Published in partnership with the Shanghai Institute of Ceramics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences

6

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-024-01476-3

# Building up accurate atomistic models of biofunctionalized magnetite nanoparticles from first-principles calculations

Check for updates

Paulo Siani 12 , Enrico Bianchetti<sup>1</sup> & Cristiana Di Valentin<sup>1,2</sup>

Biofunctionalized magnetite nanoparticles offer unique multifunctional capabilities that can drive nanomedical innovations. Designing synthetic bioorganic coatings and controlling their molecular behavior is crucial for achieving superior performance. However, accurately describing the interactions between bio-inorganic nanosystem components requires reliable computational tools, with empirical force fields at their core. In this work, we integrate first-principles calculations with mainstream force fields to construct and simulate atomistic models of pristine and biofunctionalized magnetite nanoparticles with quantum mechanical accuracy. The practical implications of this approach are demonstrated through a case study of PEG (polyethylene glycol)-coated magnetite nanoparticles in physiological conditions, where we investigate how polymer chain length, in both heterogeneous and homogeneous coatings, impacts key functional properties in advanced nanosystem design. Our findings reveal that coating morphology controls polymer ordering, conformation, and polymer corona hydrogen bonding, highlighting the potential of this computational toolbox to advance next-generation magnetite-based nanosystems with enhanced performance in nanomedicine.

Iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs), among other transition metal oxides, have gained special attention in nanomedical sciences in recent years due to their unique in vitro and in vivo biomedical applications, ranging from magnetic bioseparation, biosensing, cellular labeling, contrast agent in magnetic resonance imaging, imaging-guided therapy, hyperthermia for cancer treatment, to targeted drug delivery<sup>1–7</sup>.

Through well-established experimental routes, the synthesis of IONPs with well-defined shapes, including spherical, cubical, octahedral, and rhombic dodecahedral, with precise control over their surface chemistry and sizes, has been successfully achieved<sup>8-13</sup>. Among IONPs, magnetite nano-particles enclosed by six (001) facets, one of the most stable Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> surface according to Wulff construction calculations<sup>14</sup>, are of particular interest due to their specific surface reactivity towards small organic acids<sup>15,16</sup>, and polymers<sup>17</sup>, enhancing their mechanical properties and colloidal stability. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) with chemically reactive terminal groups (e.g. reactive amine groups) is among the most preferred polymers for coating of IONPs, broadening their applications<sup>18-25</sup>. This allows, for instance, control

over the molecular interactions in biological environments (e.g. protein corona) and the bioconjugation with active targeting ligands for smart drug delivery<sup>26–29</sup>.

In synergy with experiments, computational methods through quantum mechanical (QM) calculations of iron oxide bulk electronic structure, surface chemistry and catalysis<sup>14,30-34</sup>, mixed-resolution and multi-level calculations of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/water interfaces<sup>35–37</sup>, hybrid Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations of bulk oxidation-state dynamics<sup>38</sup>, and MD simulations of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/organic interfaces<sup>15,39–43</sup>, have stood out as valuable tools for obtaining molecular-level insights into Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-based materials. However, recent computational efforts into the development, refinement and assessment of classical force fields (FFs) for modeling Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanostructures interfacing water<sup>44,45</sup> and biomolecules<sup>39,44,46</sup> are still scarce in the literature. In this direction, Konuk et al.<sup>39</sup> have recently made significant contributions. They proposed a combination of the modified CLAY (mCLAY) FF, which was previously calibrated for studying the atomic-level structure of hematite/water interfaces<sup>47</sup> and derived from the original FF

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienza dei Materiali, Università di Milano-Bicocca, via R. Cozzi 55, 20125 Milano, Italy. <sup>2</sup>BioNanoMedicine Center NANOMIB, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy. 🖂 e-mail: paulo.siani@unimib.it; cristiana.divalentin@unimib.it

developed for a more general class of hydrated minerals including hydroxides, oxyhydroxides, and clays<sup>48</sup>, with the biomolecular General AMBER FF (GAFF) for the classical modeling and simulation of 2D-periodic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-organic interfaces. This FF combination features improved electrostatic description through a QM-based per-layer rescaling protocol of the original CLAY-based partial atomic charges<sup>48</sup> originally derived for bulk materials. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, there is still a lack of systematic computational studies assessing and benchmarking the transferability of mCLAY FF parameters in the description of zero-dimensional Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-based materials (e.g., nanoparticles) and expanding their applicability across a broader range of biomolecular FF frameworks.

In this work, we present a computational protocol for an effective classical modeling and simulation of zero-dimensional organic-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles (NPs) of realistic size, validated in a bottom-up fashion against higher-level QM data, which still stand a challenging task in this computational niche. The aim is to design Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP structures for applications in modeling more complex nanosystems interfacing molecular assemblies of simple organic composition (consisting of H, C, O, and N atoms), expanding the applicability of mCLAY FF within the CHARMM FF framework for biomolecular components. To achieve this, we first rescale CLAY-based partial atomic charges to describe 2D-periodic pristine Distorted Bulk Truncated (DBT) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surfaces using the protocol proposed in ref. 39. Additionally, we evaluate the combination of the mCLAY FF with the mainstream biomolecular CHARMM FF in classically describing 2D-periodic organic-coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slabs. Moving ahead, we fully characterize a zero-dimensional pristine 2.3 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, ensuring the transferability of this set of FF parameters in describing larger NP structures beyond the reach of full QM characterizations. Next, we propose a computational protocol for the classical modeling of an organiccoated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, providing an accurate nanostructure support for further polymeric coating. Finally, we investigate the influence of different PEG chain lengths, coated in a homogeneous or heterogeneous manner onto the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP surface, on key quantities such as polymer coating thickness, chain's order parameters, and H-bond formation within the polymer corona, highlighting the strengths and limitations of the proposed computational protocol in describing such complex bio-inorganic assemblies.

#### Results

The results are presented as follows: in Section "Preparation of Structural Models", we provide all the details on the preparation of the slab and nanoparticle models. In Section "Pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab", we present the protocol adopted for estimating the per-layer CLAY-based partial atomic charges for Fe and O atoms within the 2D-periodic pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab. In Section "Fully Formate-Coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab", we assess the accuracy of the derived partial atomic charges combined with the mCLAY/CHARMM FF in describing a 2D-periodic FA-coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab compared to their QM counterpart predictions using DFTB MD simulations. In Section "Pristine Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles: Exploring Small and Large Structures" we proceed by characterizing small (2.3 nm) and large (5.0 nm) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP structures using DFT/HSE06 and DFTB calculations to validate the transferability of the mCLAY FF in describing these zero-dimensional materials. In Section "Coating Realistic-size 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles", we propose a systematic computational protocol for accurately modeling starting-point structures of realistic-size polymercoated 5.0 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanosystems with varying coating compositions. In Section "Anchoring Group Binding and Stability on 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles in Solution", we evaluate the ability of the implemented FF to describe potential transitions in the binding configuration of anchoring groups on the NP surface of these polymer-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanosystems in physiological solution. In Section "Case Study of NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>-terminated PEGcoated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles in Aqueous Solution: The impact of non-Homogeneous Length of Polymer Chains", we conduct an in-depth investigation into the effects of homogeneous and heterogeneous coating strategies on key parameters of the polymer-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanosystems in

physiological solution. This includes discussions on key aspects for designing tailored nanosystems for nanomedical applications, such as *Coating Thickness and Radius of Gyration, Order Parameter of PEG chains*, and *Polymer Corona H-bonding analysis*. Throughout the manuscript, mean and plus and minus standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) are reported as mean  $\pm \sigma$ .

#### Preparation of structural models

**Pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slabs**. The DBT surface model<sup>49</sup> was used to model pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surfaces and it was constructed as a (1×1) 17-layer slab with inversion symmetry, in line with previous work by some of  $us^{36,37,50-52}$ . In the DFT/HSE06 optimization calculations, the atoms in the central five layers of the slab were kept fixed to their bulk positions, whereas the atoms in the other layers were fully relaxed. In the DFTB optimization calculations and DFTB MD simulations, all the atoms were fully relaxed. At classical level of theory, the pristine Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab in vacuum was classically modeled using the same (1×1) 17-layer DBT surface model as in the QM calculations, where all the atoms were fully relaxed during energy minimization and MD simulations.

Formate-coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slabs. A full coverage regime of formate was modeled by saturating all under-coordinated octahedral Fe sites on both surfaces of the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab with two bidentate dissociated formic acid molecules. At the QM level, only DFTB optimization calculations and DFTB MD simulations were performed. At classical level of theory, the fully formate-coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface in vacuum was modeled by placing two dissociated formic acid molecules on each side of the slab, as done in the DFTB calculations. A larger fully formate-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface was also classically modeled by replicating the unit cell three times (3×3) in both the x and y directions. In both (1×1) and (3×3) fully formate-coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab models, all the atoms were fully relaxed during the energy minimization and MD simulations.

**Pristine and coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles**. The 2.3 nm NP model used in this investigation is enclosed by six DBT (001) facets, as observed in previous experiments<sup>9,11</sup>. It consists of 1466 atoms with edge length of ca 2.3 nm (i.e., 2.75 times the bulk magnetite lattice parameter) and was obtained from the one proposed by Liu and Di Valentin<sup>37</sup> with corners reconstruction in a bulk-truncated fashion. The total magnetization can be computed according to the formula in Eq. 1, which determines the total magnetic moment of a magnetite system, as proven in ref. 37:

$$m_{tot} = 5 \times \left[ N \left( F e_{Oct}^{3+} \right) - N \left( F e_{Tet}^{3+} \right) \right] + 4 \times \left[ N \left( F e_{Oct}^{2+} \right) - N \left( F e_{Tet}^{2+} \right) \right]$$
(1)

where  $Fe_{Oct}^{3+}$  and  $Fe_{Oct}^{2+}$  are the ions at octahedral sites,  $Fe_{Tet}^{3+}$  and  $Fe_{Tet}^{2+}$  are the ions at tetrahedral sites, and *N* is the number of the corresponding ions. Similar to what occurs in bulk magnetic, for and the high-spin  $3d^5$  configuration gives an atomic magnetic moment of +5 and -5  $\mu_B$ , respectively whereas the high-spin  $3d^6$  electron configuration gives +4 and  $-4 \mu_B$ , respectively.

The cubic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP model of ca 5 nm (11897 atoms with edge length of ca 4.9 nm, 5.75 times the bulk magnetite lattice parameter) was obtained by cutting bulk magnetite along the (001) planes following the same protocol as outlined for the smaller 2.3 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP model<sup>37</sup>. This larger Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP model is also enclosed by six DBT (001) facets and presents the same type of corners and edges as those of the smaller NP. This 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP was then fully coated with zwitterionic carbamate (from now on CA) ligands, accounting in total 432 ligands (72 ligands per NP facet), whose carboxylate groups were equally adsorbed over all pairs of undercoordinated Fe sites exposed on the NP surface using in-house scripting via the MOL-TEMPLATE program<sup>53</sup>, resulting in a grafting density at the theoretical limit of ~2.9 ligand/nm<sup>2</sup>. This corresponds to the scenario in which every pair of undercoordinated octahedral Fe sites on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle surface has one carboxylate group adsorbed in a bridging bidentate mode. The grafting density adopted in our models aligns well with the experimental findings by



Amstad et al.<sup>54</sup> using the grafting-to method, who achieved a grafting density up to 2.5-2.8 ligand/nm<sup>2</sup> of PEG<sub>5k</sub> chains, assessed respectively by XPS and TGA analysis, for Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs with a similar diameter  $(6 \pm 1 \text{ nm})$ . The authors also reported that ligands were irreversibly adsorbed onto the IONPs surface through the formation of stable bridging bidentate complexes between the ligand's oxygen atoms and their corresponding anchoring iron sites. This model underwent classical energy minimization in vacuum using the Polak-Ribieri version of the conjugated gradient using a stopping tolerance for force equal to 1.0e<sup>-6</sup>. The resulting classically optimized CA-coated 5.0 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP structure was benchmarked for both overall NP thickness and interatomic distance between the carboxylate oxygen atoms of CA ligands and their corresponding anchoring Fe sites on the NP surface against higher-level QM-derived reference data. After validation, this optimized CA-coated NP structure was subjected to further modification, in which the capping  $-NH_3^+$  groups of CAs were removed, while their adsorbed -COO<sup>-</sup> groups (with an open valence in the carbon atom) were kept at their optimized geometry on the NP surface. The methylene group of the  $-CH_2$ -PEG<sub>X</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chain (with an open valence in the first carbon atom) was positioned at an optimal distance from the carbon atom of -COO<sup>-</sup> groups using in-house scripting, followed by the assignment of all necessary bonded parameters to covalent bond the two carbon atoms with open valence ("OOC-CH2-PEGX-NH3") according to CGenFF55-57 (for the sake of simplicity, we interchangeably refer CGenFF as CHARMM FF throughout the manuscript). Three polymer-coated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanosystems were built upon this classically optimized CA-coated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP structure (Please see Section "Coating Realistic-size 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles" for further details) with three different coating compositions modeled: a homogeneous coating with PEG<sub>500</sub> chains (HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub>), a heterogeneous coating comprising a 50/50 mixture of PEG<sub>500</sub> and  $PEG_{1k}$  (HeCo-PEG<sub>500/1k</sub>), and a homogeneous coating with  $PEG_{1k}$ (HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub>).

For all analyses presented in Section "Case Study of NH3<sup>+</sup>-terminated PEG-coated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles in Aqueous Solution: The impact of non-Homogeneous Length of Polymer Chains", the classically optimized 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP structure including the bridging bidentate binding configuration of the carboxylate anchoring groups on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP surface (as supported by both DFT calculations and experiments conducted in vacuum) was treated as an independent and thermalized structure at 303.15 K free to undergo translation and rotation during the MD simulations, following similar protocol utilized by some of us in previous work 58,59. This rigid restraint of anchoring groups also ensured a constant grafting density across all polymer-coated systems. For the assessment of the mCLAY/CHARMM force field (FF) capabilities in describing the dynamic behavior of the carboxylate anchoring groups under the effects of finite temperature and solvation in the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub>, HeCo-PEG<sub>500/1k</sub>, and HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> systems (Section "Anchoring Group Binding and Stability on 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles in Solution"), we performed two sets of calculations with different modeling approaches: 1) In the first, the classically optimized Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle was treated as a thermalized rigid body

structure, while the rest of the system (anchoring groups, polymer chains, solvent, and ions) evolved freely according to the FF directives, without any rigid restraints (called Rigid NP); 2) In the second setup, the entire system was allowed to evolve freely over time, governed solely by the FF directives (called All Relaxed). Each of the three systems (HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub>, HeCo-PEG<sub>500/1k</sub>, and HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub>) was simulated under both modeling setups. The simulation boxes were filled with the rigid mTIP3P water model<sup>60</sup> at the experimental density of 0.99 g/cm3 ensuring a minimum buffer distance of 20 Å between the NP and the box edges, setting the salt concentration of the solution at 0.15 M using its respective ad hoc parametrized Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions<sup>61,62</sup>. The Ligand Reader & Modeler module in the CHARMM-GUI webserver<sup>63,64</sup> was utilized to build up the three-dimensional structures of PEG<sub>500</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> and PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains and bonded and non-bonded parameters were assigned using the CGenFF. All the organic ligands and polymer coating molecules studied herein are compiled in Fig. 1. Pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) flat surface We begin by adopting the same approach proposed in ref. 39 to rescale

CLAY-based partial atomic charges for pristine and organic-coated subsurface cation vacancy (SCV) and DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  surfaces in vacuum. However, it is worth noting some differences compared to our approach: I) To derive rescaled CLAY-based partial atomic charges for iron and oxygen atoms in bulk or slab  $Fe_3O_4$  models, we rely on the charge analysis using HSE06 hybrid functionals (DFT/HSE06) in CRYSTAL17 (Mulliken analysis); II) we not only differentiate the iron atoms with different coordination numbers, but we also distinguish the iron atoms accordingly to their respective oxidation state – the  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $Fe^{2+}$  partial atomic charges are assigned according to the  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$  disproportion estimated by the DFT/HSE06 calculations. We rescale the original CLAY-based partial atomic charges according to Eq. (2):

$$q_{layer}^{FF}(ion) = q_{bulk}^{FF}(ion) \cdot \frac{\left\langle q_{layer}^{DFT}(ion) \right\rangle}{\left\langle q_{bulk}^{DFT}(ion) \right\rangle}$$
(2)

where  $q_{layer}^{FF}(ion)$  stands for the re-scaled FF point-charge for each specific atomic species in their corresponding DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> layers;  $q_{bulk}^{FF}(ion)$  stands for the FF point-charge for each specific bulk atomic species;  $\left\langle q_{layer}^{DFT}(ion) \right\rangle$ represents the average of DFT/HSE06 point-charge values derived for each specific atomic species within either the surface or sub-surface Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> layer; and  $\left\langle q_{bulk}^{DFT}(ion) \right\rangle$  represents the average DFT/HSE06 point-charge values derived for each specific atomic species within the bulk Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> region.

Table 1 reports the  $q_{layer}^{DFT}$  and  $q_{layer}^{FF}$  for all Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> atomic species (please also see Supplementary Table 1 for  $q_{bulk}^{DFT}$  and  $q_{bulk}^{FF}$ ) – the calculated partial atomic charge model is broken down into three main atomic layers, namely, bulk, sub-surface, and surface Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> atoms in which all these atoms are differentiated according to their respective oxidation state  $Fe_{Tet}^{3+}$ ,  $Fe_{Oct}^{3+}$ ,  $Fe_{Oct}^{2+}$ at their corresponding layer. After rescaling the DFT/HSE06 partial atomic charges using Eq. (2), we standardize the partial atomic charges for  $Fe_3O_4$  atoms sharing the same oxidation state within the subsurface and the bulk layers. We do so by adjusting these charges to align with the ones found in the bulk region, as we see no significant differences in their values compared to the bulk CLAY-based partial atomic charges. These adjusted DFT/HSE06 partial atomic charges are then multiplied by their corresponding number of atoms within each layer of the pristine DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  surface slab, resulting in a net positive charge of +1.408. To ensure overall electroneutrality, we further refine the charge values within the surface layer by redistributing the excess positive charge among the oxygen atoms in the first layer ( $O_1$ ) until they reach an integer number - the remaining residual positive charge is then adjusted by

# Table 1 | Rescaled CLAY-based partial atomic charges for each atomic species in the pristine DBT $Fe_3O_4$ (001) surface model derived from Eq. (2)

Atom type	# of atoms	q <sup>DFT</sup> charges	Rescaled q <sup>FF</sup> <sub>layer</sub>	Modified q <sup>FF</sup> <sub>layer</sub>	Final q <sup>FF</sup> <sub>layer</sub>
$Fe_{tet_1}^{3+}$	4	+2.209	+1.592	+1.592	+1.530
$Fe_{oct_1}^{3+}$	8	+2.210	+1.561	+1.561	+1.498
0 <sub>1</sub>	16	-1.441	-0.959	-0.959	-1.000
$Fe_{tet2}^{3+}$	4	+2.183	+1.573	+1.574	+1.574
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>oct2</sub>	8	+2.230	+1.575	+1.574	+1.574
<i>O</i> <sub>2</sub>	16	-1.558	-1.037	-1.050	-1.050
$Fe_{tet3}^{3+}$	8	+2.187	+1.576	+1.574	+1.574
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>oct3</sub>	8	+2.224	+1.571	+1.574	+1.574
Fe <sup>2+</sup> <sub>oct3</sub>	12	+1.890	+1.049	+1.052	+1.052
<i>O</i> <sub>3</sub>	40	-1.577	-1.050	-1.050	-1.050
System Ne	t Charge	-0.008	+1.576	+1.408	0.000

For clarity, atoms are distinguished by their coordination sites and their layer position in the slab. Atom counts are also given for each of these idealized layers in the normal direction of the DBT  $Fe_3O_4$ (001) slab. The subscript number in the first column refers to (1) surface atoms, (2) sub-surface atoms, and (3) bulk atoms in the  $Fe_3O_4$  slab. scaling down the partial atomic charges of  $Fe_{Tet1}^{3+}$  and  $Fe_{Oct1}^{3+}$  until a zero net charge is achieved.

It is also noteworthy to mention the similarity in the final set of partial atomic charges implemented in the mCLAY FF for describing the classical atoms on the pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface, despite utilizing a different DFT approach compared to Konuk et al.<sup>39</sup> For instance, they reported partial atomic charges of +1.461 *e*, +1.589 *e* and -1.000 *e* for the Fe<sub>oct</sub> Fe<sub>tet</sub>, and O<sub>1</sub> atoms in their set of force field parameters, respectively. In comparison, our protocol yielded partial atomic charges of +1.498 *e*, +1.530 *e*, and -1.000 *e* for the Fe<sub>oct</sub> Fe<sub>tet</sub>, and O<sub>1</sub> atoms in the Fe<sub>oct</sub> Fe<sub>tet</sub>, and O<sub>1</sub> atomic species, respectively.

#### Fully formate-coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) flat surface

An important step here is to ensure the suitability of rescaled CLAY-based partial atomic charges (and their respective mCLAY LJ (12-6) parameters) in combination with the CHARMM FF for describing the adsorption process of organic molecules of simple composition (H, C, O, N) on the DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) surface. So, we begin by studying the adsorption of dissociated formic acid onto the pristine DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) surface.

There are two main reasons for starting from this simple model: I) the carboxylate group as in dissociated formic acid, i.e. formate ions (FA), is a commonly used anchoring group well known for its ability to establish stable chemical bonds with undercoordinated surface (octahedral)  $Fe^{3+}$  atoms on the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> surface. Modeling such a system is also possible at the DFTB level of theory, which allows us to validate its atomistic counterpart, i.e., Fe-O<sub>FA</sub> bond distances and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab thickness; II) Similar short organic ions can also serve as a linker molecule, allowing further conjugation with other classes of biomolecules broadening the NP applications. Later in this work, we take advantage of a similar linker molecule for the attachment of biomolecules.

We validate the classical model of the FA-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab model at the full coverage regime in vacuum (Fig. 2) by confronting it with its QM counterpart simulated using DFTB MD. FA, each bearing a formal negative charge of -1 e each, are placed at the appropriate adsorption iron sites on the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface. Moreover, the protons dissociated from the formic acid molecules are adsorbed on those mostly reactive nearby oxygen atoms on the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface bearing a partial atomic charge of +0.4 e.



Fig. 2 | Representation of the formate-coated DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) slab at the full coverage regime. Iron and oxygen atoms are color-coded (right-hand side) based on their coordination number and position in the  $Fe_3O_4$  slab, distinguishing surface

(shiny colors) and bulk atoms (chalk colors). The adsorbed formate ligand atoms are colored as follows: formate oxygen atoms (black), formate carbon atom (gray), formate and dissociated hydrogen atoms (white).

Compared to the protocol for the assignment of partial atomic charge for the pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab system in vacuum, the scenario is somewhat different when dealing with the fully FA-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab system in vacuum. This discrepancy arises from the introduction of four dissociated and adsorbed FA molecule on both the upper and lower surface of the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab resulting in an unbalanced net charge for the whole system, if partial atomic charges are those derived for the pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab system in vacuum. The imbalance is because the -4 e of the four FA is only partially compensated by the four dissociated protons adsorbed on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> surface, each bearing a partial atomic charge of +0.4 e (for a total of +1.6 e). Therefore, there remains an unbalanced excess of -2.4 e that requires compensation to ensure the system's electroneutrality. To address this, we start by splitting the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab into two distinct layers, namely the surface and the bulk, following the same protocol outlined previously in Section "Pristine DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) slab". For the bulk region, we assign the same partial atomic charge values derived for the bulk atoms in the pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab in vacuum (Table 1). For the re-assignment of partial atomic charges on the surface layer, we test three different forms of charge redistribution for the  $Fe_{Tet1}^{3+}$ ,  $Fe_{Oct1}^{3+}$ ,  $O_1$  atoms, as outlined in Table 2. The compilation of bonded and non-bonded mCLAY and CHARMM parameters for modeling the fully FA-coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab are given in Supplementary Table 2.

To assess how different charge distributions impact the fully FA-coated DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  slab, we conduct a comparative analysis of the overall slab thickness and the  $Fe-O_{FA}$  distances from the atomistic MD and DFTB MD simulations. First, we begin by assessing the accuracy of the combination

Table 2 | Rescaled mCLAY- and CHARMM-based partial atomic charges for each atomic species in the DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) slab model and the dissociated formic acid, respectively

Atom type	# of atoms	Adjusted partial atomic charges A B C
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>tet1</sub>	4	+1.630 +1.730 +1.530
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>oct1</sub>	8	+1.698 +1.698 +1.598
<i>O</i> <sub>1</sub>	16	-0.975 -1.000 -0.900
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>tet2</sub>	12	+1.574
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>oct2</sub>	16	+1.574
Fe <sup>2+</sup> <sub>oct2</sub>	12	+1.052
O <sub>2</sub>	56	-1.050
Total Charge of the Fea	O <sub>4</sub> Slab	+2.400
H <sub>o</sub>	4	+0.400
Total charge of the ads	orbed proton ion	+1.600
O <sub>FA</sub>	8	-0.760
C <sub>FA</sub>	4	+0.520
H <sub>FA</sub>	4	+0.000
Total Net Charge of Fo	rmate ions	-4.000
System Net Charge		0.000

For clarity, atoms are distinguished by their coordination sites and their layer position in the slab. Atom counts are also given for each of these idealized layers in the normal direction of the DBT  $Fe_3O_4$ (001) slab. between the mCLAY and CHARMM FFs in describing the Fe-OFA bond distance on the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface, as well as the overall thickness of the  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) slab. We observe that the different strategies for adjusting the CLAY-based partial atomic charges of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> surface atoms (sets A, B, and C in Table 2) have no significant impact on the average  $\mathrm{Fe-O}_{\mathrm{FA}}$  bond distance and the overall thickness of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab, as shown in Table 3. Instead, we note that the LJ (12-6) parameter assigned to the FA oxygen atoms is playing a key role in determining the resulting Fe-O<sub>FA</sub> bond distance. Upon analysis of Table 3, we observe that the original CHARMM LJ (12-6) parameter assigned to the  $O_{FA}$  atoms underestimates the Fe- $O_{FA}$ bond distance compared to the reference DFTB MD predictions. However, switching the CHARMM LJ (12-6) parameter of O<sub>FA</sub> atoms to the original mCLAY LJ (12-6) parameter for oxygen atoms results in an improvement in the Fe-OFA bond distance compared to the DFTB MD reference data while no significant change is noticed in the thickness of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab. Further comparisons for the Fe-O<sub>FA</sub>, Fe-O<sub>1</sub>, Fe-O<sub>2</sub> distances and overall Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab thickness for optimized FA-coated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> structures at DFT, DFTB, and classical level of theories can be found in Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Table 3.

This observation suggests an improved description by the mCLAY FF to describe the short-range interactions between iron and oxygen atoms of FA adsorbed on the surface of the  $Fe_3O_4$  slab when in combination with the CHARMM FF. This modification is justifiable, as the CHARMM-based LJ (12-6) parameters for the oxygen atoms of FA were originally parametrized for a different environment (e.g. aqueous solution), and hence, greater accuracy compared to the QM predictions should be expected by the mCLAY-FF description of these FA oxygen atoms in a non-solvated environment interfacing the  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) surface atoms.

Lastly, to check the potential influence of system size on the Fe- $O_{FA}$ bond distance and the thickness of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab, we replicate the unit cell of the fully FA-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab into a 3×3 model and we repeat the same simulations and analysis as done for the smaller 1×1 system, and no significant differences are observed (Supplementary Table 4).

Overall, we confirm reasonable agreement between atomistic and DFTB MD predictions for both the Fe- $O_{FA}$  bond distance and the thickness of the (FA-coated) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> slab in vacuum using the per-layer point charge model and the mCLAY/CHARMM FF combination. Moving ahead, we proceed benchmarking the transferability of this set of FF parameters, so far validated for the atomistic description of pristine and organic-coated 2D Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> systems, for the description of classically zero-dimensional cubic-shaped Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> materials as well.

# Pristine $Fe_3O_4$ nanoparticles: exploring small and large structures

We begin by characterizing a 2.3 nm cubic  $Fe_3O_4$  enclosed by six (001) facets at DFT/HSE06 and DFTB levels of theory. Since this system can be fully characterized at these two QM levels of theory, it will serve as the reference model for the assessment of FF transferability to describe this zerodimensional material.

Through DFT/HSE06 calculations, we initially identify and enumerate each atomic species in the pristine 2.3 nm  $Fe_3O_4$  NP structure, as shown in Table 4. Subsequently, we assign the CLAY-based partial atomic charges following the same protocol adopted for the pristine DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) slab in Section "Pristine DBT  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) slab". Specifically, we split the atoms of

Table 3 | Average Fe-O<sub>FA</sub> bond distances on the (1×1) DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface and overall thickness of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab estimated from DFTB and atomistic MD simulations at 300 K

Unit (Å)	DFTB <sup>a</sup>	Model A	Model B	Model C	Model A <sup>b</sup>
Fe-O <sub>FA</sub>	$1.99\pm0.01$	$1.85\pm0.01$	$1.85\pm0.01$	$1.86 \pm 0.01$	$1.92\pm0.02$
Thickness	17.7 ± 0.1	17.1 ± 0.3	17.1 ± 0.3	$17.3 \pm 0.3$	$17.3 \pm 0.3$

<sup>a</sup>DFTB 50 ps long MD simulations at 300 K.

<sup>b</sup>mCLAY-based LJ (12-6) parameter for the O<sub>FA</sub> atoms.

the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP into two regions: an outer region containing only the surface atoms ( $Fe_{Tet1}^{3+}$ ,  $Fe_{Oct1}^{3+}$ ,  $O_1$ ) and an inner region containing the bulk atoms composing the NP core ( $Fe_{Tet2}^{3+}$ ,  $Fe_{Oct2}^{3+}$ ,  $Fe_{Oct2}^{2+}$ ,  $O_2$ ).

For both the surface layer and bulk region, we begin assigning equivalent rescaled CLAY-based partial atomic charges previously derived for the pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab in vacuum (Table 1, Section "Pristine DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab"), yielding an unbalanced excess of +0.808 e which is then counterbalanced with a total charge of -0.808 e evenly spread over all oxygen atoms in the surface layer atoms to ensure the NP's electroneutrality in vacuum.

To assess the mCLAY FF accuracy in describing the pristine 2.3 nm  $Fe_3O_4$  NP in vacuum, we perform both energy minimization and equilibration, followed by 50 ns of MD production in vacuum at classical level and then we compare the obtained thickness with that predicted from its QM counterparts optimized at both DFT/HSE06 and DFTB levels of theory. To quantify the NP thickness, we measure the distance between the geometric center of  $Fe^{3+}$  atoms in the octahedral sites on opposite edges of the same facet of the NP and then we average it over all the six NP facets over the 50 ns of production phase. The classical description results in an NP thickness of 23.32 ± 0.19 Å, with a deviation of +3.00% and +0.04% compared to the DFT/HSE06 (NP thickness = 22.64 Å) and DFTB (NP thickness = 23.31 Å) reference data, respectively. It is worth noting that the NP shape and structure remains stable over the entire MD simulation in vacuum.

After confirming the overall stability of the 2.3 nm  $Fe_3O_4$  NP structure over the atomistic MD simulation in vacuum, we move forward by inves-

Table 4 | Rescaled CLAY-based partial atomic charges for each atomic species in the pristine 2.3 nm  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticle

Atom type	# of atoms	<b>q</b> <sup>FF</sup> <sub>layer</sub>	Adjusted q <sup>FF</sup> <sub>layer</sub>
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>tet1</sub>	76	+1.530	+1.53000000
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>oct1</sub>	180	+1.498	+1.49800000
<i>O</i> <sub>1</sub>	364	-1.000	-1.00221978
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>tet2</sub>	94	+1.574	+1.57400000
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>oct2</sub>	174	+1.574	+1.57400000
Fe <sup>2+</sup> <sub>oct2</sub>	78	+1.052	+1.05200000
<i>O</i> <sub>2</sub>	500	-1.050	-1.0500000
Total System Cha	rge	+0.808	0.00000000

Atoms are categorized by their coordination sites and layer position within the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle, with the corresponding atom count for each idealized layer.

Fig. 3 | Comparative strategies for assigning perlayer partial atomic charges to Fe atoms in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. Two distinct approaches for assigning per-layer partial atomic charges to Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> atoms in a 2.3 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) nanoparticle are evaluated. tigating whether the atomic surface layering for the partial atomic charge assignment may affect the NP's overall structure. Here, we evaluate whether suppressing the  $Fe_{oct2}^{2+}/Fe_{oct2}^{3+}$  disproportion at the NP core level influences its overall nanostructure. The main idea behind this evaluation is to propose a more general protocol for the assignment of partial atomic charges to larger NP cores, especially when higher-level QM calculations to identify exactly the  $Fe_{oct2}^{2+}/Fe_{oct2}^{3+}$  disproportion of the NPs become impractical due to limitations in handling such large systems.

Figure 3 presents two distinct strategies for assigning partial atomic charges in the 2.3 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, using reference QM structural data to assess accuracy in describing the NP structure. In Model A (Fig. 3, left-hand side), we average the partial atomic charges over all  $Fe_{oct2}^{2+}$  and  $Fe_{oct2}^{3+}$  atoms in the bulk region, as detailed in Supplementary Table 5, Column A. In Model B (Fig. 3, right-hand side), we fully differentiate the atomic species in the second layer, averaging the partial atomic charges over all  $Fe_{oct2}^{2+}$  and  $Fe_{oct2}^{3+}$  atoms over all  $Fe_{oct2}^{2+}$  and  $Fe_{oct2}^{3+}$  atoms over all  $Fe_{oct2}^{3+}$  atoms in the suble 5, Column A. In Model B (Fig. 3, right-hand side), we fully differentiate the atomic species in the second layer, averaging the partial atomic charges over all  $Fe_{oct2}^{2+}$  and  $Fe_{oct2}^{3+}$  atoms in the bulk region, according to Supplementary Table 5, Column B. The averaging of partial atomic charges follows Eq. (3).

$$q_{FF}\left(Fe_{Oct2}^{2+/3+}\right) = \frac{q\left(Fe_{Oct2}^{2+}\right) \times n\left(Fe_{Oct2}^{2+}\right) + q\left(Fe_{Oct2}^{3+}\right) \times n\left(Fe_{Oct2}^{3+}\right)}{n\left(Fe_{Oct2}^{2+}\right) + n\left(Fe_{Oct2}^{3+}\right)} \quad (3)$$

Then, we perform a 50 ns long MD simulation of both Model A and Model B in vacuum. We find that suppressing the  $Fe_{Oct2}^{2+}/Fe_{Oct2}^{3+}$  disproportion at the NP core level yields no difference of the average NP thickness within the standard deviation between Model A (23.31 ± 0.20 Å) and Model B (23.30 ± 0.19 Å). Additionally, we observe no significant difference in the NP thickness compared to the original 2.3 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP (23.32 ± 0.19 Å), where there is a fully differentiation of atomic species based on the DFT/HSE06 calculations. When comparing the NP thickness against those predicted by the optimization with DFT/HSE06 (22.64 Å) and DFTB (23.31 Å) calculations, we note that the averaged NP thickness predicted by either classical model is in close agreement with those predicted at both QM levels of theory.

Next, we benchmark a larger pristine 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP against the lattice parameters of optimized Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> bulk at both DFT/HSE06 and DFTB levels of theory. Since we see no significant deviation in the overall structure of the smaller NP core due to the lack of  $Fe_{Oct2}^{2+}/Fe_{Oct2}^{3+}$  disproportion, we follow the protocol adopted for Model A in the partial atomic charge assignment of 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP atoms (Fig. 3, left-hand side). The NP thicknesses predicted from the lattice parameters of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> bulk optimizations at DFT/HSE06 and DFTB levels are 48.24 Å and 48.81 Å, respectively, while the NP



thickness of the classically optimized geometry is 48.77 Å, falling between these two QM values.

At this point, we confirm the reliability of the rescaled point charge model in combination with the mCLAY-FF in providing an accurate and stable structure for the pristine 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP core. We achieve an excellent compromise between the predictions of the implemented classical model against the overall thickness derived from the lattice parameters of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> bulk optimization at both DFT/HSE06 and DFTB levels of theory. Now, we are ready to move on to the next step of this work, which involves obtaining an optimized organic-coated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP which will undergo slight modifications to serve as the inorganic support of more complex polymercoated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanosystems.

#### Coating realistic-size 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles

Here, we move towards an important step of this work, which is the modeling of a larger Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP structure to serve as inorganic support for polyethylene glycol coatings, one of the aims of this study. Given the prohibitive computational costs, a full QM relaxation of organic-coated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs is not feasible. In such cases, refining classical models using QM reference data becomes challenging due to the large system size, making bottom-up validation unfeasible. However, recalling our findings in previous sections, we can confirm that the synergy between the mCLAY and CHARMM FFs in combination with the rescaled CLAY-based partial point charges is able to accurately catch important aspects of higher-level QM calculations. The thorough characterization and validation of fully FAcoated DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surfaces against their QM counterparts, along with classical NP thickness predictions for small and large pristine Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs compared to higher-level DFT/HSE06 and DFTB calculations, provide a useful set of reference data that can be translated to larger systems where the QM treatment is prohibitive, such as the distance and orientation of anchoring molecules adsorbed on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface as well as the overall structure of the inorganic core such as shape and thickness<sup>65,66</sup>.

Hence, we propose a step-by-step computational protocol to generate reliable starting-point structures for a large NP structure (5 nm size) to be used as the inorganic core for attachment of PEG chains. In summary, we have four steps in this process: I) cut of the cubic-shaped NP structure from the magnetite bulk and displacement of CHARMM-based zwitterionic carbamate (CA) anchoring molecules with their carboxylate groups on all pairs of octahedral Fe<sup>3+</sup> sites exposed on the NP surface; II) adjustment of

the partial atomic charges of NP atoms ensuring the system's electroneutrality followed by a classical energy minimization of the whole carbamate (CA)-coated NP system in vacuum; III) removal of the capping  $-NH_3^+$ terminal group from the adsorbed CA ligands on the NP surface and IV) formation of the covalent bond between the carbon atom of -COO groups adsorbed on the NP surface and the first carbon atom of -COO groups adsorbed on the NP surface and the first carbon atom of  $-CH_2$ -PEG<sub>X</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains (OOC-CH<sub>2</sub>-PEG<sub>X</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>), assigning the proper bonded parameters according to CGenFF. We illustrate all these steps in Fig. 4.

Another important consideration in this approach is the choice of the anchoring ligand used to coat the  $Fe_3O_4$  NP surface, as these groups will serve as the basis for attaching larger biomolecules on it later. Since no QM optimization is feasible for such a large NP core, we aim to retain as much QM information as possible learned from the smaller systems, such as, the average distance between the oxygen atoms of the anchoring groups and their respective adsorption iron sites on the  $Fe_3O_4$  NP surface.

In a scenario where we have used the dissociated form of formic acid, in which each anchoring ion bears a formal negative charge of -1 e, a situation of non-electroneutrality arises in the system. Hence, we propose herein the use of the closest organic ligand to FA possessing a zero net charge, namely CA. This anchoring molecule, due to its zwitterionic nature, bears a zero net charge, which ensures the system's electroneutrality in vacuum without substantial modifications of the partial atomic charges in the NP core, while also avoiding artifacts related to the utilization of standard long-range solvers to evaluate electrostatic forces of systems with a non-zero net charge during the classical minimization.

Particular attention has been given to the distance between the oxygen atoms in the carboxylate group of CA and their corresponding adsorption iron sites on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP surface. We start testing the same set of LJ (12-6) parameters adopted for the FA case, where the CHARMM-based LJ (12-6) parameters for the oxygen atoms in FA are swapped with the ones provided in the mCLAY FF, since the latter perform better in describing the distance between the oxygen atoms in the carboxylate group of FA and their respective adsorption sites on the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab surface. We observe that the mCLAY-based LJ (12-6) parameters overestimate the distance between the oxygen atoms and the iron sites compared to the QM reference. Considering that CHARMM-based LJ (12-6) parameters for the oxygen atoms of carboxylate in CA possess a smaller LJ sigma and similar LJ epsilon values compared to the mCLAY-based ones, we reassign back the former set of LJ (12-6) parameters for the oxygen atoms in CA and perform a classical



Fig. 4 | Modeling workflow for building up the starting-point structures of the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub>, HeCo-PEG<sub>500/1k</sub>, and HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> nanosystems. Red dashed lines indicate the breakage of covalent bonds in the carbamate ions of the coating,

while yellow dashed lines indicate the formation of covalent bonds for the attachment of polymer chains.



Fig. 5 | Frequency of Fe- $O_{CA}$  distances in the optimized carbamate-coated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub> $O_4$  NP structure. The distribution of Fe- $O_{CA}$  is presented, with bar colors corresponding to their respective regions on the DBT (001) NP facets (inset).

energy minimization step to check the average Fe-O<sub>CA</sub> distances. As expected, we observe an improvement in Fe-O<sub>CA</sub> distances compared to the DFT/HSE06 and DFTB predictions. The classical prediction of the Fe-O<sub>CA</sub> distances has an average value of 2.02 Å for the CA ligands over mostly the NP surface and slightly longer (2.10 Å) for the CA ligands on the NP edges. The DFTB and DFT/HSE06 predictions are 1.98–1.99 Å for the Fe-O<sub>FA</sub> distances on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) slab surface, respectively. Figure 5 shows the Fe-O<sub>CA</sub> distance distributions and their corresponding regions on the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) NP facets. Moreover, we compile in Table 5 all the necessary bonded and non-bonded FF parameters for the classical optimization of the CA-coated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP in vacuum.

With step (IV) of our protocol, we can successfully construct the starting-point structures for the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub>, HeCo-PEG<sub>500/1k</sub>, and HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanosystems. As detailed in Section "Preparation of Structural Models", HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> refers to the homogeneous coating with  $\text{PEG}_{500}$  chains,  $\text{HeCo-PEG}_{1k/500}$  refers to the heterogenous coating with a 50/50 mixture of PEG<sub>1k</sub> and PEG<sub>500</sub>, and HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> refers to the homogeneous coating with  $\text{PEG}_{1k}$  chains. Furthermore,  $\text{PEG}_X\text{-}\text{NH}_3^+$  refers to the positively charged  $NH_3^+$  terminal group of PEG<sub>X</sub> chains, where "X" generically represents all PEG chain types when the PEG length is not relevant to the discussion. Since substantial evidence from both DFT calculations (Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Table 6) and experiments under ultra-high vacuum conditions<sup>15,67,68</sup> supports the bridging bidentate binding as the most favorable anchoring group configuration, we dedicate the next section to investigate whether this holds true when the nanosystems are immersed in a realistic physiological solution, based on the FF predictions.

# Anchoring group binding and stability on 5 nm $\rm Fe_3O_4$ nano-particles in solution

Transitions in the binding configurations of anchoring groups, such as carboxylates switching between monodentate and bidentate modes, can occur on organic-coated metal oxide surfaces in solution<sup>69,70</sup>. To evaluate the extent of these conformational transitions at the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP surface at the classical level, we perform two sets of MD simulations using different modeling approaches: 1) In the first, the entire system evolves freely, with no rigid body approximation imposed, relying solely on the FF directives (*All relaxed*); 2) In the second, the rigid body approximation is applied exclusively to the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> atoms, allowing the rest of the system to evolve according to the FF directives (*Rigid NP*).

Table 6 shows a comparison of the different modeling approaches on the average distance between the carboxylate oxygen atoms and their corresponding Fe anchoring sites on the NP surface (Fe—O). It also details the Table 5 | (a) Combination of partial atomic charges, LJ (12-6) mCLAY and CHARMM FF parameters utilized for the geometry optimization of the carbamate-coated 5 nm  $Fe_3O_4$  NP structure. (b) Set of CHARMM FF parameters for the bonded interactions (bonds, angles, dihedrals, and improper parameters) in carbamate

(a)			
Atom types	$\epsilon_{ij}ig(kcal/molig)$	$\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{A})$	q (e)
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>tet</sub>	9.0298 (10 <sup>-6</sup> )	4.0722	+1.530
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>oct</sub>	9.0298(10 <sup>-6</sup> )	4.0722	+1.498
O <sub>surf</sub>	0.1554	3.1655	-1.000
Fe <sup>3+</sup> <sub>tet/bulk</sub>	$9.0298 \big( 10^{-6} \big)$	4.0722	+1.574
Fe <sup>2+/3+</sup> oct/bulk	9.0298(10 <sup>-6</sup> )	4.0722	+1.352
O <sub>bulk</sub>	0.1554	3.1655	-1.050
H <sub>car</sub>	0.0460	0.4000	+0.254
C <sub>car</sub>	0.0700	3.5636	+0.576
N <sub>car</sub>	0.2000	3.2963	+0.168
O <sub>car</sub>	0.1200	3.0291	-0.753
(b)			
Bonds	K <sub>b</sub> (ka	cal/mol)	<b>b</b> <sub>0</sub> (Å)
C <sub>car</sub> – N <sub>car</sub>	365.0	000	1.4200
$C_{car} - O_{car}$	525.0	000	1.2600
$N_{car} - H_{car}$	403.0	000	1.0400
Angles	$\textit{K}_{\theta} \bigl(\textit{kcal}/\textit{molrad}^2\bigr)$	$\theta_0() \qquad K_{ub}(kc)$	$al/Å^2$ $r_{ub}(Å)$
$C_{car} - N_{car} - H_{car}$	38.0000	112.0000 0.0000	0.0000
$H_{car} - N_{car} - H_{car}$	44.0000	109.5000 0.0000	0.0000
$O_{car} - C_{car} - N_{car}$	40.0000	116.0000 50.0000	2.3530
$O_{car} - C_{car} - O_{car}$	100.0000	128.0000 70.0000	2.2587
Dihedrals	K <sub>x</sub> (kcal/mo	n $\delta(\hat{a})$	weightfactor
$O_{car} - C_{car} - N_{car} -$	H <sub>car</sub> 0.2000	2 180.0000	1.0000
$O_{car} - C_{car} - N_{car} -$	H <sub>car</sub> 0.1500	6 0.0000	0.0000
improper	K <sub>im</sub>	<sub>np</sub> (kcal/mol)	$\varphi_{0}(\mathbf{kcal}/\mathbf{mol})$
$C_{car} - O_{car} - O_{c$	N <sub>car</sub> 96.	0000	0.0000

total number and percentages of anchoring groups that are adsorbed (in either bridging or chelating bidentate configurations or monodentate configurations) or desorbed, out of the total 432 ligands, over the entire production phase across all the six MD simulations.

Our results show that in both modeling approaches, most anchoring groups maintain a bridging bidentate configuration, with only a small fraction transitioning to the monodentate mode and an even smaller fraction acquiring a chelating bidentate configuration or fully desorbing from the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP surface (Table 6). When the rigid body approximation is imposed on the NP core, the average *Fe*—O distance in bidentate anchoring groups slightly increases by approximately 0.10 Å. Furthermore, anchoring groups that transition to the monodentate configuration display similar average *Fe*—O distances for the desorbing oxygen atom.

Regarding the total number of anchoring groups that maintain the bridging bidentate configuration or transition to a chelating bidentate or monodentate configuration during the production phase, we find that imposing the rigid body approximation at the NP core level retains a higher number of these anchoring groups in the bridging bidentate configuration. Furthermore, complete desorption of anchoring groups is minimal and occurs in only a tiny fraction of the ligands, regardless of the modeling approach adopted. Table 6 | Average distances of oxygen atoms in carboxylate anchoring groups to their corresponding adsorption Fe sites on the 5 nm  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticle surface, categorized by their binding mode configuration (bridging or chelating bidentate configurations, or monodentate configuration) and the average number of adsorbed or desorbed anchoring groups for the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub>, HeCo-PEG<sub>1k-500</sub>, and HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> nanosystems

(a)			
All relaxed	HoCo-PEG <sub>500</sub>	HeCo-PEG <sub>1k/500</sub>	HoCo-PEG <sub>1k</sub>
Fe—O (bridging)ª	$2.01\pm0.07$	$2.01\pm0.07$	$2.01\pm0.07$
Fe—O (chelating) <sup>a</sup>	$2.06\pm0.07$	$2.07\pm0.06$	$2.07\pm0.07$
Fe—O (monodentate)ª	2.86 ± 0.91	2.83 ± 0.88	2.83 ± 0.88
Bridging bidentate <sup>b</sup>	333 ± 13 (77.08%)	331 ± 12 (76.62%)	319 ± 17 (73.84%)
Chelating bidentate <sup>b</sup>	5 ± 2 (1.15%)	7 ± 1 (1.62%)	6 ± 3 (1.39%)
Monodentate <sup>b</sup>	88 ± 10 (20.37%)	87 ± 10 (20.14%)	101 ± 13 (23.38%)
Desorbed <sup>♭</sup>	6 ± 2 (1.40%)	7 ± 2 (1.62%)	6 ± 2 (1.39%)
(b)			
Rigid NP	HoCo-PEG <sub>500</sub>	HeCo-PEG <sub>1k/500</sub>	HoCo-PEG <sub>1k</sub>
Fe—O (bridging) <sup>a</sup>	$2.10\pm0.07$	$2.10\pm0.07$	$2.09\pm0.07$
Fe—O (chelating) <sup>a</sup>	$2.12\pm0.07$	$2.14\pm0.07$	$2.13 \pm 0.06$
Fe—O (monodentate)ª	$2.84\pm0.90$	2.79 ± 0.85	2.81 ± 0.86
Bridging bidentate <sup>b</sup>	376 ± 8 (87.04%)	372 ± 12 (86.11%)	354 ± 14 (81.94%)
Chelating bidentate <sup>b</sup>	1 ± 1 (0.23%)	1 ± 1 (0.23%)	2 ± 1 (0.46%)
monodentate <sup>b</sup>	52 ± 6 (12.04%)	57 ± 11 (13.20%)	74 ± 14 (17.14%)
desorbed <sup>b</sup>	3 ± 1 (0.69%)	2 ± 1 (0.46%)	2 ± 1 (0.46%)

<sup>a</sup>For the bridging and chelating bidentate configurations, the average Fe – O distance is taken from both adsorbed oxygen atoms in the carboxylate anchoring group, while for the monodentate configuration, the reported averages correspond only to the Fe–O distances of desorbed carboxylate oxygen atoms.

<sup>b</sup>The average number of adsorbed or desorbed anchoring groups is taken over the 50 ns MD production run.

In summary, our findings suggest that the bridging bidentate configuration is the preferred binding mode for carboxylate anchoring groups on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP surface, with a modest number transitioning to a monodentate configuration and a minimal fraction acquiring a chelating bidentate configuration or undergoing complete desorption, even in the presence of solvent and ions.

Experimental evidence confirms that the oxygen in anchoring groups forms highly stable and irreversible Fe-O bonds on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP surface under physiological conditions<sup>54,71</sup>. This stability is likely enhanced by the reduced water content near the surface of polymer-coated NPs, favoring the bridging bidentate configuration of carboxylate groups by minimizing competition for Fe binding sites. Therefore, we opt to apply the rigid approximation to both the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP core and the anchoring groups, fixing the latter in the bridging bidentate configuration at their optimized distances obtained with CA ligands (as detailed in Sections "Preparation of Structural Models" and "Coating Realistic-size 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles"). This choice is further supported by DFT calculations (Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Table 6) and experimental studies in ultra-high vacuum conditions<sup>15,67,68</sup>, which identify the bridging bidentate as the most stable anchoring group configuration on the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface. The use of this approximation also aligns with our understanding that the implemented FF still requires further validation on its capability to quantitatively predict binding configurations and their transitions in realistic solution conditions, making the use of the stable bridging bidentate configuration across all polymer-coated NP models a reasonable approach for this study.

#### Case study of $NH_3^+$ -terminated PEG-coated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles in aqueous solution: the impact of non-homogeneous length of polymer chains

This final section focuses on investigating the applicability of the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub>, HeCo-PEG<sub>500/1k</sub>, and HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> nanosystems for quantifying properties that hold significant potential in the design of tailored nanosystems for nanomedical applications. Experimental findings indicate that the polymer length and coating composition of PEGylated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs are critical parameters for controlling their magnetization properties, polymer structure, and colloidal stability<sup>19,24,72</sup>. Additionally, the chemical nature of the terminal groups of these chains, such as amine groups, plays a key role in facilitating functionalization and conjugation with bioactive molecules<sup>26–28</sup>.

In the following, we analyze the impact of different PEG coating compositions on key properties of the polymeric corona surrounding the NP core.

Figure 6 illustrates the final snapshot of the simulated  $Fe_3O_4$  nanosystems with the following coating compositions: (a) HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub>,(b) HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub>, and (c)HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> in physiological solution after a 100 ns long MD production phase.

**Coating thickness and radius of gyration**. The control of polymeric coating thickness (e.g. polymer chain length) is fundamental for tailoring nanosystem properties to enhance their functionality and performance, for instance, in preventing or controlling the formation of the protein corona<sup>73,74</sup>, modulating the presentation of bioactive molecules on the NP surface<sup>22,75</sup>, and so forth. Another important aspect of polymer coating is how the coating composition (e.g. heterogeneous or homogeneous coating) may impact the molecular conformation of these polymeric chains within the polymer corona.

Although the polymeric coating thickness can be accurately measured experimentally<sup>76</sup>, it is often difficult for experimentalists to understand the intricate interactions behind it at molecular level. Hence, we provide further understanding on how the overall polymeric thickness is impacted by the three different polymer coating compositions.

To do so, we begin by estimating the PEG thickness from the calculation of the number density of PEG particles radially distributed around the NP core having its geometric center as reference. The coating thickness is defined here as the difference between the minimum  $r_{\rm min}$  and maximum  $r_{\rm max}$  distances from the NP surface according to Eq. (4), where  $g_{coat}(r_{\rm min}) = 0.05$  and  $g_{coat}(r_{\rm max}) = 0.95$ , therefore corresponding to 90% of probability in finding the polymeric coating particles in that region over the simulation time<sup>77</sup>.

$$g_{coat}(r) = \frac{4\pi}{N_{coat}^2} \int_0^r \sum_{i=1}^{N_{coat}} \left\langle \delta_D(r_i - r') \right\rangle dr' \tag{4}$$

Yet an important aspect from an experimental point of view is the quantification of the Radius of Gyration (RoG) of polymeric chains in the polymer corona, which is a common characterization in polymer physics<sup>78</sup> and routinely assessed by MD simulations. At its core, this analysis provides useful information about the spatial extent of the polymer coating molecules and contributes to a better understanding of the conformational behavior and flexibility of these molecules in solution and can be given by Eq. (5).

$$R_{gyr}^{2} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} (r_{i} - R)^{2}$$
(5)

In this section, we unveil the impact of diverse coating morphologies on the PEG coating thickness and RoG of polymer ligands decorating the  $Fe_3O_4$ NP. Figure 7 shows the average values of PEG corona thickness and the RoG for all three systems depicted in Fig. 6.

Figure 7 reveals a significant increase in PEG coating thickness when transitioning from HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> to HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub>. We also see an increase in thickness when shifting from HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> to HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub>



Fig. 6 | Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanosystems with varying PEG coating morphologies. Final snapshots of simulated 5 nm Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles with different polymer coatings featuring: a homogeneous  $PEG_{500}$  coating, b heterogeneous  $PEG_{1k/500}$  coating with 50/50 mixture of  $PEG_{500}$  and  $PEG_{1k}$  chains, and c homogeneous  $PEG_{1k}$  coating.





highlighting the structural differences across the three different morphologies. Only the RoG of  $\rm PEG_{1k}$  chains is plotted for HeCo-PEG\_{1k/500}, while the RoG of  $\rm PEG_{500}$  chains is given in the text.

though to a lesser extent. This suggests that the presentation of  $\text{PEG}_{1k}\text{-}\text{NH}_3^+$  chains towards the bulk-water phase behaves similarly in both cases, where most of these chains acquire a brush conformation towards the bulk-water phase.

Upon analyzing the RoG values of  $PEG_{500}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains across the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> and HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coating morphologies, we find distinct effects on their conformational behavior. HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coating reduces the RoG of PEG<sub>500</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains (6.65 Å) compared to the identical polymer



Fig. 8 | Order parameter and representative conformations of PEG chains across different coating morphologies. Order parameter for a  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains in  $HoCo-PEG_{1k}$  and  $HeCo-PEG_{1k/500}$  coating morphologies, b  $PEG_{500}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains in

 $\rm HoCo-PEG_{500}$  and  $\rm HeCo-PEG_{1k/500}$  coating morphologies. On the top, the most representative polymer conformations are superimposed over the last 50 ns of MD simulation for (a1, a2, a3)  $\rm PEG_{1k}-NH_3^+$  chains and (b1, b2)  $\rm PEG_{500}-NH_3^+$  chains.

chains in the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> coating (Fig. 7), suggesting that some steric suppression by longer PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains over the shorter PEG<sub>500</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains might be happening. On the other hand, we find no significant changes in the RoG of PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains by changing from HeCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub>, <sub>500</sub> to HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> suggesting that the presentation of PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains towards the bulk-water phase behaves similarly in both cases, where most of these chains acquire a brush conformation.

**Order parameter of PEG chains.** An effective polymeric coating depends on the proper balance of several coating parameters, which in turn directly impacts the dynamics of polymer chains within the coating corona, a critical aspect for tailoring nanosystem's properties.

Order parameter assessment, routinely adopted in biophysical studies of biomolecular assemblies<sup>79</sup>, has also proven useful in assessing how tunable parameters like chain length, chemical nature, and composition of polymer coatings impact the overall ordering and conformation of their components within the polymeric corona<sup>80–82</sup>.

Thus, we quantify the order parameter to identify the ordering of PEG chains in the system models according to Eq. (6).

$$S_{OO} = 0.5 \left\langle \left( 3\cos^2\theta - 1 \right) \right\rangle \tag{6}$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle formed between the principal axis normal to each DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) NP facet and the vectors formed by pairs of subsequent oxygen atoms along the PEG chain, starting from the vector formed between the two closest oxygen atoms in the two nearest PEG monomer units at the NP surface up to the vector formed between the two oxygen atoms in the PEG monomer units farther away from the NP surface. Average *S*<sub>oo</sub> values are taken over all coating ligands and over the 50 ns long production phase.

Figure 8 depicts the order parameter of  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains composing the HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> and HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coatings (Fig. 8a), and the  $PEG_{500}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains constituting the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> and HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coatings (Fig. 8b). In Fig. 8a, we observe a clear pattern of chain ordering near to the NP surface, which rapidly transitions into a random ordering regime up to the mid-length of  $NH_3^+$ -PEG<sub>1k</sub> chains, acquiring mostly extended conformations with their chain's distal part exposed in the bulkwater phase (Fig. 8a1), enclosed within the outer region of the PEG corona (Fig. 8a2), or retained within the inner region of the PEG corona driven by H-bonds interaction between the terminal amine group and the available oxygen atoms on the NP surface (Fig. 8a3). From the tenth PEG monomeric unit on,  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains behave quite similarly whatever homogeneous, or heterogeneous coating morphologies are adopted. However, a substantial difference can be spotted at the distal monomeric units of  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains – the heterogeneous coating favors a more random orientation of the distal portion of  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains while the homogeneous coating induces an enhanced chain ordering effect instead. This observation can be attributed to a less dense and crowded outer region within the heterogeneous PEG corona yielding an enhanced influx of water molecules at this region compared to the homogeneous coating, and therefore, higher molecular mobility of the distal PEG monomers in the PEG\_{1k}-NH\_3^+ chains.

Figure 8b reveals a quasi-symmetric order parameter observed around the fifth monomeric unit of  $PEG_{500}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains in both HoCo-PEG\_{500} and HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coatings. We see a high ordering pattern of  $PEG_{500}$ - $NH_3^+$ chains near to the NP surface, which decreases rapidly to a random regime up to the mid-length of these chains, similarly to what we observe in the  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_3^+$  case (Fig. 8a). However, from the fifth monomeric unit on, we see an increasing ordering again regardless of whether a homogeneous or heterogeneous coating morphology is adopted, differing from what we observe in the  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains.

Further analysis reveals that  $PEG_{500}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains not only can adopt extended conformations (Fig. 8b1) but also exhibit a considerable occurrence of mushroom conformations (Fig. 8b2) throughout the MD simulation trajectory. This mushroom conformation occurs to a higher extent compared to the extended ones in the case of  $PEG_{500}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains and is even more pronounced in the heterogeneous coating, where most of the positively charged  $PEG_X$ - $NH_3^+$  terminal groups are completely enclosed within the PEG corona either interacting with the oxygen atoms in the PEG monomer units or with the oxygen atoms exposed on the NP surface.

Lastly, RMSD analysis (Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1) corroborates the observations above regarding the higher mobility of  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains compared to  $PEG_{500}$ - $NH_3^+$  chains. Interestingly, we can also observe that the type of coating has a direct effect on the mobility of



Fig. 9 | Polymer corona H-bonding across different PEG coating morphologies. Number of H-bond formations between a  $PEG_{X}-NH_{3}^{+}$  chains and water molecules, b  $PEG_{X}-NH_{3}^{+}$  terminal groups and PEG units of  $PEG_{X}-NH_{3}^{+}$  chains, c  $PEG_{1k}$ - $NH_{3}^{+}$  terminal groups and PEG units of  $PEG_{X}-NH_{3}^{+}$  chains, and d  $PEG_{1k}-NH_{3}^{+}$ 

terminal groups and NP. For the sake of comparison between HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> and HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coatings in panels **c** and **d**, the number of H-bonds is normalized by the total number of coating chains based on their type and content.

these polymer chains throughout the simulations. In the HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coating, PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains show greater mobility (higher RMSD values), while PEG<sub>500</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains become less mobile (lower RMSD values) compared to their counterparts in homogeneous coatings.

**Polymer Corona H-Bonding analysis.** A fundamental, and still open question revolves around the factors determining the ability of the polymeric coating layer surrounding NPs in controlling/suppressing the protein corona formation. An experimental assumption explaining the effectiveness of PEG coating layers in preventing the adsorption of proteins relies on the ability of these hydrophilic chains in binding a large amount of water molecules, through h-bonds, to their many available ether groups. PEG hence creates a highly viscous layer made of coordinated water molecules that shield the coated NP surface from further protein adsorption<sup>83–88</sup>. Thus, the verification and understanding, at molecular level, of the mechanism behind the formation of this experimentally hypothesized layer within the polymeric corona is of utmost relevance.

Therefore, we investigate the H-bonding among the nanosystem's components and surroundings across the three different coating compositions. Figure 9 shows the average number of H-bond formation occurring between the nanosystem's components and water molecules, and among the nanosystem's components, as a function of radial distance from the NP geometric center towards the bulk-water phase. The cumulative number of H-bonds in the radial profiles is then normalized by the simulation length to more accurately reflect the frequency of H-bonds occurring around the NP over time. We counted as an H-bond formation when both of these criteria are satisfied: I) the distance between H-bond donor and the H-acceptor is less than 3.0 Å; II) the supplementary angle to the one formed between the

H-donor and H-acceptor heavy atoms, having the hydrogen atom as the vertex, is less than 20°.

For the sake of discussion, we split the polymeric coating corona in two main regions: the "inner region" of the PEG corona beginning at the shortest distance from the NP geometric center to its surface (25 Å) up to 45 Å from the NP center and an "outer region" of the PEG corona starting at 45 Å up to the bulk-water phase (at about 90 Å from the NP surface).

In Fig. 9a, we find that the tight polymeric packing within  $\sim 2$  Å from the NP surface yields a reduced number of H-bonds formations between PEG<sub>X</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains and water molecules, regardless of the chain length or coating morphology employed. Then, we observe a rapid increase in the number of H-bonds within the inner PEG corona region (at about 10 Å from the NP surface), where no significant differences are observed among the different coatings. Moreover, as we move towards the outer PEG corona region, we identify that the higher the PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chain content, the greater the occurrence of H-bonds between the coating ligands and water molecules - in both HoCo-PEG1k and HeCo-PEG1k/500 morphologies, these H-bond formations extend beyond 50 Å farther from the NP surface. Interestingly, we observe that water molecules can form H-bonds with PEG chains across the whole coating layer, creating multiple layers of coordinated water molecules within the polymeric coating. For additional details on H-bond formation without anchoring group restraints, refer to Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Fig. 2.

Figure 9b presents the H-bond formation within the PEG corona between the chain's terminal group and the monomeric PEG units, for the three different coating morphologies. We observe that the maxima peaks of H-bonds occur within the inner polymeric corona region for all three cases. Among these, we observe that the HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> morphology exhibits a slightly more internalized peak compared to the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> and HoCo-

 $PEG_{1k}$  morphologies. As we move from inner to the outer PEG corona region, there is a substantial decrease in the formation of H-bond up to 25 Å from the NP surface - beyond this point, the formation of H-bonds is practically extinguished in the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> case, whereas both the HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> and HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> coatings still exhibit a considerable number of H-bonds extending up to 50 Å from the NP surface, with the latter morphology showing a more pronounced effect among all.

Figure 9c reveals that the HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coating yields a reduction of H-bonds between PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> terminal groups and PEG monomers within the inner region of the PEG corona, while the HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> coating appears to enhance these interactions. A similar trend is found in Fig. 9d, where the HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coating shows a reduction in the overall number of H-bond formed between the positively charged PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> terminal groups and the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP core. The mechanism behind this is likely due to the steric effects of the longer PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> chains over the shorter ones in the HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coating, increasing the packing/density of the latter near the NP surface and subsequently hampering the access of PEG<sub>1k</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> terminal groups in that region.

Despite the coating morphology adopted, the formation of H-bonds between  $PEG_X-NH_3^+$  chains and water molecules are the most abundant. The higher the content of  $PEG_{1k}-NH_3^+$  chains in the polymeric coating, the higher the H-bonds occurrence between the nanosystem's coating ligands and solvent molecules. Interestingly, our analysis also unveils the H-bonding formation among the nanosystem's components. This is mainly driven by intermolecular H-bonds between positively charged  $PEG_X-NH_3^+$ terminal groups and either oxygen atoms of polymeric units of PEG or available oxygen atoms on the NP surface, in that order of relevance in contributing to the H-bonding formation within the PEG corona.

#### Discussion

In this work, we have presented a computational protocol for accurate and robust modeling of realistically-size  $Fe_3O_4$  nanocube-shaped cores for designing of more complex experimentally relevant  $Fe_3O_4$ -based nanosystems.

Through our study, we have demonstrated the effectiveness of employing rescaled CLAY-based partial atomic charges and the mCLAY FF for Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> atoms, thereby expanding its applicability to the biomolecular CHARMM FF. This FF combination has shown satisfactory transferability across different dimensionalities of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> materials, even at length scales beyond the reach of full quantum mechanics characterization, and their interactions with organic molecules of common chemical composition (H, C, O, and N atoms).

Furthermore, to highlight the practical implications of our work, we have built and simulated polymer coated  $Fe_3O_4$ -based nanosystems with different coating morphologies having the designed realistic-size 5 nm  $Fe_3O_4$  NP as inorganic core. This case study allowed us to thoroughly investigate the interplay between polymer length and coating composition on key nanosystem properties, including polymeric coating thickness, polymer chain's order parameter, and H-bonding within the PEG corona.

Our findings have unveiled interesting trends: transitioning from HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> to HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coatings leads to significant increase in coating thickness, while minimal impact on this parameter is found when changing from HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> to HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> coating. Additionally, we observed distinct conformational preferences, with PEG<sub>500</sub> chains favoring a mushroom-like shape and enhanced ordering, with most PEG<sub>500</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> terminal groups remaining internalized within the polymeric corona layer driven by an elevate number of H-bonds regardless of coating morphology. Rather, longer PEG<sub>1k</sub> chains favor an extended conformation increasing randomness and disordering at the chain's distal portions towards the bulk-water accompanied by substantial decrease in H-bonds within the inner region of the polymer corona.

Interestingly, HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coating enhances even further disordering/mobility and loss of H-bonds of  $PEG_{1k}$  chains within the inner region of the polymer corona compared to the HoCo-PEG<sub>1k</sub> coating. On the other hand, the HeCo-PEG<sub>1k/500</sub> coating enhances distal ordering and decreases mobility of  $PEG_{500}$  chains compared to the HoCo-PEG<sub>500</sub> coating. These findings suggest the existence of a complex correlation between polymer length and coating morphology, highlighting the importance of the assessment of these parameters in polymer-coated nanosystem's designing.

In conclusion, this study provides a practical computational protocol and the necessary set of validated FF parameters for designing zerodimensional cubic-shaped  $Fe_3O_4$  structures interfacing biomolecular assemblies of common organic composition, backed by a systematic accuracy assessment via multi-level computational techniques. We envision that this work will serve as the basis for classical modeling of realistic-size  $Fe_3O_4$ based nanosystems, paving the way for more efficient design of nextgeneration nanomaterials for nanomedical applications.

#### Methods

#### **Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations**

Hybrid density functional theory calculations (HSE06)<sup>89</sup> were carried out using the CRYSTAL17 package<sup>90</sup>. For the validation against experimental data of the standard hybrid functional HSE06 as a robust theoretical approach to describe structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of magnetite system, please refer to ref. 91, where some of us also analyzed the effect of reducing the fraction of the exact exchange, in comparison with B3LYP and PBE + U calculations with different U values. The Kohn-Sham orbitals are expanded in Gaussian-type orbitals: the all-electron basis sets are H|511G(p1), C|6311G(d11), O|8411G(d1) (for magnetite oxygen atoms), O[8411G(d11) (for adsorbed molecules oxygen atoms), and Fe 86411G(d41). The convergence criteria of  $10^{-6}$  Hartree and  $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ Hartree/Bohr for total energy and forces, respectively, were used during self-consistent field calculations and geometry optimization, according to the scheme previously used for  $Fe_3O_4^{\frac{37}{50.91}}$  For the 2D slab calculations, the irreducible Brillouin zone was sampled with a  $3 \times 3 \times 1$  k-points grid generated with the Monkhorst-Pack scheme<sup>92</sup>.

#### **Density Functional Tight-Binding (DFTB) calculations**

SCC-DFTB (short for Self-Consistent redistribution of Charges Density Functional Tight-Binding) calculations were carried out using the DFTB+ software<sup>93</sup>. SCC-DFTB is an approximate method that turns DFT into a tight-binding scheme and is derived from the second-order expansion of the Kohn-Sham DFT total energy with respect to the electron density fluctuations. The SCC-DFTB total energy can be written as in Eq. (7):

$$E_{tot} = \sum_{i}^{occ} \varepsilon_i + 1/2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta}^{N} \gamma_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta q_{\alpha} \Delta q_{\beta} + E_{rep}$$
(7)

where the first term is the sum of the one-electron energies  $\varepsilon_i$  coming from the diagonalization of the corresponding Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian matrix (where all three-center integrals are neglected),  $\Delta q_{\alpha}$  and  $\Delta q_{\beta}$  are the induced charges on the atoms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, and  $\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a Coulombic-like interaction potential. E<sub>rep</sub> is a short-range pairwise repulsive potential. Neglecting three-center contributions from the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian enables the use of integral tables, usually referred to as parameters. More details about the SCC-DFTB method can be found elsewhere<sup>94,95</sup>. DFTB will be used as shorthand for SCC-DFTB. For the Fe-Fe, Fe-H and Fe-C interactions, we used the "trans3d-0-1" set of parameters<sup>96</sup>. For the O-O, H-O, H-H, O-C, H-C and C-C interactions we used the "mio-1-1" set of parameters<sup>97</sup>. For the Fe-O interactions, we used the Slater-Koster files fitted by some of us previously<sup>51</sup>, which can well reproduce the results for magnetite bulk and surfaces from HSE06 and PBE + U calculations. This refitting procedure of the Fe-O repulsive interactions in the "trans3d-0-1" parameter set, performed using DFT calculations with the PBE functional<sup>51</sup>, enhanced the accuracy of the DFTB + U method in describing the lattice parameters, charge distribution, and electronic properties (including charge disproportion of Fe ions) for bulk Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and its (001) surface<sup>51</sup>. The refitted DFTB parameter set was then also validated for their accuracy in describing adsorption energies, structural properties, and hydrogen-bond networks at

the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001)/water interface, with DFTB + U results aligning closely with DFT/HSE06 predictions and experimental data<sup>36</sup>. Furthermore, these parameters yielded fair accuracy in predicting the structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of realistic-sized Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, with results consistent with hybrid DFT/HSE06 calculations and experimental EXAFS spectra<sup>37</sup>. To further validate the "trans3d-0-1" and "mio-1-1" DFTB parameter sets in predicting structural and energetic properties at the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ organic interface, a set of optimization calculations at low coverage regime of acetic acid (one dissociated or undissociated molecule per cell) on the DBT Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface were conducted at the DFT/HSE06 and DFTB levels of theory. The resulting interatomic distances and adsorption energy values showed close agreement between DFT/HSE06 and DFTB methods, as reported in Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Table 6. To properly deal with the strong correlation effects among iron 3d electrons<sup>98</sup>, DFTB + U with an effective U-J value of 3.5 eV was adopted according to our previous work on magnetite<sup>35-37,45,50,51,91</sup>. The convergence criterion of 10<sup>-4</sup> a.u. for forces and the convergence threshold on the SCC procedure of 10<sup>-5</sup> a.u. were used during geometry optimization together with conjugate gradient optimization algorithm. For the DFTB + U MD (DFTB MD) simulations, the Velocity Verlet driver was set with a timestep of 0.5 fs. The trajectories were obtained from 50 ps NVT runs at 300 K, using an Andersen thermostat. The convergence threshold on the SCC procedure of  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  a.u. was used during the MD production.

#### **Classical Molecular Dynamics (MD) calculations**

The bonded and non-bonded interactions were treated using the CHARMM potential energy functions implemented in the LAMMPS code (version 21 Nov 2023)<sup>99,100</sup>, which can be written asin Eq. (8):

$$E_{total} = E_{nonbond} + E_{bonded}$$

$$E_{nonbond} = \sum_{nonbond} \left( 4\epsilon_{ij} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} \right] + \frac{q_i q_i}{e r_{ij}} \right)$$

$$E_{bonded} = \sum_{bonds} K_b (b - b_0)^2 + \sum_{angles} K_\theta (\theta - \theta_0)^2 + K_{ub} (r - r_{ub})^2 \quad (8)$$

$$+ \sum_{dihedral} K_{\chi} (1 + \cos(n\chi - \delta)) + \sum_{improper} K_{imp} (\varphi - \varphi_0)^2$$

in which arithmetic mixing rules were utilized to obtain the cross-term parameters for Lennard-Jones (12-6) interactions between unlike atoms as given in Eqs. (9) and (10)

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \left(\epsilon_i \epsilon_j\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{9}$$

improper

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_i + \sigma_j \right) \tag{10}$$

The long-range solver Particle-Particle Particle-Mesh (P3M)<sup>101</sup> handled the electrostatic interactions with a real-space cut-off of 12 Å smoothly switching forces to zero beyond 10 Å with a threshold of 10<sup>-5</sup> for the error tolerance in forces. For 2D periodic MD simulations, we utilized the modified P3M solver using the slab correction implementation proposed by Yeh and Berkowitz<sup>102</sup> dumping out any spurious inter-slab interactions. The LJ (12-6) interactions were truncated with a 12 Å cut-off distance in the real space with forces smoothly switching to zero beyond 10 Å. Details on the CHARMM functional forms for the force switching scheme can be found elsewhere<sup>103</sup>. In all MD simulations reported herein, a minimization phase was carried out to minimize the total potential energy in all systems, followed by an equilibration phase 50 ns long. The MD production phase explored 150 ns of the phase space in the NVT ensemble at 303.15 K held constant by the Nosé-Hoover thermostat with a damping coefficient at 1.0 ps<sup>-1</sup>, and the final analysis results were calculated over the last 50 ns of production phase. The SHAKE algorithm<sup>104</sup> was applied to constrain the O—H bonds and the H—O—H bond angles of water molecules, while all other bonded interactions in the systems were treated dynamically according to their respective FF parameters. Newton's equations of motion were integrated in time using the Velocity-Verlet integrator with a timestep of 2.0 fs.

#### Data availability

The authors declare that the main data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information file.

Received: 18 April 2024; Accepted: 27 November 2024; Published online: 25 January 2025

#### References

- 1. Pankhurst, Q. A., Connolly, J., Jones, S. K. & Dobson, J. Applications of magnetic nanoparticles in biomedicine. J. Phys. D. Appl Phys. 36, R167-R181 (2003).
- 2. Laurent, S. et al. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, stabilization, vectorization, physicochemical characterizations, and biological applications. Chem. Rev. 108, 2064-2110 (2008).
- Gupta, A. K. & Gupta, M. Synthesis and surface engineering of iron З. oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Biomaterials 26, 3995-4021 (2005).
- Colombo, M. et al. Biological applications of magnetic 4. nanoparticles. Chem. Soc. Rev. 41, 4306-4334 (2012).
- 5. Wu, W., Jiang, C. Z. & Roy, V. A. L. Designed synthesis and surface engineering strategies of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Nanoscale 8, 19421-19474 (2016).
- 6. Yan, X. et al. Multifunctional biohybrid magnetite microrobots for imaging-guided therapy. Sci. Robot. 2, eaaq1155 (2017).
- 7. Chow, E. K. H. & Ho, D. Cancer nanomedicine: From drug delivery to imaging. Sci. Transl. Med. 5, 216rv4 (2013).
- 8. Park, J. et al. Ultra-large-scale syntheses of monodisperse nanocrystals. Nat. Mater. 3, 891-895 (2004).
- Kovalenko, M. V. et al. Fatty acid salts as stabilizers in size- and 9. shape-controlled nanocrystal synthesis: the case of inverse spinel iron oxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 6352-6353 (2007).
- Zhao, L. et al. Morphology-Controlled Synthesis of Magnetites with 10. Nanoporous Structures and Excellent Magnetic Properties. Chem. Mater, 20, 198-204 (2008).
- Kim, D. et al. Synthesis of uniform ferrimagnetic magnetite 11. nanocubes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 454-455 (2009).
- 12. Li, X. et al. Rhombic dodecahedral Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: ionic liquid-modulated and microwave-assisted synthesis and their magnetic properties. CrystEngComm 13, 6017 (2011).
- Zhao, L. & Duan, L. Uniform Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Octahedra with Tunable Edge 13. Length - Synthesis by a Facile Polyol Route and Magnetic Properties. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 5635-5639 (2010).
- Santos-Carballal, D., Roldan, A., Grau-Crespo, R. & Leeuw, N. Hde A 14. DFT study of the structures, stabilities and redox behaviour of the major surfaces of magnetite Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16, 21082-21097 (2014).
- 15. Creutzburg, M. et al. Adsorption of oleic acid on magnetite facets. Commun. Chem. 5, 1-9 (2022).
- Sun, J. et al. Synthesis and characterization of biocompatible Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 16. nanoparticles. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A 80, 333-341 (2007).
- 17. Hou, Z., Liu, Y., Xu, J. & Zhu, J. Surface engineering of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles by polymer grafting: synthesis progress and biomedical applications. Nanoscale 12, 14957-14975 (2020).
- 18. Karaagac, O. & Köckar, H. Improvement of the saturation magnetization of PEG coated superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 551, 169140 (2022).
- Anbarasu, M., Anandan, M., Chinnasamy, E., Gopinath, V. & 19. Balamurugan, K. Synthesis and characterization of polyethylene glycol (PEG) coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles by chemical coprecipitation method for biomedical applications. Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 135, 536-539 (2015).

- Antarnusa, G. & Suharyadi, E. A synthesis of polyethylene glycol (PEG)-coated magnetite Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and their characteristics for enhancement of biosensor. *Mater. Res. Express* 7, 56103 (2020).
- 21. Peréz, D. Ll et al. Synthesis of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles coated with polyethylene glycol as potential drug carriers for cancer treatment. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **26**, 2 (2024).
- 22. Radoń, A. et al. Influence of the modifiers in polyol method on magnetically induced hyperthermia and biocompatibility of ultrafine magnetite nanoparticles. *Sci. Rep.* **13**, 7860 (2023).
- Patel, N. N., Mulla, N. R., Khot, V. M. & Patil, R. S. Anticancer activity of surface functionalized magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) nanoparticles of polymer coating. *Emergent Mater.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s42247-023-00611-y (2023).
- Barrera, C., Herrera, A., Zayas, Y. & Rinaldi, C. Surface modification of magnetite nanoparticles for biomedical applications. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 321, 1397–1399 (2009).
- Thonglor, P. & Albutt, D. Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) Coated Magnetite Nanoparticles Prepared by Sol-Gel Method for Biotechnology Applications. *MSF* **1100**, 65–73 (2023).
- Nguyen, D. T. & Kim, K.-S. Functionalization of magnetic nanoparticles for biomedical applications. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 31, 1289–1305 (2014).
- D'souza, A. A. & Shegokar, R. Polyethylene glycol (PEG): a versatile polymer for pharmaceutical applications. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 13, 1257–1275 (2016).
- Zhang, X., Wang, H., Ma, Z. & Wu, B. Effects of pharmaceutical PEGylation on drug metabolism and its clinical concerns. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* **10**, 1691–1702 (2014).
- Boyer, C., Whittaker, M. R., Bulmus, V., Liu, J. & Davis, T. P. The design and utility of polymer-stabilized iron-oxide nanoparticles for nanomedicine applications. *NPG Asia Mater.* 2, 23–30 (2010).
- Rowan, A. D., Patterson, C. H. & Gasparov, L. V. Hybrid density functional theory applied to magnetite: Crystal structure, charge order, and phonons. *Phys. Rev. B* 79, 205103 (2009).
- Noh, J., Osman, O. I., Aziz, S. G., Winget, P. & Brédas, J.-L. A density functional theory investigation of the electronic structure and spin moments of magnetite. *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.* **15**, 44202 (2014).
- Righi, G., Fabris, S. & Piccinin, S. Oxygen Evolution Reaction on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) Surface: Theoretical Insights into the Role of Terminal and Bridging Oxygen Atoms. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* **125**, 18752–18761 (2021).
- Meier, M. et al. Water agglomerates on Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(001). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E5642–E5650 (2018).
- Bourgund, A. et al. Influence of local defects on the dynamics of O–H bond breaking and formation on a magnetite surface. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 123, 19742–19747 (2019).
- Liu, H., Siani, P., Bianchetti, E., Zhao, J. & Di Valentin, C. Multiscale simulations of the hydration shells surrounding spherical Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and effect on magnetic properties. *Nanoscale* **13**, 9293–9302 (2021).
- Liu, H., Bianchetti, E., Siani, P. & Di Valentin, C. Insight into the interface between Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (001) surface and water overlayers through multiscale molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 124711 (2020).
- Liu, H. & Di Valentin, C. Shaping Magnetite Nanoparticles from First Principles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 186101 (2019).
- Gürsoy, E., Vonbun-Feldbauer, G. B. & Mei, R. H. Oxidation-State Dynamics and Emerging Patterns in Magnetite. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 14, 6800–6807 (2023).
- Konuk, M., Sellschopp, K., Vonbun-Feldbauer, G. B. & Mei, R. H. Modeling charge redistribution at magnetite interfaces in empirical force fields. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* **125**, 4794–4805 (2021).

- Grasso, G. et al. Cell Penetrating Peptide Adsorption on Magnetite and Silica Surfaces: A Computational Investigation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 119, 8239–8246 (2015).
- Qiang, L. et al. Molecular dynamics simulations of the interaction between Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and biocompatible polymer. *Colloids Surf. A: Physicochemical Eng. Asp.* **456**, 62–66 (2014).
- 42. Qiang, L. et al. Atomic-scale interactions of the interface between chitosan and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. *Colloids Surf. A* **419**, 125–132 (2013).
- Gonçalves, T. A. R., Silva, L. A., Pereira, A. M., Peres, A. E. C. & Correia, J. C. G. Advancing iron ore slimes magnetic separation with functionalized Nanoparticles: Molecular insights from free energy simulations. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 642, 158498 (2024).
- 44. Kundu, T. K., Rao, K. H. & Parker, S. C. Atomistic simulation studies of magnetite surface structures and adsorption behavior in the presence of molecular and dissociated water and formic acid. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **295**, 364–373 (2006).
- Siani, P., Bianchetti, E., Liu, H. & Di Valentin, C. Parametrization of the Fe-Owater cross-interaction for a more accurate Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/water interface model and its application to a spherical Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle of realistic size. *J. Chem. Phys.* **154**, 34702 (2021).
- Mahmood, A. U., Rizvi, M. H., Tracy, J. B. & Yingling, Y. G. Solvent Effects in Ligand Stripping Behavior of Colloidal Nanoparticles. ACS Nano 17, 13319–13332 (2023).
- 47. Kerisit, S. Water structure at hematite–water interfaces. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **75**, 2043–2061 (2011).
- Cygan, R. T., Liang, J.-J. & Kalinichev, A. G. Molecular models of hydroxide, oxyhydroxide, and clay phases and the development of a general force field. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 108, 1255–1266 (2004).
- 49. Pentcheva, R. et al. Jahn-Teller stabilization of a 'polar' metal oxide surface: Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(001). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 126101 (2005).
- Liu, H. & Di Valentin, C. Bulk-terminated or reconstructed Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(001) surface: water makes a difference. *Nanoscale* **10**, 11021–11027 (2018).
- Liu, H., Seifert, G. & Di Valentin, C. An efficient way to model complex magnetite: Assessment of SCC-DFTB against DFT. *J. Chem. Phys.* 150, 94703 (2019).
- 52. Bianchetti, E., Perilli, D. & Di Valentin, C. Improving the Oxygen Evolution Reaction on  $Fe_3O_4(001)$  with Single-Atom Catalysts. ACS Catal. **13**, 4811–4823 (2023).
- 53. Jewett, A. I. et al. Moltemplate: A Tool for Coarse-Grained Modeling of Complex Biological Matter and Soft Condensed Matter Physics. *J. Mol. Biol.* **433**, 166841 (2021).
- Amstad, E., Gillich, T., Bilecka, I., Textor, M. & Reimhult, E. Ultrastable iron oxide nanoparticle colloidal suspensions using dispersants with catechol-derived anchor groups. *Nano Lett.* 9, 4042–4048 (2009).
- 55. Gutiérrez, I. S. et al. Parametrization of halogen bonds in the CHARMM general force field: Improved treatment of ligand-protein interactions. *Bioorg. Med Chem.* **24**, 4812–4825 (2016).
- Vanommeslaeghe, K. et al. CHARMM general force field: A force field for drug-like molecules compatible with the CHARMM all-atom additive biological force fields. *J. Comput Chem.* **31**, 671–690 (2010).
- 57. Vanommeslaeghe, K. & MacKerell, A. D. Automation of the CHARMM General Force Field (CGenFF) I: bond perception and atom typing. *J. Chem. Inf. Model* **52**, 3144–3154 (2012).
- Siani, P. & Di Valentin, C. Effect of dopamine-functionalization, charge and pH on protein corona formation around TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. *Nanoscale* 14, 5121–5137 (2022).
- Siani, P., Frigerio, G., Donadoni, E. & Di Valentin, C. Molecular dynamics simulations of cRGD-conjugated PEGylated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles for targeted photodynamic therapy. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 627, 126–141 (2022).

- MacKerell, A. D. et al. All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 102, 3586–3616 (1998).
- Beglov, D. & Roux, B. Finite representation of an infinite bulk system: Solvent boundary potential for computer simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **100**, 9050–9063 (1994).
- Noskov, S. Y. & Roux, B. Control of ion selectivity in LeuT: two Na<sup>+</sup> binding sites with two different mechanisms. *J. Mol. Biol.* 377, 804–818 (2008).
- Kim, S. et al. CHARMM-GUI ligand reader and modeler for CHARMM force field generation of small molecules. *J. Comput Chem.* 38, 1879–1886 (2017).
- Jo, S., Kim, T., Iyer, V. G. & Im, W. CHARMM-GUI: a web-based graphical user interface for CHARMM. *J. Comput Chem.* 29, 1859–1865 (2008).
- Motta, S., Siani, P., Levy, A. & Di Valentin, C. Exploring the drug loading mechanism of photoactive inorganic nanocarriers through molecular dynamics simulations. *Nanoscale* **13**, 13000–13013 (2021).
- Motta, S. et al. Metadynamics simulations for the investigation of drug loading on functionalized inorganic nanoparticles. *Nanoscale* 15, 7909–7919 (2023).
- 67. Gamba, O. et al. Adsorption of Formic Acid on the  $Fe_3O_4$  (001) Surface. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* **119**, 20459–20465 (2015).
- Arndt, B. et al. Carboxylic acid induced near-surface restructuring of a magnetite surface. *Commun. Chem.* 2, 1–8 (2019).
- Angelis, F. D., Fantacci, S. & Gebauer, R. Simulating dye-sensitized TiO<sub>2</sub> heterointerfaces in explicit solvent: Absorption spectra, energy levels, and dye desorption. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2, 813–817 (2011).
- Siani, P., Motta, S., Ferraro, L., Dohn, A. O. & Di Valentin, C. Dopamine-Decorated TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles in Water: A QM/MM vs an MM Description. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **16**, 6560–6574 (2020).
- Zhang, X. et al. Conformation-Dependent Coordination of Carboxylic Acids with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles Studied by ATR-FTIR Spectral Deconvolution. *Langmuir* 35, 5770–5778 (2019).
- Miyazaki, T., Tange, T., Kawashita, M. & Jeyadevan, B. Structural control of magnetite nanoparticles for hyperthermia by modification with organic polymers: effect of molecular weight. *RSC Adv.* 10, 26374–26380 (2020).
- 73. Wang, J. et al. Modulating the toxicity of engineered nanoparticles by controlling protein corona formation: Recent advances and future prospects. *Sci. Total Environ.* **914**, 169590 (2024).
- 74. Bashiri, G. et al. Nanoparticle protein corona: from structure and function to therapeutic targeting. *Lab Chip* **23**, 1432–1466 (2023).
- Abstiens, K., Gregoritza, M. & Goepferich, A. M. Ligand Density and Linker Length are Critical Factors for Multivalent Nanoparticle-Receptor Interactions. ACS Appl Mater. Interfaces 11, 1311–1320 (2019).
- Krpetić, Z. et al. High-resolution sizing of monolayer-protected gold clusters by differential centrifugal sedimentation. ACS Nano 7, 8881–8890 (2013).
- Franco-Ulloa, S. et al. NanoModeler CG: A Tool for Modeling and Engineering Functional Nanoparticles at a Coarse-Grained Resolution. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **19**, 1582–1591 (2023).
- Rubinstein, M. & Colby, R. H. *Polymer Physics*. (Oxford University PressOxford, 2003). https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198520597. 001.0001.
- Douliez, J. P., Léonard, A. & Dufourc, E. J. Restatement of order parameters in biomembranes: calculation of C-C bond order parameters from C-D quadrupolar splittings. *Biophys. J.* 68, 1727–1739 (1995).
- Liu, A. Y., Emamy, H., Douglas, J. F. & Starr, F. W. Effects of chain length on the structure and dynamics of semidilute nanoparticle–polymer composites. *Macromolecules* 54, 3041–3051 (2021).

- Dahal, U. & Dormidontova, E. E. Chain conformation and hydration of polyethylene oxide grafted to gold nanoparticles: curvature and chain length effect. *Macromolecules* 53, 8160–8170 (2020).
- Dahal, U., Wang, Z. & Dormidontova, E. E. Hydration of Spherical PEO-Grafted Gold Nanoparticles: Curvature and Grafting Density Effect. *Macromolecules* 51, 5950–5961 (2018).
- Ozer, I. & Chilkoti, A. Site-Specific and Stoichiometric Stealth Polymer Conjugates of Therapeutic Peptides and Proteins. *Bioconjug Chem.* 28, 713–723 (2017).
- 84. Parrott, M. C. & DeSimone, J. M. Relieving PEGylation. *Nat. Chem.* 4, 13 (2011).
- García, K. P. et al. Zwitterionic-Coated "Stealth" Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications: Recent Advances in Countering Biomolecular Corona Formation and Uptake by the Mononuclear Phagocyte System. *Small* **10**, 2516–2529 (2014).
- Keefe, A. J. & Jiang, S. Poly(zwitterionic)protein conjugates offer increased stability without sacrificing binding affinity or bioactivity. *Nat. Chem.* 4, 59 (2011).
- Shafaei, N., Khorshidi, S. & Karkhaneh, A. The immune-stealth polymeric coating on drug delivery nanocarriers: In vitro engineering and in vivo fate. J. Biomater. Appl. 38, 159–178 (2023).
- Malachowski, T. & Hassel, A. Engineering nanoparticles to overcome immunological barriers for enhanced drug delivery. *Engineered Regen.* 1, 35–50 (2020).
- Krukau, A. V., Vydrov, O. A., Izmaylov, A. F. & Scuseria, G. E. Influence of the exchange screening parameter on the performance of screened hybrid functionals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **125**, 224106 (2006).
- 90. Dovesi, R. et al. Quantum-mechanical condensed matter simulations with CRYSTAL. *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* e1360, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1360 (2018).
- Liu, H. & Di Valentin, C. Band Gap in Magnetite above Verwey Temperature Induced by Symmetry Breaking. J. Phys. Chem. C. Nanomater Interfaces 121, 25736–25742 (2017).
- 92. Monkhorst, H. J. & Pack, J. D. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* **13**, 5188–5192 (1976).
- 93. Aradi, B., Hourahine, B. & Frauenheim, T. DFTB+, a Sparse Matrix-Based Implementation of the DFTB Method. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **111**, 5678–5684 (2007).
- Foulkes, W. M. C. & Haydock, R. Tight-binding models and densityfunctional theory. *Phys. Rev. B* 39, 12520–12536 (1989).
- 95. Elstner, M. & Seifert, G. Density functional tight binding. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **372**, 20120483 (2014).
- 96. Zheng, G. et al. Parameter calibration of transition-metal elements for the spin-polartaed self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding (DFTB) Method: Sc, Ti, Fe, Co, and Ni. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **3**, 1349–1367 (2007).
- Elstner, M. et al. Self-consistent-charge density-functional tightbinding method for simulations of complex materials properties. *Phys. Rev. B* 58, 7260–7268 (1998).
- 98. Hourahine, B. et al. Self-interaction and strong correlation in DFTB. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **111**, 5671–5677 (2007).
- Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular Dynamics. *J. Comput Phys.* **117**, 1–19 (1995).
- 100. Thompson, A. P. et al. LAMMPS a flexible simulation tool for particle-based materials modeling at the atomic, meso, and continuum scales. *Comput Phys. Commun.* **271**, 108171 (2022).
- Hockney, R. W. & Eastwood, J. W. Computer Simulation Using Particles. (CRC Press, 2021). https://doi.org/10.1201/ 9780367806934.
- Yeh, I.-C. & Berkowitz, M. L. Ewald summation for systems with slab geometry. J. Chem. Phys. 111, 3155 (1999).
- Steinbach, P. J. & Brooks, B. R. New spherical-cutoff methods for long-range forces in macromolecular simulation. *J. Comput. Chem.* 15, 667–683 (1994).

 Ryckaert, J.-P., Ciccotti, G. & Berendsen, H. J. C. Numerical integration of the cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes. *J. Comput. Phys.* 23, 327–341 (1977).

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Prof. Francesca Re, Prof. Roberto Fiammengo, and Chiara Daldossi for fruitful discussion and to Lorenzo Ferraro for his technical support. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union - NextGenerationEU through the Italian Ministry of University and Research under PNRR - M4C2-I1.3 Project PE\_00000019 "HEAL ITALIA" to Prof. Cristiana Di Valentin CUP H43C22000830006 of University of Milano Bicocca.

### Author contributions

Paulo Siani: Methodology, Data curation, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. Enrico Bianchetti: Data curation, Formal analysis. Cristiana Di Valentin: Conceptualization, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-024-01476-3.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Paulo Siani or Cristiana Di Valentin.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2025