





Regendering Science. For an inclusive research environment

PLOTINA Final Conference BOOK OF ABSTRACTS





# Project coordinator: Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna

PLOTINA has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement (G.A NO666008).

The views and opinions expressed in this publication are the sole responsibility of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution Share-Alike 4.0 International license (CC-BY-SA 4.0). This license allows you to share, copy, distribute and transmit the text; to adapt the text and to make commercial use of the text providing attribution is made to the authors (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work). Attribution should include the following information: Tullia Gallina Toschi, Angela Balzano, Francesca Crivellaro (eds.), PLOTINA 2020 Conference. Book of Abstracts, Bologna 2020. Copyright of each individual chapter/section is maintained by the authors.

# **INDEX**

INTRODUCTION
PARALLEL SESSION 1
STRUCTURAL CHANGE: GENDER EQUALITY PLANS AND EFFORTS
PARALLEL SESSION 2
THE INCLUSION OF THE SEX/GENDER VARIABLES IN RESEARCH:
MAINSTREAMING DIVERSITIES IN AGRO-FOOD RESEARCH 4
GENDER IN SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES 68
ECONOMICS, BUSINESS AND FINANCE 10
DESIGN AND ENGINEERING129
GENDER-INCLUSIVE MATERIAL SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING 138
GENDER AND (MENTAL) HEALTH
PARALLEL SESSION 3
THE INCLUSION OF THE SEX/GENDER VARIABLES AND OF GENDER EQUALITY ISSUES IN TEACHING CURRICULA
PARALLEL SESSION 4
MEASURING GENDER EQUALITY IN ORGANISATIONS: INDICATORS AND MONITORING TOOLS173

# Job positions in entrepreneurial founding teams. The role of gender

Francesco Barbini<sup>1</sup>, Marco Corsino<sup>1</sup>, Paola Giuri<sup>1</sup>, Laura Toschi<sup>1</sup>

#### Aims of the contribution

Entrepreneurship scholars have proved that gender does matter (Jennings and Brush, 2013). Our paper has two aims. First, we assess whether characteristics of founders (i.e., previous work expertise, age, educational background) and contextual factors (i.e., discipline of competence, year of inception) predict the formation of entrepreneurial teams with a predominance (or not) of female entrepreneurs. Second, we analyze the internal structure of entrepreneurial teams, in terms of job positions by women entrepreneurs in order to assess the presence of a gender bias in the allocation of female roles within ETs.

## **Description of the research**

#### Introduction

Entrepreneurial team (hereafter ET) is a central phenomenon in entrepreneurship research (Cole, 1959) with about 80% of new ventures founded by groups of two or more individuals (Aldrich et al., 2004; Kollman et al., 2017; Lechler, 2001; Ruef, 2010; Watson et al., 1995). Extant literature indicates that ventures founded by teams perform better (in terms of survival rate, growth and innovation) than those started by single founders (Cooper and Gimeno-Gascon, 1992; Lechler, 2001; Stam and Schutjens, 2006; Yang and del Carmen Triana, 2017; Zhou and Rosini, 2015). This is explained by a high degree of variety of resources and capabilities of team members (Kamm and Nurick, 1993; Sandberg, 1992).

If previous works have focused on the outcome implications of ETs, limited knowledge is still available on the process of team formation. When co-founders come together to build a new venture an important choice they are asked to take is deciding how to structure the organization by formalizing task positions (Jung et al., 2017). The identification of task-roles and responsibilities (Pugh, et al., 1968) and the allocation of job positions among founders are, thus, important aspects of structuring new organizations.

With our paper, we intend to join this conversation, by introducing the gender lens in order to assess if venture creation and job roles allocation within new organizations are associated to particular behavioral and sociological characteristics of individuals.

# Materials and methods

Our empirical analysis is based on data about proponents and entrepreneurial ideas submitted to Nuove Idee Nuove Imprese (NINI), an Italian business plan competition organized by an association comprising local Chambers of Commerce, industrial associations, bank foundations, and universities located in the Province of Rimini and the Republic of San Marino. Since its foundation in 2002, NINI has gathered 400 business plans and awarded more than €500,000 to 43 projects. For the purpose of our paper, we exploit a dataset of 161 new business ideas (and 560 team members) presented at the NINI competition from 2010 to 2017.

The empirical investigations of this paper rely on variables at the project and individual levels. We conduct a quantitative analysis using data about the entrepreneurial ideas retrieved from the accompanying business plan submitted at the NINI call for ideas. We integrate these data with the

<sup>1</sup> Department of Management, University of Bologna.

characteristics of the proponents codified from their CVs: gender, age, citizenship, education, professional experience and roles within the entrepreneurial team.

To address our research question, we first provide a set of descriptive statistics and, then, we draw on a methodology used by Ellison and Glaeser (1997) to analyse geographic industry concentration and adopted by Kaiser and Muller (2015) to study the composition of new venture teams and the presence of a gender bias. According to this approach, we compare the degree of heterogeneity computed for the actually observed teams in the sample with a benchmark, namely, a "random matching" generated through simulations, comprising a random assembly of startup teams among the participants we observe in our data. Such a comparison enables us to establish if "the observed degree of heterogeneity is statistically significantly different from the degree of heterogeneity in a situation where teams are randomly assembled. Thus, our benchmark is a situation where founders do not systematically look for teammates" (Kaiser and Muller, 2015, p.793).

#### Results

Our preliminary results show that 32.68% of teams are founded by female entrepreneurs. In terms of distribution, there is not a clear trend over time for the participation of women in venture creation, with values ranging from 23.8% to 46.3%. In terms of team size, instead, our findings show a lower share of women in larger teams (those composed by five and more individuals). When we analyze the distribution of female entrepreneurs in terms of educational level, lower shares of women with bachelor degrees (25%) and PhD (23.33%) characterize our sample, with the highest value (60%) for the secondary school. In terms of disciplines of education, the majority of female entrepreneurs of our sample belong to humanities and natural sciences, while ICTs and engineering are the less represented. Finally, when we consider the effect of other mechanisms in support of entrepreneurship, we find a lower share of women in projects in incubators and a lower share of incubated projects with women in the ET.

The next steps of the analyses will require the cleaning of data for professional background in order to assess, first, how actual and previous job experiences can be antecedents to ET formation with women presence and, second, whether the distribution of job positions in the ETs of our sample is different from a random distribution.

## Conclusions

Entrepreneurship needs to be contextualized and gender provides a way of understanding the role of sociocultural dynamics in business ventures and team dynamics (Welter, 2011). Women have diverse experiences, expectation, motivations that are reflected in their entrepreneurial activities (Henry et al. 2016). The goal of the paper is to investigate the existence of a gender bias within entrepreneurial activities and to highlight which factors may explain the role of females in entrepreneurial teams. The paper has important policy implications related to the role of female in entrepreneurial teams.

#### References

Aldrich H.E., Carter N. M. and Ruef M. (2004). "With very little help from their friends: Gender and relational composition of nascent entrepreneurs' startup teams", in «Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research», pp. 156-169.

Cole, A. H. (1959). Business enterprise in its social setting, Cambridge, Harvard University Press.

Cooper A. C. and Gimeno-Gascon F. J. (1992). *Entrepreneurs, process of founding and new firm performance*, in Sexton D. L. and J. D. Kasarda D. L (eds), *The state of the art of entrepreneurship*, Boston, PWS-Kent, pp. 301-340.

Ellison G., and Glaeser E.L. (1997). "Geographic concentration in US manufacturing industries: a dartboard approach", in «Journal of Political Economy», 105, 5, pp. 889-927.

Henry, C., Foss L. and Ahl H. (2016). "Gender and entrepreneurship research: A review of methodological approaches", in «International Small Business Journal», 34, 3, pp. 217-241.

Kaiser U. and Müller B. (2015). "Skill heterogeneity in startups and its development over time", in «Small Business Economics», 45, 4, pp. 787-804.

Kamm J. and Nurick A. (1993). "The stages of team venture formation: A decision making model. Entrepreneurship", in «Theory and Practice», 17, 2, pp. 17-27.

Kollman T., Stökmann C., Meves Y. and Kensbock J.M. (2016). "When members of entrepreneurial teams differ: linking diversity in individual-level entrepreneurial orientation to team performance", in «Small Business Economics, 48, 4, pp. 843-859.

Kollman T., Stökmann C., Meves Y. and Kensbock J.M. (2016). "When members of entrepreneurial teams differ: linking diversity in individual-level entrepreneurial orientation to team performance", in «Small Business Economics», 48, 4, pp. 843-859.

Jennings J.E. and Brush C.G. (2013). "Research on women entrepreneurs: Challenges to (and from) the broader entrepreneurship literature?", in «The Academy of Management Annals», 7, 1, pp. 663-715.

Lechler T. (2001). "Social interaction: A determinant of entrepreneurial team venture success", in «Small Business Economics», 16, pp. 263-278.

Pugh D.S., Hickson D.F. and Turner C. (1968). "Dimensions of organization structure", in «Administrative Science Quarterly», 13, 1, pp. 65-105.

Ruef M. (2010). The entrepreneurial group: Social identities, relations, and collective action. Princeton, Princeton University Press.

Sandberg W.R. (1992). "A strategic management's potential contributions to a theory of entrepreneurship", in «Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice», 16, pp. 73-90.

Stam E. and Schutjens V. (2006). *The fragile success of team start- ups*, in Oakey R., During W. and Kauser S. (eds), *New technology-based firms in the new millennium (Vol. V)*, Oxford, Elsevier Science. pp. 219-233.

Watson W.E., Ponthieu L.D. and Critelli J.W. (1995). "Team interpersonal process effectiveness in venture partnerships and its connection to perceived success", in «Journal of Business Venturing», 10, 5, pp. 393-411.

Welter F. (2011). "Contextualizing Entrepreneurship—Conceptual Challenges and Ways Forward", in «Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice», pp. 165-184.

Yang, T. and del Carmen Triana M. (2019). "Set up to fail: Explaining when women-led businesses are more likely to fail", in «Journal of Management», 45, 3, pp. 926-954.

Zhou W. and Rosini E. (2015). "Entrepreneurial Team Diversity and Performance: Toward an Integrated Model", in «Entrepreneurship Research Journal», 5, pp. 31-60.