579 10958	ttrol % (0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02) (0.02) (0.03) (0.08) (0.08) (0.04) (0.04) (0.83)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68) 1.41(1.15,1.74) 1.01(0.92,1.12) 1.25(1.11,1.40) 1.52(1.37,1.69) 1.25(1.19,1.31) 1.82(1.60,2.08) 2.65(2.57,2.72)			2	<b>2.5 3 3</b> . <b>⊢</b>
2nd/3rd Wave	COVI N 266 678 133 134 142 547 426 527 2628 379 9486	D-19 % (0.06) (0.16) (0.03) (0.03) (0.03) (0.13) (0.10) (0.12) (0.62) (0.09) (2.23)	Con N 894 1346 338 278 290 1652 1002 1027 6275 579 10958	(0.07) (0.10) (0.03) (0.02) (0.02) (0.13) (0.08) (0.08) (0.04) (0.04)	HRs (95%, Cl) 0.92(0.80,1.06) 1.48(1.35,1.63) 1.13(0.92,1.39) 1.36(1.09,1.68) 1.41(1.15,1.74) 1.01(0.92,1.12) 1.25(1.11,1.40) 1.52(1.37,1.69) 1.25(1.19,1.31) 1.82(1.60,2.08) 2.65(2.57,2.72)		1.5	2	2.5 3 3. ⊨

#### FIGURE 2

Post-acute COVID-19 cardiovascular outcomes during the 1st and 2nd/3rd wave compared with the control cohort. Outcomes were ascertained 30 days after the COVID-19 diagnosis until the end of follow-up (up to 9 months after the index date). Any cardiovascular disease composite outcome consisted of hospitalization by ischemic stroke, chronic ischemic heart disease, coronary artery disease, acute heart failure, chronic heart failure, myocardial infarction, angina and atrial fibrillation. Hazard ratios (HRs) were computed after IPTW. HRs (95% CI) were adjusted for sex, age, pre-existing condition, medications of interest and previous cardiology visits and ECG. IPTW 1st wave (mean, median [q1, q3]) = 1.00, 0.98 [0.93, 1.04]. IPTW 2nd/3rd wave (mean, median [q1, q3]) = 1.00, 0.99 (0.96, 1.02).

A significantly higher risk of being hospitalized for any CV disease was seen in COVID-19 patients across all the considered subgroups stratified by sex, age and hospitalization for previous CV disease compared to the control group (Figure 3). A higher risk for any CV disease was also observed in non-hospitalized and hospitalized COVID-19 patients, considered separately, in comparison to the control group, although this difference did not reach the statistically significance for non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients of the 1st wave (Table 2).

## Discussion

This population-based study evaluated the post-acute CV outcomes among 59,545 COVID-19 cases from the 1st wave and 425,600 COVID-19 cases from the 2nd/3rd wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Lombardy. To our knowledge, this is the largest study analyzing the prevalence of post-acute CV complications

among different COVID-19 outbreaks, where Wuhan original strain and Alfa variant were predominant in Italy during the 1st and 2nd/3rd waves, respectively (29). With the exception of ischemic stroke, we found an increased risk of post-acute CV outcomes in COVID-19 patients compared to control individuals up to 9 months of follow-up in both waves. The risk of any CV disease remained higher across all subgroups of patients stratified by sex, age and hospitalizations for previous CV disease. In comparison with control individuals, the risk of any CV disease in the post-acute phase was higher also in non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients, although the HRs were lower than those seen in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. In addition, a higher frequency of cardiology visits and ECGs during the observation period in both waves was reported for COVID-19 patients, suggesting that these patients were more likely to be monitored for CV symptoms or CV complications than control group after the acute phase.

In general, we observed a higher risk of having CV complications in COVID-19 patients infected during the 1st pandemic wave. The



#### FIGURE 3

Subgroup analysis of any cardiovascular disease in COVID-19 group compared to control during the 1st (A) and 2nd/3rd (B) wave of pandemic. Outcomes were ascertained 30 days after the COVID-19 diagnosis until the end of follow-up (up to 9 months after the index date). Any cardiovascular disease composite outcome consisted of hospitalization by ischemic stroke, chronic ischemic heart disease, coronary artery disease, acute heart failure, chronic heart failure, myocardial infarction, angina and atrial fibrillation. Hazard ratios (HRs) were computed after IPTW. HRs (95% CI) were adjusted for sex, age, pre-existing condition, medications of interest and previous cardiology visits and ECG. IPTW 1st wave (mean, median [q1, q3]) = 1.00, 0.98 (0.93, 1.04]. IPTW 2nd/3rd wave (mean, median [q1, q3]) = 1.00, 0.99 (0.96, 1.02).

TABLE 2 Number of events and HRs (95% CI) for post-acute any cardiovascular disease outcome in hospitalized and non-hospitalized COVID-1	19 patients
compared with the control group during the 1st and 2nd/3rd wave.	

Subgroup	1st wave			2nd/3rd wave			
	N	(%)	HR (95%, CI)	N	(%)	HR (95%, CI)	
Control	1,194/196,091	0.61	Referent	6,275/1,316,933	0.48	Referent	
Non-hospitalized Covid-19	174/34,476	0.50	1.16 (0.99, 1.36)	1,904/384,549	0.50	1.13 (1.08, 1.19)	
Hospitalized Covid-19	363/25,069	1.45	1.84 (1.63, 2.08)	724/41,051	1.76	1.75 (1.61, 1.90)	

Outcomes were ascertained 30 days after the COVID-19 diagnosis until the end of follow-up (up to 9 months after the index date). Any cardiovascular disease composite outcome consisted of hospitalization by ischemic stroke, chronic ischemic heart disease, coronary artery disease, acute heart failure, chronic heart failure, myocardial infarction, angina and atrial fibrillation. Hazard ratios (HRs) were computed after IPTW. HRs (95% CI) were adjusted for sex, age, pre-existing condition, medications of interest and previous cardiology visits and ECG. IPTW 1st wave [mean, median (q1, q3)] = 1.00, 0.98 [0.93, 1.04]. IPTW 2nd/3rd wave [mean, median (q1, q3)] = 1.00, 0.99 (0.96, 1.02).

differences observed may be related to differences in COVID-19 population between waves: COVID-19 patients infected during the 1st wave were older and with a higher prevalence of CV diseases compared to COVID-19 patients infected during the 2nd/3rd wave. Moreover, after the 1st wave of pandemic, significant changes have been made in the health care system increasing the total number of ICU beds and involving the primary care in the initial management of COVID-19 (30). This may has positively impacted on patient's outcomes. In addition, improvements in COVID-19 treatment might have driven the lower prevalence of post-acute CV outcomes that we have observed in patients infected during the 2nd/ 3rd wave. This may be due to the use of the corticosteroid dexamethasone that, beyond its efficacy to reduce mortality in patients who were hospitalized for COVID-19 (31, 32), showed to decrease cardiac inflammation in patients with myocarditis (33). This hypothesis is also in line with Wu et al. (34) that reported a markedly decrease of cardiac inflammation, cardiomyocyte injury and microvascular thrombogenicity in fatal COVID-19 cases in second wave compared to first wave patients. Of note, cardiac abnormalities diagnosed by transthoracic echocardiography seem to alleviate gradually after the acute phase but their evolution is associated to patients' age, the severity of the acute infection but also with the pathogeny of different viral strains (35).

Cardiac damage during the acute phase of COVID-19 is hypothesized to be caused by direct and indirect cardiac injury. Direct cardiac injury is driven by SARS-CoV-2 cardiomyocytes infiltration that highly express ACE2 receptor. Indirect injury could be mediated by respiratory failure induced hypoxic injury, overwhelming cytokine release, systemic inflammation, hypercoagulability, Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System dysregulation (RAAS), plaque destabilization, and myocardial supply-demand mismatch (13). COVID-19 is potentially the cause of a wide range of post-acute CV complications. However, the underlying mechanisms for CV sequelae are not entirely understood and it has been hypothesized that altered physiological states and mechanical/anatomical damage to the cardiac system during the acute phase of COVID-19 were involved (13). These mechanisms include persistent hyperactivated immune response and the persistence of the virus in different organs or tissues that may trigger post-inflammation residual damage, immune system dysregulation and inadequate antibody response (12, 13, 36). Moreover, integration of the SARS-CoV-2 genome into DNA of infected human cells has been hypothesized as a mechanism for continued activation of the immune-inflammatory-pro-coagulant cascade (37, 38). Besides biological mechanisms mentioned before, changes in daily life imposed by restrictive measures to contain the spread of SARS-CoV-2, have been associated to unhealthy lifestyle habits leading to an increase in CV risk during COVID-19 pandemic, as recently reported by Solfanelli et al. in a population of healthcare workers (39).

### Comparison with other studies

The prevalence of post-acute CV sequelae has varied across and within various studies. This may reflect differences in methodology, in the study populations and care setting during the acute phase, pandemic waves, burden of co-morbidities and/or length of follow-up (10, 40–42). A prospective cohort study conducted in Italy including only COVID-19 patients during the 1st pandemic wave reported that female sex, in-hospital acute heart failure and atrial fibrillation were predictors of major CV complications (16).

Few matched control studies have reported short/middle-term CV outcomes with some controversial results. Daugherty et al. (17) observed an increased risk for DVT, PE and stroke in the 4 months post-infection during the 1st pandemic wave in the USA. Raisi-Estabragh et al. (19) reported an increased risk of all CV outcomes considered (MI, stroke, heart failure and VTE) in the 5 months following infection only for COVID-19 hospitalized patients from the UK Biobank registry, while non-hospitalized patients had only an increased risk of VTE. Our results are in line with those coming from matched cohort studies conducted in COVID-19 survivors in the USA reporting an increased risk of long-term CV outcomes of COVID-19 among patients in the US Veterans Health Administration (VHA) system (20) and in the TriNetX US collaborative network (21). These studies did not differentiate between the two pandemic waves and were focused on patients without pre-existing CV conditions although is known that preexisting CV condition is associated with more severe illness (16, 43, 44).

### Strengths and limitations

Our study has several strengths. First we analyzed the whole population of COVID-19 cases of Lombardy, the first region in Western world to experience a rapid increase in number of COVID-19 cases. Second, we analyzed post-acute CV outcomes differentiating between COVID-19 waves as the impact of COVID-19 pandemic in healthcare system and demographic and clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients were extremely different within the 1st and the 2nd/3rd wave of the pandemic in Lombardy. Furthermore, administrative databases are recognized as a reliable tool to analyze outcomes of large patient cohorts that represent real clinical care, since data are collected over time in a standardized way and at low cost (45, 46) and enabled us to conduct a matched analysis of post-acute outcomes. Finally, we used validated and standardized outcome definitions and adjusted the analyses for a large set of available variables such as age, sex, pre-existing clinical conditions and medications as well as for ECGs and cardiology visits before COVID-19 diagnosis.

This study suffers also from some known limitations characteristic of the administrative databases. Although we adjusted for a set of pre-defined variables and HRs were also computed after IPTW to achieve a balanced distribution of confounders across treatment groups, specific information such as body mass index, smoke, glycaemia, eGFR (estimated glomerular filtration rate), etc. were not available in our database and so we cannot rule out confounding by indications. We couldn't assess the death for CV reasons because this information was not available. Additionally, subjects under 40 years were not included in our analysis since we have access to data of residents 40 years or older according to EPIFARM-Pharmaco-epidemiology Agreement between the Regional Health Service of the Lombardy Region and Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS. It is possible that some people, in spite of having had COVID-19, might have been included in the control group because were not tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Finally, we did not exclude individuals vaccinated for COVID-19, but it should be considered that the vaccination campaign in Italy effectively began in January 2021. By 15 May 2021 only 13.1% of citizens completed the COVID-19 vaccination in Lombardy (47), thus we assume that, because of such a low prevalence, the inclusion of vaccinated individuals did not affect the reliability of our analysis.

# Conclusions

In conclusion, in this large population-based study, we found that patients infected during the 1st and 2nd/3rd waves of the COVID-19 pandemic had a higher risk of post-acute CV complications compared to a control group. We also found that the risks were lower for individuals infected during the 2nd/3rd wave compared to those infected during the 1st wave. With the ongoing pandemic and millions of patients infected worldwide, the number of survivors with potential CV sequelae will continue to grow. Thus, future studies are needed to address post-acute CV complications in different populations, taken into account SARS-CoV-2 variants, re-infection, vaccination status and longer follow-ups. Our results strongly suggest that care strategies for people with a history of COVID-19 should also include cardiac monitoring regardless of COVID-19 severity.

All authors take responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because the data that support the findings of this study are available from Lombardy Region, but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the Lombardy Region upon reasonable request. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to https://www.regione.lombardia.it.

## Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

## Author contributions

Study concept and design: LO-F, MB, AF, MT, MCR, and GP. Acquisition of data: IF and OL. Analysis of data: GM, SS, AZ, and MT. Interpretation of data: LO-F, MB, AF, GM, MT, AZ, SS, MCR, and GP. Drafting the manuscript: LOF, AF, and MB. Critical

### References

1. World Health Organization. WHO coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard. Available at: https://covid19.who.int (Accessed December 20, 2022). revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content are: AZ, MT, IF, OL, MCR, and GP. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This study has been conducted in the framework of the EPIFARM-Pharmaco-epidemiology Agreement between the Regional Health Service of the Lombardy Region and Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS and has been funded by RCR-2021-23671212—FONDI RCR 2021-Nota n. 2548 del 22/06/2021 Ricerca Corrente Reti 2021.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Giuseppe Preziosi, Monica Arivetti, and Giovanna Rigotti from ARIA S.p.A, Alfredo Bevilacqua from Laife Reply S.p.A., Marco D. Forlani from T Bridge— BV Tech S.p.A, and Igor Monti from Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, who kindly assisted us with data collection.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2023. 1244002/full#supplementary-material

<sup>2.</sup> Gupta A, Madhavan MV, Sehgal K, Nair N, Mahajan S, Sehrawat TS, et al. Extrapulmonary manifestations of COVID-19. *Nat Med.* (2020) 26(7):1017–32. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0968-3

<sup>3.</sup> Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, Cai Y, Liu T, Yang F, et al. Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Cardiol. (2020) 5(7):802-10. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.0950

<sup>4.</sup> Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, Wu X, Zhang L, He T, et al. Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease

2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol. (2020) 5(7):811-8. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020. 1017

5. Guzik TJ, Mohiddin SA, Dimarco A, Patel V, Savvatis K, Marelli-Berg FM, et al. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system: implications for risk assessment, diagnosis, and treatment options. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2020) 116(10):1666–87. doi: 10.1093/cvr/ cvaa106

6. Nishiga M, Wang DW, Han Y, Lewis DB, Wu JC. COVID-19 and cardiovascular disease: from basic mechanisms to clinical perspectives. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2020) 17 (9):543–58. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-0413-9

7. Nandy S, Wan SH, Brenes-Salazar J. Cardiovascular manifestations of COVID-19. Curr Cardiol Rev. (2021) 17(4):e230421187503. doi: 10.2174/1573403X16999201102213827

8. Khan IH, Zahra SA, Zaim S, Harky A. At the heart of COVID-19. J Card Surg. (2020) 35(6):1287–94. doi: 10.1111/jocs.14596

9. Schultheiss HP, Baumeier C, Pietsch H, Bock CT, Poller W, Escher F. Cardiovascular consequences of viral infections: from COVID to other viral diseases. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2021) 117(13):2610–23. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvab315

10. Raman B, Bluemke DA, Lüscher TF, Neubauer S. Long COVID: post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 with a cardiovascular focus. *Eur Heart J.* (2022) 43 (11):1157-72. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac031

11. Liu L, Jing H, Wu X, Xiang M, Novakovic VA, Wang S, et al. The cross-talk of lung and heart complications in COVID-19: endothelial cells dysfunction, thrombosis, and treatment. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:957006. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022. 957006

12. Mantovani A, Morrone MC, Patrono C, Santoro MG, Schiaffino S, Remuzzi G, et al. COVID-19 commission of the accademia nazionale dei lincei. Long COVID: where we stand and challenges ahead. *Cell Death Differ*. (2022) 29(10):1891–900. doi: 10.1038/s41418-022-01052-6

13. Elseidy SA, Awad AK, Vorla M, Fatima A, Elbadawy MA, Mandal D, et al. Cardiovascular complications in the post-acute COVID-19 syndrome (PACS). *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc.* (2022) 40:101012. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcha.2022.101012

14. Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, Hardwick HE, Pius R, Norman L, et al. Features of 20,133 UK patients in hospital with COVID-19 using the ISARIC WHO clinical characterisation protocol: prospective observational cohort study. *Br Med J.* (2020) 369:m1985. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1985

15. Maestre-Muñiz MM, Arias Á, Mata-Vázquez E, Martín-Toledano M, López-Larramona G, Ruiz-Chicote AM, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 at one year after hospital discharge. *J Clin Med.* (2021) 10:2945. doi: 10.3390/jcm10132945

16. Renda G, Ricci F, Spinoni EG, Grisafi L, D'Ardes D, Mennuni M, et al. Predictors of mortality and cardiovascular outcome at 6 months after hospitalization for COVID-19. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11(3):729. doi: 10.3390/jcm11030729

17. Daugherty SE, Guo Y, Heath K, Dasmariñas MC, Jubilo KG, Samranvedhya J, et al. Risk of clinical sequelae after the acute phase of SARS-CoV-2 infection: retrospective cohort study. *Br Med J.* (2021) 373:n1098. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n1098

18. Burn E, Duarte-Salles T, Fernandez-Bertolin S, Reyes C, Kostka K, Delmestri A, et al. Venous or arterial thrombosis and deaths among COVID-19 cases: a European network cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2022) 22(8):1142–52. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00223-7

19. Raisi-Estabragh Z, Cooper J, Salih A, Raman B, Lee AM, Neubauer S, Harvey NC, et al. Cardiovascular disease and mortality sequelae of COVID-19 in the UK Biobank. *Heart.* (2023) 109:119–26. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2022-321492

20. Xie Y, Xu E, Bowe B, Al-Aly Z. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes of COVID-19. Nat Med. (2022) 28:583–90. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-01689-3

21. Wang W, Wang CY, Wang SI, Wei JC. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in COVID-19 survivors among non-vaccinated population: a retrospective cohort study from the TriNetX US collaborative networks. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2022) 53:101619. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101619

22. Saito S, Asai Y, Matsunaga N, Hayakawa K, Terada M, Ohtsu H, et al. First and second COVID-19 waves in Japan: a comparison of disease severity and characteristics. J Infect. (2021) 82(4):84–123. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.10.033

23. Bongiovanni M, Arienti R, Bini F, Bodini BD, Corbetta E, Gianturco L. Differences between the waves in northern Italy: how the characteristics and the outcome of COVID-19 infected patients admitted to the emergency room have changed. *J Infect.* (2021) 83(1):e32–3. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2021.04.024

24. COVID-19 Italia Desktop. Available at: https://www.arcgis.com/apps/dashboards/b0c68bce2cce478eaac82fe38d4138b1.

25. Coccia M. The impact of first and second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in society: comparative analysis to support control measures to cope with negative effects of future infectious diseases. *Environ Res.* (2021) 197:111099. doi: 10.1016/j.envres. 2021.111099

26. D'Hoore W, Bouckaert A, Tilquin C. Practical considerations on the use of the Charlson comorbidity index with administrative data bases. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (1996) 49:1429–33. doi: 10.1016/S0895-4356(96)00271-5

27. Xu S, Ross C, Raebel MA, Shetterly S, Blanchette C, Smith D. Use of stabilized inverse propensity scores as weights to directly estimate relative risk and its confidence intervals. *Value Health.* (2010) 13(2):273-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-4733. 2009.00671.x

28. Cole SR, Hernán MA. Constructing inverse probability weights for marginal structural models. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2008) 168(6):656–64. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwn164

29. Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Available at: https://www.iss.it/web/guest/cov19-cosa-fa-iss-varianti (Accessed May 28, 2021).

30. Bongiovanni M. Disputes in the management of COVID-19 infected subjects. *Health Prim Care.* (2021) (5):1–2. doi: 10.15761/HPC.1000208

31. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/ema-endorses-use-dexamethasone-covid-19-patients-oxygen-mechanical-ventilation

32. RECOVERY Collaborative Group; Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 384(8):693–704. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2021436

33. Kühl U, Schultheiss HP. Treatment of chronic myocarditis with corticosteroids. *Eur Heart J.* (1995) 16(Suppl O):168–72. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/16.suppl\_O.168

34. Wu L, Baylan U, van der Leeden B, Schurink B, Roos E, Schalkwijk CG, et al. Cardiac inflammation and microvascular procoagulant changes are decreased in second wave compared to first wave deceased COVID-19 patients. *Int J Cardiol.* (2022) 349:157–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2021.11.079

35. Tudoran C, Tudoran M, Cut TG, Lazureanu VE, Oancea C, Marinescu AR, et al. Evolution of echocardiographic abnormalities identified in previously healthy individuals recovering from COVID-19. *J Pers Med.* (2022) 12(1):46. doi: 10.3390/jpm12010046

36. Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, Madhavan MV, McGroder C, Stevens JS, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27(4):601–15. doi: 10.1038/ s41591-021-01283-z

37. Di Toro A, Bozzani A, Tavazzi G, Urtis M, Giuliani L, Pizzoccheri R, et al. Long COVID: long-term effects? *Eur Heart J Suppl.* (2021) 23:E1–5. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/suab080

38. Zhang L, Richards A, Barrasa MI, Hughes SH, Young RA, Jaenisch R. Reverse-transcribed SARS-CoV-2 RNA can integrate into the genome of cultured human cells and can be expressed in patient-derived tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2021) 118:e2105968118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2105968118

39. Solfanelli G, Giaccio D, Tropea A, Bucicovschi V, Gallo G, Tocci G, et al. Cardiovascular risk and the COVID-19 pandemic: a retrospective observational study in a population of healthcare professionals. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2023) 33(7):1415–9. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2023.04.006

40. Ho FK, Man KKC, Toshner M, Church C, Celis-Morales C, Wong ICK, et al. Thromboembolic risk in hospitalized and nonhospitalized COVID-19 patients: a self-controlled case series analysis of a nationwide cohort. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2021) 96(10):2587–97. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.07.002

41. Katsoularis I, Fonseca-Rodríguez O, Farrington P, Lindmark K, Fors Connolly AM. Risk of acute myocardial infarction and ischaemic stroke following COVID-19 in Sweden: a self-controlled case series and matched cohort study. *Lancet.* (2021) 398(10300):599–607. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00896-5

42. Modin D, Claggett B, Sindet-Pedersen C, Lassen MCH, Skaarup KG, Jensen JUS, et al. Acute COVID-19 and the incidence of ischemic stroke and acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. (2020) 142(21):2080–2. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA. 120.050809

43. Pellicori P, Doolub G, Wong CM, Lee KS, Mangion K, Ahmad M, et al. COVID-19 and its cardiovascular effects: a systematic review of prevalence studies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2021) 3:CD013879. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD013879

44. Ranucci M, Parati G, Di Dedda U, Bussotti M, Agricola E, Menicanti L, et al. When outcomes diverge: age and cardiovascular risk as determinants of mortality and ICU admission in COVID-19. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11(14):4099. doi: 10.3390/jcm11144099

45. Schneeweiss S, Avorn J. A review of uses of health care utilization databases for epidemiologic research on therapeutics. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2005) 58:323–37. doi: 10. 1016/j.jclinepi.2004.10.012

46. Corrao G, Mancia G. Generating evidence from computerized healthcare utilization databases. *Hypertension*. (2015) 65:490–8. doi: 10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04858

47. https://github.com/italia/covid19-opendata-vaccini