

[pubs.acs.org/JPCC](pubs.acs.org/JPCC?ref=pdf) **Article**

Chemistry of the Interaction and Retention of TcVII and TcIV Species at the Fe3O4(001) Surface

Enrico [Bianchetti,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Enrico+Bianchetti"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Augusto F. [Oliveira,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Augusto+F.+Oliveira"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Andreas C. [Scheinost,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Andreas+C.+Scheinost"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Cristiana](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Cristiana+Di+Valentin"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Di Valentin,[*](#page-6-0) and [Gotthard](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Gotthard+Seifert"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Seifert[*](#page-6-0)

ABSTRACT: The pertechnetate ion $\mathrm{Tc}^{\mathrm{VII}}\mathrm{O_4}^-$ is a nuclear fission product whose major issue is the high mobility in the environment. Experimentally, it is well known that Fe₃O₄ can reduce $\mathrm{Tc}^{\mathrm{VII}}\mathrm{O_4}^-$ to $\mathrm{Tc}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ species and retain such products quickly and completely, but the exact nature of the redox process and products is not completely understood. Therefore, we investigated the chemistry of $\mathrm{Te}^{\mathrm{VII}}\mathrm{O}_4^$ and Tc^{IV} species at the Fe₃O₄(001) surface through a hybrid DFT functional (HSE06) method. We studied a possible initiation step of the Tc^{VII} reduction process. The interaction of the $T\tilde{c}^{\text{VII}}O_4^-$ ion with the magnetite surface leads to the formation of a reduced Tc^{VI} species without any change in the Tc coordination

sphere through an electron transfer that is favored by the magnetite surfaces with a higher Fe^{II} content. Furthermore, we explored various model structures for the immobilized Tc^{IV} final products. Tc^{IV} can be incorporated into a subsurface octahedral site or adsorbed on the surface in the form of $T^{IV}O₂$ *xH₂O* chains. We propose and discuss three model structures for the adsorbed $Tc^{IV}O_2$ 2H₂O chains in terms of relative energies and simulated EXAFS spectra. Our results suggest that the periodicity of the Fe₃O₄(001) surface matches that of the TcO₂·2H₂O chains. The EXAFS analysis suggests that, in experiments, TcO₂·*xH*₂O chains were probably not formed as an inner-shell adsorption complex with the $Fe₃O₄(001)$ surface.

1. INTRODUCTION

Technetium is a major concern due to its radiotoxicity, high fission yield in nuclear reactors, long half-life, and long mobility in the environment. The *β*-emitting ⁹⁹Tc isotope is especially concerning. With a formation yield of ca. 6% in both ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu nuclear reactors and a half-life of ca. 2.[1](#page-6-0) \times 10⁵ years,¹ $^{99}\mathrm{Tc}$ will be the main radiation emitter $10^4\text{--}10^6$ years after the production of the nuclear fuel waste.

In the absence of complexing agents besides oxygen and water, technetium assumes VII and IV oxidation states. 2 In oxidizing conditions, TcVII is preferred and forms the pertechnetate ion $(Tc^{VII}O_4^-)$, which is highly soluble and mobile in the environment due to its weak interaction with mineral surfaces. 3 On the other hand, in nonoxidizing conditions, technetium is reduced to Tc^{IV} , precipitating as $Tc^{IV}O_2 \cdot xH_2O$ or forming adsorption complexes with mineral phases containing Fe^{II} , which participate in the Te^{VII} reduction[.4,5](#page-7-0)

Magnetite $(Fe^{II}Fe_2^{III}O_4)$ plays an important role in the immobilization of technetium in nuclear waste. In a typical geological nuclear waste repository, the spent nuclear fuel is enclosed in steel containers, which are then deposited in stable geological sites hundreds of meters below the surface; once full, the repository is sealed with bentonite clay and cement.^{[6](#page-7-0)} In such an environment, magnetite forms as one of the main products of the anoxic corrosion of steel containers.^{[7](#page-7-0)} It has been demonstrated that Fe^{II} in solid phases can quickly reduce

 $Tc^{VII}O_4^-$ to Tc^{IV} species,^{4,5} whereas Fe^{III} solid phases can adsorb and incorporate $Tc^{IV,2,8}$ $Tc^{IV,2,8}$ $Tc^{IV,2,8}$ $Tc^{IV,2,8}$ $Tc^{IV,2,8}$ hence the importance of magnetite in preventing the diffusion of Tc into the environment. It has been shown that Tc^{IV} remains adsorbed on or incorporated in the oxidized magnetite.^{[2](#page-6-0),[8](#page-7-0)} However, the exact structure of the redox products has not been completely elucidated and is affected by several factors, such as pH, initial Tc concentration, and redox conditions of the aqueous phase, among others.

In 2016, Yalçintaş et al.,^{[9](#page-7-0)} based on X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) measurements, found that the end product of TcO_4^- reduction by magnetite is related to the initial Tc content in solution, with higher concentrations ($2 \times$ 10^{-4} M) favoring adsorption of dimeric Tc^{IV} oxides onto the magnetite surface and lower concentrations $(2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ favoring incorporation of $\mathrm{Tc}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ into the magnetite lattice. A gradual transition from exclusively adsorbed to exclusively incorporated Tc was also observed with decreasing Tc concentration. On the other hand, when using mackinawite

Received: January 31, 2023 Revised: March 15, 2023 Published: April 12, 2023

 (FeS) instead of magnetite, Yalçintaş et al.^{[9](#page-7-0)} obtained noncrystalline $TcO_2 \cdot xH_2O$ precipitates, for which two distinct linear chains of edge-sharing TcO_6 octahedra with the H_2O groups at the *trans* positions could be fitted to the EXAFS spectra; in the first structure, the Tc atoms are equally spaced along the chains (as proposed by Lukens et al.), 10 whereas in the second, the Tc−Tc distances alternate between shorter and longer values, as in the TcO_2 crystal structure.^{[11](#page-7-0)} In 2022, Oliveira et al. ¹² used density functional theory (DFT) calculations and EXAFS data to show that the precipitates are more likely formed by zigzag chains with terminal H_2O at *cis* positions. Thus, it is clear that the interpretation of EXAFS spectra for these Tc systems is rather complex and can benefit from the aid of quantum chemical calculations.

In this work, we use a hybrid DFT functional method to explore the interaction of various Tc species with magnetite, starting from the adsorption of $Tc^{VII}O_4^-$ onto the Fe₃O₄ (001) surface and proceeding with possible products of the full $\text{Tr}^{\text{VII}}\text{O}_4^-$ reduction, namely, Tr^{IV} incorporated into the magnetite lattice and adsorbed $Tc^{IV}O_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ chains. The $Tc^{\text{VII}}O_4^-$ adsorption is analyzed in terms of spin densities, charges, and electronic density of states, whereas the structures of the Tc^{IV} species are discussed in terms of relative energies and simulated EXAFS spectra.

2. METHODS AND MODELS

2.1. Computational Methods. All DFT calculations were carried out with the HSE06^{[13,14](#page-7-0)} hybrid exchange−correlation functional using the CRYSTAL17 package.^{[15](#page-7-0),[16](#page-7-0)} This methodology has been shown to give a good description of the structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of magnetite systems.^{[17](#page-7-0)} The Kohn–Sham orbitals were expanded in Gaussian-type orbitals: the all-electron basis sets are H| 511G(p1), Ol8411G(d1), Fel86411G(d41), and Tcl976311-(d631f1) according to the scheme previously used for Fe_3O_4 .^{[17](#page-7-0)–[20](#page-7-0)} The irreducible Brillouin zone was sampled with a 3 × 3 × 1 *k*-point grid generated with the Monkhorst−Pack scheme.^{[21](#page-7-0)} The convergence criterion of 4.5 \times 10⁻⁴ hartree/ bohr for atomic force was used during geometry optimization, and the convergence criterion for total energy was set to 10^{-6} hartree for all of the calculations. All structures (see details below) were constructed in such a way as to keep inversion symmetry (e.g., by adding adsorbate molecules in specific locations above and below the slab models) in order to minimize the appearance of artificial dipole moments.

The EXAFS spectra were simulated for optimized structures with FEFF9.6.4^{[22](#page-7-0)−[24](#page-7-0)} using the self-consistent field mode with a global Debye−Waller factor of 0.003 Å, amplitude reduction factor of 0.9, and $\Delta E_0 = 0$.

2.2. Models of the Fe3O4(001) Surface. The (001) termination is one of the most stable magnetite surfaces.^{[25,26](#page-7-0)} Under the alkaline conditions of geological repositories, 8 it is expected to be one of the most exposed surfaces in nanostructures, $27,28$ according to the Wulff construction.²⁹ For these reasons, we have used this surface in our model. In the $[001]$ direction, the Fe₃O₄ consists of alternating planes containing tetrahedral iron (Fe_{Tet}) atoms and octahedral iron (Fe_{Oct}) coordinated to oxygen atoms. The most recent and reliable models for the (001) termination are based on a bulk truncation at the Fe_{Oct} and O plane. The distorted bulk truncation (DBT) model consists of a simple bulk truncation, 30 whereas the subsurface cation vacancy (SCV) model shows a reconstruction that consists of an extra

interstitial Fe_{Tet} atom in the second layer replacing two Fe_{Oct} atoms from the third layer (per the $(\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2})R45^{\circ}$ unit cell).^{[31](#page-7-0)} The DBT and SCV models for the Fe₃O₄(001) surface are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00688/suppl_file/jp3c00688_si_001.pdf) S1 in the Supporting Information. Their relative stability is highly dependent on the concentration of adsorbing molecules in the environment: an increasing amount of carboxylic acid or water molecules adsorbed onto the surface is found to favor the DBT structure.^{18,[32](#page-7-0)–[34](#page-7-0)} In this work, both models were constructed as a (1×1) 17-layer slab with inversion symmetry, as previously done by some of us.^{[18,35](#page-7-0)} The five layers in the middle of the slab were kept fixed at the bulk positions, whereas the other layers were free to relax.

2.3. Models for the Adsorption of TcO4 *ⁿ*[−] **onto the** Fe₃O₄(001) Surface. Different complexes were constructed by adsorbing or embedding TcO4 *ⁿ*[−] into different sites of the DBT and SCV surface models. The SCV surface being more oxidized (fewer $\mathrm{Fe^{II}}$ centers) than the DBT one, it is interesting to compare the reducing power of both surface models. Since the DBT and SCV surfaces have identical terminating layers, exposing four penta-coordinated Fe_{Oct} atoms per unit cell, the models were built with the same criteria. The coordination shell of the superficial undercoordinated Fe $_{\text{Oct}}$ atoms was saturated with either molecular or dissociated water, based on experimental and computational results.[18](#page-7-0),[35,36](#page-7-0) To balance the total charge, the most reactive superficial oxygen atoms were decorated with a proper number of hydrogen atoms.[37](#page-7-0)−[39](#page-7-0) All structures were optimized, and, for each surface, the two lowest energy structures were selected for further analysis. Here, only the models associated to the two lowest energy structures are described in detail. The first model was built by adsorbing a $TcO₄$ species onto two superficial undercoordinated Fe_{Oct} atoms, a $H₂O$ molecule, and an OH group onto the two remaining superficial undercoordinated Fe_{Oct} atoms. Two superficial oxygen atoms were decorated with two hydrogen atoms. The second model was built by attaching a $TcO₂$ fragment to two superficial oxygen atoms, forming a $TcO₄$ species embedded into the surface. The four superficial undercoordinated Fe_{Oct} atoms were saturated by one H2O molecule and three OH groups. No superficial oxygen atoms were decorated with hydrogen atoms. These two models have the same number of atoms for each element.

2.4. Models for the Incorporation of TcIV into the Fe₃O₄(001) Surface. Four models of Tc^V incorporation were considered, one based on the SCV surface and three on the DBT surface. In all of them, an Fe_{Oct} atom from the third layer was replaced by a Tc atom. In two of the DBT-based models, an Fe vacancy was created in addition to the Tc-for-Fe substitution (i.e., two Fe $_{\text{Oct}}$ atoms were replaced with one Tc and one vacancy). Several DBT-based models were created with the Fe vacancy at different positions with respect to the Tc atom, but only the two structures with the lowest energies were selected for further analysis. We considered both SCV and DBT surface models because (i) the SCV, being more oxidized than the DBT and characterized by the presence of iron vacancies, bears stronger resemblance to maghemite, which is expected to be one of the main final products of the magnetite oxidation by $TcO₄⁻$, and (ii) recent experimental and theoretical findings show that under certain circumstances, the diffusion of other transition-metal atoms could reverse the SCV reconstruction, restoring a DBT surface, which presents the diffusing transition-metal atom (Tc in this case) instead of Fe occupying an octahedral site in the third layer. $40-42$ $40-42$ $40-42$

2.5. Models for the Adsorption of TcO₂·2H₂O Chains **onto the Fe₃O₄(001) Surface.** Three models were considered for the $TcO_2.2H_2O$ infinite chains, based on the work by Oliveira et al. 12 12 12 Each chain consists of edge-sharing TcO_6 octahedra with terminal H_2O groups occupying two corner positions. In α -TcO₂·2H₂O, the TcO₆ octahedra form a linear chain with the terminal H₂O in *trans* configuration and Tc−Tc nearest neighbors alternating longer and shorter distances along the chain as in the TcO_2 ($P2_1/c$) crystal structure.¹¹ In β -TcO₂·2H₂O, the TcO₆ octahedra form a zigzag chain, similar to ReO_2 $(Pbcn)^{43}$ $(Pbcn)^{43}$ $(Pbcn)^{43}$ —note that Re is regarded as a Tc analogue�with the H2O groups at *cis* positions and identical distances for the Tc−Tc nearest neighbors. The last model, $γ$ -TcO₂·2H₂O, differs from *α*-TcO₂·2H₂O for having identical Tc-Tc nearest distances along the chain, as in ReO_2 ($P4_2/mnm$).⁴⁴ Oliveira et al.¹² found β -TcO₂·H₂O to be the most energetically favored structure, with *γ*-TcO₂·2H₂O being the least favored.

The adsorption complexes were constructed by removing the H₂O groups from one side of the $TcO_2·2H_2O$ chains and placing the resulting structures on the $Fe₃O₄(001)$ bare surface at bonding distance. Different models were constructed for each chain to explore different orientations on the surface. All structures were optimized, and the lowest energy structure of adsorbed *α*, *β*, and *γ* chains was used for further analysis. The investigation was restricted to the SCV surface because, being more oxidized than the DBT and characterized by the presence of iron vacancies, it bears stronger resemblance to maghemite, which is expected to be one of the main final products of the magnetite oxidation by $TcO₄^-$, as already discussed in [Section](#page-1-0) [2.4](#page-1-0). Furthermore, the SCV differs from the DBT only in the structure of the second and third layers and in the Fe^{II}/Fe^{III} ratio, and it is reasonable to suppose that these differences do not influence the adsorption properties, especially when no redox reactions involve the Fe^{1}/Fe^{III} pair, as in this case.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Adsorption of TcO₄^{n−} onto the Fe₃O₄(001) **Surface.** In the first part of this study, we simulated the interaction of $TcO_4^{\ n-}$ species with the $Fe_3O_4(001)$ surface by considering that the ions may either just adsorb by binding to undercoordinated surface Fe ions or become involved in surface reactivity leading to their surface embedding. The details of the models are described in the Methods and Models section. For both DBT and SCV surfaces, we have selected the two lowest-energy adsorption complexes, shown in Figure 1. In the models reported in the left panels of Figure 1 (referred to as $(Tc^{VII}O_4)^{-}/DBT$ and $(Tc^{VII}O_4)^{-}/SCV$, as discussed below), $\text{TeO}_4{}^{n-}$ is adsorbed on two penta-coordinated Fe_{Oct} of the surface through two *μ*-O (i.e., twofold coordinated oxygen) bridging atoms. In the models shown in the right panels of Figure 1 (referred to as $(Tc^{VI}O_4)^{2-}/DBT$ and (TcVIO4)2[−]/SCV, as discussed below), TcO4 *ⁿ*[−] becomes embedded in the surface through two μ_4 -O (i.e., fourfold coordinated oxygen) bridging atoms. This second adsorption site is the same that is generally preferred by single metal atoms adsorbed on the magnetite (001) surface, according to several recent studies. $40,45,46$ $40,45,46$

The $(Tc^{VII}O_4)^{-}/DBT$ and $(Tc^{VII}O_4)^{-}/SCV$ models are characterized by the presence of technetium in its VII oxidation state. As we can see in the PDOS in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2, there are no Tc 4d states [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2, cyan curve) in the valence band. All technetium 4d orbitals are located in the conduction

Figure 1. Top and side views of the optimized structures for the lowest-energy TcO4 *ⁿ*[−] complexes adsorbed onto the DBT (on the top) and SCV (on the bottom) $Fe₃O₄(001)$ surfaces. The black, white, green, and red beads represent H, O, Fe, and Tc, respectively. The black arrows indicate the crystallographic directions.

band. Furthermore, Tc is characterized by almost null spin polarization. These findings are compatible with a T_c^{VII} species, corresponding to the electronic configuration [Kr]. On the other hand, in $(Tc^{VI}O_4)^{2-}/DBT$ and $(Tc^{VI}O_4)^{2-}/SCV$ models, we observe that Tc^VII is reduced to Tc^VI while one Fe^II (in the fifth and in the seventh layer, respectively) of the magnetite surface is oxidized to Fe $^{\rm III}$. The reduction of $\rm Tc^{\rm VII}$ to Tc^{VI} is in line with the Mulliken charge decrease of 5% and with a new Tc 4d contribution to the valence band in the spindown channel of the PDOS [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2, cyan curve). Indeed, the Mulliken spin density value of −0.7 μ B for Tc in both $(Tc^{VI}O_4)^{2-}/DBT$ and $(Tc^{VI}O_4)^{2-}/SCV$ is consistent with a Tc^{VI} species with electronic configuration [Kr]4d¹, i.e., with one unpaired electron. The $\overline{F}e^{II}$ (high spin $\overline{[Ar]}3d^6$ configuration) oxidation to Fe^{III} (high spin $[Ar]3d^5$ configuration) is confirmed by the Mulliken charge and spin density increase of 15% and from 3.7 to 4.2 μ_B , respectively. Despite the similar structural properties of the DBT and SCV adsorption complexes, the redox energies differ considerably: the reaction energy per Tc atom is 1.06 eV for $(Tc^{VII}O_4)^{-1}$ $SCV \rightarrow (Tc^{VI}O_4)^{2-}/SCV$ and 0.14 eV for $(Tc^{VII}O_4)^{-}/DBT \rightarrow$ $(Tc^{VI}O_4)^{2-}/DBT$. The Tc^{VII} reduction to Tc^{VI} is more favorable on the DBT surface than on the SCV surface. This may be mainly due to two reasons: first, the DBT surface presents a higher content of Fe^H ions in comparison to the

Figure 2. PDOS for the lowest-energy TcO₄^{n−} complexes adsorbed onto the DBT (on the top) and SCV (on the bottom) Fe₃O₄(001) surfaces, shown in [Figure](#page-2-0) 1.

more oxidized SCV one; second, the electron transfer from one Fe^{II} center to the Tc^{VII} ion implies the formation of an electric dipole which is smaller on the DBT than on the SCV surface, since the distances between the Tc^VII ion and the Fe^II centers involved in its reduction to Tc^{VI} are found to be about 6 and 8 Å, respectively.

The reduction of Tc^{VII} to Tc^{VI} by a *simple* electron transfer (from the magnetite surface to the technetium atom) is likely the first step of a *complex* redox process, which is known to proceed rapidly, producing Tc^{IV} end-members at slightly alkaline pH. The process involves the oxidation of Fe^H close to the Tc adsorbate, changing the Tc geometry from tetrahedral to octahedral 47 -similarly to what happens during the reduction of $Mn^{VII}O_4^-$ to $Mn^{IV}O_2$, passing through $Mn^{VI}O_4^{2-48}$ $Mn^{VI}O_4^{2-48}$ $Mn^{VI}O_4^{2-48}$ Given the complexity of the process and the lack of more specific information regarding the chemical species involved, the simulation of the full $Tc^{\bar{VII}}O_4^-$ reduction is out of the scope of this work. Therefore, we restrict our study to hypothetical final products: incorporation of Tc^W in the magnetite slab and formation of $T\overline{c}^{IV}O_2 \cdot xH_2O$ chains adsorbed on the magnetite surface.

3.2. Incorporation of Tc^W into the $Fe_3O_4(001)$ Surface. In [Figure](#page-4-0) 3, four models for the incorporation of Tc are shown, where we either only replaced a subsurface Fe_{Oct} in the third layer with a substitutional Tc atom (Tc_S) $((Tc_S)$ ω DBT) or we also introduced a Fe_{Oct} vacancy (Fe_V) ((Tc_S + Fe_V^{SL})@DBT, $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{3L})$ @DBT, and (Tc_S) @SCV). Note that SCV differs by one net Fe vacancy with respect to DBT, as detailed in [Section](#page-1-0) 2.2.

The $(Tc_S)@DBT$ model presents a Tc in the IV oxidation state in place of a Fe^{III}, as confirmed by the Mulliken charge value, which is lower than those found for Tc^{VII} and Tc^{VI} , and almost identical to that obtained for Tc in the rutile phase of $TcO₂$. The charge balance of the system is achieved by the reduction of a Fe^{III} ion to Fe^{II} , indicated by the decrease of the Mulliken charge (15%) and spin density (from 4.2 to 3.7 μ_B). This incorporation scheme consists of two Fe^{III} ions being replaced with a Tc^{IV}-Fe^{II} pair, as already observed in previous computational studies investigating Tc incorporation in bulk hematite and magnetite.^{[49](#page-8-0),[50](#page-8-0)}

Similarly to (Tc_S) @DBT, the $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{SL})$ @DBT, $(Tc_S +$ $\text{Fe}_{\text{V}}^{3\times 1}$)@DBT, and (Tc_{S}) @SCV models also present Tc in the IV oxidation state. However, in this case, the Mulliken charges indicate that one Tc^W ion replaces two Fe^{II} ions with respect to the pristine DBT surface, keeping the charge neutrality of the system, as previously observed for Tc-doped bulk magnetite.^{[50](#page-8-0)} These models resemble what is observed in the oxidation process from magnetite (Fe₃O₄) to maghemite (γ -Fe₂O₃), which have the same structure, but the Fe^{II} ions in magnetite are replaced by Fe^{III} ions and vacancies in maghemite.^{[51](#page-8-0)} Among the oxidized systems (namely, $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{5L})$ @DBT, $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{3L})@DBT$, and $(Tc_S)@SCV$, $(Tc_S)@SCV$ is the most stable one in terms of relative total energies in comparison to $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{SL})$ (*QDBT* and $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{SL})$ (*Q* DBT (by +1.65 and +1.32 eV, respectively).

Furthermore, to compare the relative stability of the reduced $((Tc_S)@DBT)$ and oxidized $((Tc_S + Fe_V^{SL})@DBT, (Tc_S +$ $\text{Fe}_{V}^{3L}(\varpi)$ (DBT, and (Te_{S}) (ϖ) SCV) systems, we plotted their

Figure 3. Top and side views of the optimized structures for the Tc^{IV} incorporation (Tc_S), where we either only replaced a subsurface Fe_{Oct} in the third layer with a substitutional Tc atom (Tc_S) $((Tc_S)@DBT)$ or we also introduced a Fe_{Oct} vacancy (Fe_V) ((Tc_S + Fe_V^{SL})@DBT, $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{3L})$ @DBT, and (Tc_S) @SCV). In the DBT cases, the dashed circle indicates the Fe $_V$, whose location among the layers is given by the 3L (third layer) and 5L (fifth layer) apexes. The white, green, and red beads represent O, Fe, and Tc, respectively. The black arrows indicate the crystallographic directions.

formation energy (E_{form}) as a function of oxygen chemical potential (μ_0) (see Figure 4), as detailed in [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00688/suppl_file/jp3c00688_si_001.pdf) S1 in the Supporting Information. As expected, under oxygen-rich conditions $(\mu_0' = 0)$, the oxidized systems are significantly more stable than the reduced (Tc_S) @DBT system. Moving to oxygen-poor conditions $(\mu_0' = -1)$, (Tc_s) @DBT is stabilized, whereas $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{SL})$ @DBT, $(Tc_S + Fe_V^{3L})$ @DBT, and (Tc_S) @SCV become less stable. However, no stability inversion is observed down to experimentally feasible low O_2 pressure (ca. 10^{-20} atm). In particular, (Tc_S) @SCV is the most stable model at all considered values of oxygen chemical potential.

3.3. Adsorption of TcO_2 [·]**2H₂O** Chains onto the **Fe₃O₄(001) Surface.** An alternative surface reactivity discussed in the literature would lead to the formation of

Figure 4. Formation energies (E_{form}) as a function of oxygen chemical potential (μ_0) , bottom *x*-axis) or as a function of oxygen pressure at the fixed temperature of 350 K (top *x*-axis) for Tc-incorporated models.

hydrated $Tc^{IV}O_2$ dimers or chains on the magnetite surface.[8](#page-7-0),[9](#page-7-0),[52](#page-8-0) To study this possibility, we first investigated a freestanding $TcO_2·2H_2O$ chain, as described in the Methods and Models section. The β -TcO₂·2H₂O chain was found to be the most stable chain, with Tc−Tc and Tc−O distances of ca. 2.4 and 1.9 Å, respectively. The α -TcO₂·2H₂O chain was found to be less stable by +758 meV per Tc atom (as reported in Table 1), with alternating Tc−Tc distances of ca. 2.2 and 3.3 Å.

Table 1. Relative Total Energies Per Tc Atom (in meV) of the *α*-TcO₂·2H₂O, *β*-TcO₂·2H₂O, and *γ*-TcO₂·2H₂O Chains in Vacuum and Adsorbed onto the SCV Fe₃O₄(001) Surface

		α -TcO ₂ .2H ₂ O β -TcO ₂ .2H ₂ O γ -TcO ₂ .2H ₂ O	
vacuum	$+7.58$		
adsorbed on SCV Fe ₃ O ₄ (001)	$+16$	$+343$	Ω

Consequently, also Tc−O distances present alternating values: 1.9 Å when O is bridging Tc−Tc at a smaller distance and 2.1 Å when bridging the Tc−Tc at a longer separation. The *γ*-TcO₂·2H₂O transformed to the α chain during the geometry optimization.

As a next step, we investigated the interaction between the $TcO₂·2H₂O$ chains with the magnetite surface. The periodicity of the magnetite surface and, in particular, of the alternating O-O distances along the [110] direction, matches that of the *α*-TcO₂·2H₂O chain. The adsorbed *α*-TcO₂·2H₂O chain ([Figure](#page-5-0) 5, α -TcO₂·2H₂O/SCV) presents only one kind of Tc [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 5, red beads) that is six-coordinated by four O from the chain itself [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 5, blue beads), one O shared with magnetite, and one O from a water molecule [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 5, white beads). Half of the O bridges in the chain (indicated with a yellow star in [Figure](#page-5-0) 5) interacts with exposed undercoordinated Fe [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 5, green beads). The adsorption is driven by two types of interactions: one between Tc^{IV} and magnetite O, and the other between surface $\mathrm{Fe^{III}}$ and O belonging to TcO₂·2H₂O chains. *α*-TcO₂·2H₂O/SCV presents different alternating Tc−Tc distances with respect to the freestanding chain: ca. 2.8 and 3.1 Å versus 2.2 and 3.3 Å. This

Figure 5. Top and side views of the optimized structures for the *α*-TcO₂·2H₂O, *β*-TcO₂·2H₂O, and *γ*-TcO₂·2H₂O (from left to right) chains adsorbed onto the SCV Fe₃O₄(001) surface. The axis orientation for all of the top views is shown on the left of the figure, whereas for the side views, it is shown in each single panel. The black, white, blue, green, and red beads represent H, O belonging to water molecules and magnetite, O belonging to $TcO₂$, Fe, and Tc, respectively. The yellow stars indicate O from the chains interacting with surface Fe. Black dashed lines indicate weak Tc−O interactions.

significant difference is due to the periodicity of the magnetite surface and, in particular, of the alternating O−O distances along the $\lceil 110 \rceil$ direction, i.e., the direction along which the α chain is adsorbed. Perpendicularly to the $[110]$ direction, the surface periodicity is significantly different. In particular, the periodicity of the almost constant O−O distances along the magnetite [110] direction matches that of the *γ*-TcO₂·2H₂O chain, which was not stable in vacuum. The adsorbed *γ*-TcO₂· 2H₂O chain (Figure 5, *γ*-TcO₂·2H₂O/SCV) presents only one kind of Tc, whose coordination sphere is analogous to the one in α -TcO₂·2H₂O/SCV. Still, in analogy to α -TcO₂·2H₂O/ SCV, half of the O atoms in the chain are interacting with superficial undercoordinated Fe. These structural similarities are translated into comparable energies: the total energy difference between the adsorbed *γ* and *α* chain is only 16 meV per Tc atom (as reported in [Table](#page-4-0) 1), in favor of the former.

The free-standing *β* chain has a shorter lattice parameter than the α and γ chains due to its zigzag configuration. Consequently, it is not possible to efficiently adsorb the *β*- $TcO₂·2H₂O$ along the diagonal direction of the cell as previously done for the α and γ one. Therefore, we studied the adsorption of the $β$ -TcO₂·2H₂O along the [100] direction (Figure 5, β-TcO₂·2H₂O/SCV). In this case, two different kinds of Tc are present: one farther from the surface and one closer to it, labeled as Tc_{up} and Tc_{down} in Figure 5, respectively. Both Tc_{up} and Tc_{down} species are six-coordinated. Each Tc_{up} is coordinated by four O from the chain itself and by two O from two different water molecules, whereas each Tc_{down} is coordinated by four O from the chain itself and by two O shared with magnetite (Figure 5, dashed lines). Tc−Tc distances and other structural parameters of the adsorbed chain are not significantly different from what is observed for the free-standing chain. This configuration is found to be less favored than the α and γ one by +327 and +343 meV per Tc atom (see [Table](#page-4-0) 1), respectively. This is an unexpected result, since the β -TcO₂·2H₂O chain is the most stable one in vacuum. This finding can be understood in terms of the (i) lower number (half compared to *α* and *γ* cases) of chain O

atoms interacting with the magnetite surface and (ii) weaker Tc−O interactions (2.3−2.4 versus 1.9−2.0 Å for the *α* and *γ* chains) between the chain and the magnetite surface.

Finally, we compared the experimental EXAFS spectra for the sorption complex by Yalçintas et al.⁹ (Figure 6, black

Figure 6. Experimental EXAFS spectra for the sorption complex by Yalçintaş et al.^{[9](#page-7-0)} (black dashed line) and aged $TcO_2·xH_2O$ precipitate by Oliveira et al.^{[12](#page-7-0)} (black dotted line), and simulated EXAFS spectra for the *α*-TcO₂·2H₂O (red line), $β$ -TcO₂·2H₂O (blue line), and γ- $TcO₂·2H₂O$ (green line) chains adsorbed onto the SCV Fe₃O₄(001) surface.

dashed curve) and for the aged $TcO_2·xH_2O$ precipitate by Oliveira et al. 12 (Figure 6, black dotted curve) with the calculated EXAFS spectra obtained for the simulated TcO_2 . $2H₂O$ chains adsorbed on the magnetite (001) surface just described. Regarding the experimental sorption complex curve, there is no match with the calculated curves of the simulated $TcO_2·2H_2O$ chains models. We also modeled a magnetite/ TcO₂-dimer complex (shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00688/suppl_file/jp3c00688_si_001.pdf) S2 in the Supporting Information) in line with that suggested by Yalçintaş and collaborators.^{[9](#page-7-0)} However, also in this case, the simulated EXAFS spectrum does not match the experimental one for the sorption complex. These results suggest that $TcO₂$ chains (or dimers) are probably not formed as an inner-shell adsorption complex with the $Fe₃O₄(001)$ surface, at least not immediately. Regarding the aged $TcO_2·xH_2O$ precipitate curve, there are few similarities with the calculated $β$ -TcO₂·2H₂O/SCV curve ([Figure](#page-5-0) 6, blue curve). In particular, the positions of the first and second peaks are in fair agreement, as well as the presence of a small shoulder on the right of the second peak, but the third peak in the computed curve finds no correspondence in the experimental one. This result suggests that β -TcO₂·2H₂O chains might be formed in solution, not as an inner-shell adsorption complex with magnetite, and only afterward might precipitate and adsorb on the surface. Indeed, the formation of $β$ -TcO₂·2H₂O chains is energetically favored over that of the *α* and γ ones in vacuum, not on the Fe₃O₄(001) surface. However, the agreement between the experimental aged precipitate curve and the computed β -TcO₂·2H₂O/SCV one is not good enough to definitively sustain this hypothesis. Therefore, the comparison between the experimental and the calculated data suggests the possibility that in the experiments, more radically modified and reconstructed $Fe₃O₄(001)$ surfaces or even completely different surfaces, such as the (111) and (110), not considered in this work, might be involved.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, based on a comprehensive hybrid DFT study, we investigated the chemistry of the interaction and retention of Tc^{VII} and Tc^{IV} species at the Fe₃O₄(001) surface.

As a first step, we studied the interaction and reactivity of the $Tc^{VII}O_4^-$ ion with the magnetite surface. We suggest a possible initiation step for the reduction of Tc^{VII} to Tc^{IV} upon contact with the Fe₃O₄(001) surface. The adsorption of the $\mathrm{Tc}^{\mathrm{VII}}\mathrm{O_4}^-$ ion onto the magnetite surface leads to the formation of a reduced TcVI species without any change in the Tc coordination sphere through an electron transfer that is favored by the magnetite surfaces with a higher Fe^H content.

Furthermore, we explored various model structures for the possible final products of the full reduction from Tc^{VII} to Tc^{IV} at the Fe₃O₄(001) surface: Tc^{IV} incorporation or adsorption in the form of $Tc^{IV}O_2·2H_2O$ chains. The replacement of a Fe atom with a Tc atom in an octahedral site in the subsurface leads to the presence of an incorporated six-coordinated Tc^{IV} , which is more stable in the SCV than in the DBT surface. Regarding the adsorption of $TcO_2·2H_2O$ chains on magnetite, we propose three model structures that are characterized by three different symmetries. The periodicity of the $Fe₃O₄(001)$ surface matches that of the $TcO₂·2H₂O$ chains, and the adsorption is driven by two types of interactions: one between Tc^{IV} and magnetite O, and the other between surface Fe^{III} and O belonging to $TcO_2·2H_2O$ chains. However, the comparison between the experimental and computed EXAFS spectra suggests that, in experiments, $TcO_2 \cdot xH_2O$ chains were probably not formed as an inner-shell adsorption complex with the $Fe₃O₄(001)$ surface.

To summarize, we have demonstrated that the $Fe₃O₄(001)$ surface can adsorb and reduce Tc^{VII} complexes and retain Tc^{IV} species. In particular, we propose an initiation step for the reduction of Tc^{VII} and two retention mechanisms, i.e., Tc^{IV} ions incorporation into octahedral subsurface sites and adsorption in the form of $TcO_2·2H_2O$ chains. Our results furnish a solid basis for any future study whose aim is to elucidate the steps of the complex reduction of Tc^{VII} to Tc^{IV}

and, on the basis of the EXAFS analysis, could stimulate further investigations to understand whether the formation of $TcO_2 \cdot xH_2O$ chains could take place in solution or even at other $Fe₃O₄$ surfaces.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00688.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00688?goto=supporting-info)

Atomic structures of the DBT and SCV $Fe₃O₄(001)$ surface models; optimized structure for the lowestenergy SCV Fe₃O₄(001)/TcO₂-dimer complex; and protocol to evaluate the relative stability of Tcincorporated models in terms of formation energy as a function of oxygen chemical potential [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00688/suppl_file/jp3c00688_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

- Cristiana Di Valentin − *Dipartimento di Scienza dei Materiali, Universita*̀*di Milano Bicocca, 20125 Milano, Italy; BioNanoMedicine Center NANOMIB, Universita*̀*di Milano Bicocca, 20900 Monza, Italy;* [orcid.org/0000-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4163-8062) [0003-4163-8062](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4163-8062); Email: cristiana.divalentin@unimib.it
- Gotthard Seifert − *Theoretische Chemie, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany*; Email: gotthard.seifert@tu-dresden.de

Authors

Enrico Bianchetti − *Dipartimento di Scienza dei Materiali, Universita*̀*di Milano Bicocca, 20125 Milano, Italy*

Augusto F. Oliveira − *Institute of Resource Ecology, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR), Forschungsstelle Leipzig, 04318 Leipzig, Germany; Theoretische Chemie, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany;* orcid.org/0000-0002-0805-3081

Andreas C. Scheinost − *Institute of Resource Ecology, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR), 01328 Dresden, Germany; The Rossendorf Beamline (ROBL) European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), 38043 Grenoble, France;* orcid.org/0000-0002-6608-5428

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00688](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c00688?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors are grateful to Lorenzo Ferraro for his technical help. The project has received funding from the University of Milano Bicocca (Research Infrastructures Grant 2021) and from the European Commission (EC) under the European Union's HORIZON 2020 research and innovation programme through the EURAD FUTURE T3 project (Grant Agreement No. H2020-847593).

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Meena, A. H.; Arai, Y. [Environmental](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-017-0605-7) Geochemistry of [Technetium.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-017-0605-7) *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 2017, *15*, 241−263.

(2) Kobayashi, T.; Scheinost, A. C.; Fellhauer, D.; Gaona, X.; Altmaier, M. Redox Behavior of [Tc\(VII\)/Tc\(IV\)](https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.2013.2040) under Various Reducing [Conditions](https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.2013.2040) in 0.1 M NaCl Solutions. *Radiochim. Acta* 2013, *101*, 323−332.

(3) Boyd, G. E. Osmotic and Activity [Coefficients](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00644270) of Aqueous NaTcO4 and NaReO4 [Solutions](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00644270) at 25 °C. *J. Solution Chem.* 1978, *7*, 229−238.

(4) Cui, D.; Eriksen, T. E. Reduction of [Pertechnetate](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9506263?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by Ferrous Iron in Solution: Influence of Sorbed and [Precipitated](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9506263?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Fe(II). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1996, *30*, 2259−2262.

(5) Cui, D.; Eriksen, T. E. Reduction of [Pertechnetate](https://doi.org/10.1021/es950627v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Solution by Heterogeneous Electron Transfer from [Fe\(II\)-containing](https://doi.org/10.1021/es950627v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Geological [Material.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es950627v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1996, *30*, 2263−2269.

(6) Churakov, S. V.; Hummel, W.; Fernandes, M. M. [Fundamental](https://doi.org/10.2533/chimia.2020.1000) Research on [Radiochemistry](https://doi.org/10.2533/chimia.2020.1000) of Geological Nuclear Waste Disposal. *CHIMIA* 2022, *74*, 1000.

(7) Schlegel, M. L.; Bataillon, C.; Blanc, C.; Prêt, D.; Foy, E. [Anodic](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9021987?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Activation of Iron Corrosion in Clay Media under [Water-Saturated](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9021987?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Conditions at 90 °C: [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9021987?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the Corrosion Interface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, *44*, 1503−1508.

(8) Marshall, T. A.; Morris, K.; Law, G. T.; Mosselmans, J. F. W.; Bots, P.; Parry, S. A.; Shaw, S. [Incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1021/es503438e?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Retention of 99- Tc(IV) in Magnetite under High pH [Conditions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es503438e?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, *48*, 11853−11862.

(9) Yalçıntas,̧ E.; Scheinost, A. C.; Gaona, X.; Altmaier, M. [Systematic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6DT02872A) XAS Study on the Reduction and Uptake of Tc by Magnetite and [Mackinawite.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6DT02872A) *Dalton Trans.* 2016, *45*, 17874−17885. (10) Lukens, W. W.; Bucher, J. J.; Edelstein, N. M.; Shuh, D. K. Products of [Pertechnetate](https://doi.org/10.1021/es015653+?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Radiolysis in Highly Alkaline Solution: [Structure](https://doi.org/10.1021/es015653+?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of TcO2·xH2O. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2002, *36*, 1124−1129.

(11) Rodriguez, E. E.; Poineau, F.; Llobet, A.; Sattelberger, A. P.; Bhattacharjee, J.; Waghmare, U. V.; Hartmann, T.; Cheetham, A. K. Structural Studies of TcO2 by Neutron Powder [Diffraction](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0727363?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and First-

Principles [Calculations.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0727363?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 10244−10248. (12) Oliveira, A. F.; Kuc, A.; Heine, T.; Abram, U.; Scheinost, A. C. Shedding Light on the [Enigmatic](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202202235) TcO2·xH2O Structure with Density Functional Theory and EXAFS [Spectroscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202202235) *Chem.*−*Eur. J.* 2022, *28*, No. e202202235.

(13) Heyd, J.; Scuseria, G. E.; Ernzerhof, M. Hybrid [Functionals](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1564060) Based on a Screened Coulomb [Potential.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1564060) *J. Chem. Phys.* 2003, *118*, 8207−8215.

(14) Krukau, A. V.; Vydrov, O. A.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Scuseria, G. E. Influence of the Exchange Screening Parameter on the [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2404663) of Screened Hybrid [Functionals.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2404663) *J. Chem. Phys.* 2006, *125*, No. 224106.

(15) Dovesi, R.; Erba, A.; Orlando, R.; Zicovich-Wilson, C. M.; Civalleri, B.; Maschio, L.; Rerat, M.; Casassa, S.; Baima, J.; Salustro, S.; Kirtman, B. [Quantum-Mechanical](https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1360) Condensed Matter Simulations with [CRYSTAL.](https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1360) *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* 2018, *8*, No. e1360.

(16) Dovesi, R.; Saunders, V. R.; Roetti, C.; Orlando, R.; Zicovich-Wilson, C. M.; Pascale, F.; Civalleri, B.; Doll, K.; Harrison, N. M.; Bush, I. J. et al. *CRYSTAL17 User's Manual*; University of Torino: Torino, 2017.

(17) Liu, H.; Di Valentin, C. Band Gap in [Magnetite](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b09387?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) above Verwey [Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b09387?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Induced by Symmetry Breaking. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2017, *121*, 25736−25742.

(18) Liu, H.; Di Valentin, C. [Bulk-Terminated](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8NR02279H) or Reconstructed [Fe3O4\(001\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8NR02279H) Surface: Water Makes a Difference. *Nanoscale* 2018, *10*, 11021−11027.

(19) Liu, H.; Di Valentin, C. Shaping Magnetite [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.186101) from First [Principles.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.186101) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2019, *123*, No. 186101.

(20) Bianchetti, E.; Di Valentin, C. [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtnano.2021.100169) of Spin Ordering in Fe3O4 [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtnano.2021.100169) by Surface Coating with Organic Acids. *Mater. Today Nano* 2022, *17*, No. 100169.

(21) Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for [Brillouin-Zone](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188) [Integrations.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188) *Phys. Rev. B* 1976, *13*, 5188.

(22) Rehr, J. J.; Albers, R. C. Theoretical [Approaches](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.72.621) to X-Ray [Absorption](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.72.621) Fine Structure. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 2000, *72*, 621.

(23) Rehr, J. J.; Kas, J. J.; Prange, M. P.; Sorini, A. P.; Takimoto, Y.; Vila, F. Ab Initio Theory and [Calculations](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crhy.2008.08.004) of X-Ray Spectra. *C. R. Phys.* 2009, *10*, 548−559.

(24) Rehr, J. J.; Kas, J. J.; Vila, F. D.; Prange, M. P.; Jorissen, K. [Parameter-Free](https://doi.org/10.1039/b926434e) Calculations of X-Ray Spectra with FEFF9. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *12*, 5503−5513.

(25) Yang, T.; Wen, X.; Ren, J.; Li, Y.; Wang, J.; Huo, C. [Surface](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-5813(10)60024-2) Structures of [Fe3O4\(111\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-5813(10)60024-2) (110), and (001): A Density Functional [Theory](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-5813(10)60024-2) Study. *J. Fuel Chem. Technol.* 2010, *38*, 121−128.

(26) Parkinson, G. S. Iron Oxide [Surfaces.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfrep.2016.02.001) *Surf. Sci. Rep.* 2016, *71*, 272−365.

(27) Zhao, L.; Zhang, H.; Xing, Y.; Song, S.; Yu, S.; Shi, W.; Guo, X.; Yang, J.; Lei, Y.; Cao, F. [Morphology-Controlled](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm702352y?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Synthesis of Magnetites with [Nanoporous](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm702352y?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Structures and Excellent Magnetic [Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm702352y?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2008, *20*, 198−204.

(28) Feld, A.; Weimer, A.; Kornowski, A.; Winckelmans, N.; Merkl, J. P.; Kloust, H.; Zierold, R.; Schmidtke, C.; Schotten, T.; Riedner, M.; et al. Chemistry of [Shape-Controlled](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b05032?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Iron Oxide Nanocrystal [Formation.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b05032?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Nano* 2019, *13*, 152−162.

(29) Santos-Carballal, D.; Roldan, A.; Grau-Crespo, R.; de Leeuw, N. H. A DFT Study of the [Structures,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP00529E) Stabilities and Redox Behaviour of the Major Surfaces of [Magnetite](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP00529E) Fe3O4. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *16*, 21082−21097.

(30) Pentcheva, R.; Wendler, F.; Meyerheim, H. L.; Moritz, W.; Jedrecy, N.; Scheffler, M. Jahn-Teller [Stabilization](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.126101) of a "Polar" Metal Oxide Surface: [Fe3O4\(001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.126101) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2005, *94*, No. 126101.

(31) Bliem, R.; McDermott, E.; Ferstl, P.; Setvin, M.; Gamba, O.; Pavelec, J.; Schneider, M. A.; Schmid, M.; Diebold, U.; Blaha, P.; et al. Subsurface Cation Vacancy [Stabilization](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260556) of the Magnetite (001) [Surface.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260556) *Science* 2014, *346*, 1215−1218.

(32) Arndt, B.; Sellschopp, K.; Creutzburg, M.; Grånäs, E.; Krausert, K.; Vonk, V.; Müller, S.; Noei, H.; Vonbun-Feldbauer, G. B.; Stierle, A. Carboxylic Acid Induced Near-Surface [Restructuring](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-019-0197-1) of a [Magnetite](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-019-0197-1) Surface. *Commun. Chem.* 2019, *2*, 92.

(33) Arndt, B.; Creutzburg, M.; Grånäs, E.; Volkov, S.; Krausert, K.; Vlad, A.; Noei, H.; Stierle, A. Water and Atomic [Hydrogen](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b07160?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Adsorption](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b07160?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) on Magnetite (001). *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2019, *123*, 26662−26672.

(34) Kraushofer, F.; Mirabella, F.; Xu, J.; Pavelec, J.; Balajka, J.; Müllner, M.; Resch, N.; Jakub, Z.; Hulva, J.; Meier, M.; et al. [Self-](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5116652)Limited Growth of an [Oxyhydroxide](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5116652) Phase at the Fe3O4(001) Surface in Liquid and [Ambient](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5116652) Pressure Water. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2019, *151*, No. 154702.

(35) Liu, H.; Bianchetti, E.; Siani, P.; Di Valentin, C. [Insight](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5140268) into the Interface between [Fe3O4\(001\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5140268) Surface and Water Overlayers Through Multiscale Molecular Dynamics [Simulations.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5140268) *J. Chem. Phys.* 2020, *152*, No. 124711.

(36) Meier, M.; Hulva, J.; Jakub, Z.; Pavelec, J.; Setvin, M.; Bliem, R.; Schmid, M.; Diebold, U.; Franchini, C.; Parkinson, G. S. [Water](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801661115) [Agglomerates](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801661115) on Fe3O4(001). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2018, *115*, E5642−E5650.

(37) Parkinson, G. S.; Mulakaluri, N.; Losovyj, Y.; Jacobson, P.; Pentcheva, R.; Diebold, U. [Semiconductor](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.125413)−Half Metal Transition at the [Fe3O4\(001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.125413) Surface upon Hydrogen Adsorption. *Phys. Rev. B* 2010, *82*, No. 125413.

(38) Mulakaluri, N.; Pentcheva, R. Hydrogen [Adsorption](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp302259d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Site-Selective Reduction of the [Fe3O4\(001\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp302259d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Surface: Insights from First [Principles.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp302259d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012, *116*, 16447−16453.

(39) Bourgund, A.; Lechner, B. A.; Meier, M.; Franchini, C.; Parkinson, G. S.; Heiz, U.; Esch, F. [Influence](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b05547?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Local Defects on the Dynamics of O−H Bond Breaking and [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b05547?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) on a Magnetite [Surface.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b05547?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2019, *123*, 19742−19747.

(40) Bliem, R.; Pavelec, J.; Gamba, O.; McDermott, E.; Wang, Z.; Gerhold, S.; Wagner, M.; Osiecki, J.; Schulte, K.; Schmid, M.; et al. Adsorption and [Incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.075440) of Transition Metals at the Magnetite [Fe3O4\(001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.075440) Surface. *Phys. Rev. B* 2015, *92*, No. 075440.

(41) Gargallo-Caballero, R.; Martín-García, L.; Quesada, A.; Granados-Miralles, C.; Foerster, M.; Aballe, L.; Bliem, R.; Parkinson, G. S.; Blaha, P.; Marco, J. F.; de la Figuera, J. [Co](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4942662) on [Fe3O4\(001\):](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4942662) Towards Precise Control of Surface Properties. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2016, *144*, No. 094704.

(42) Ryan, P. T. P.; Jakub, Z.; Balajka, J.; Hulva, J.; Meier, M.; Küchle, J. T.; Blowey, P. J.; Kumar Thakur, P.; Franchini, C.; Payne, D. J.; et al. Direct Measurement of Ni [Incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP02516A) into [Fe3O4\(001\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP02516A) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2018, *20*, 16469−16476.

(43) Magnéli, A.; Siitonen, S.; Skrifvars, B.; et al. [Studies](https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem.scand.11-0028) on [Rhenium](https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem.scand.11-0028) Oxides. *Acta Chem. Scand.* 1957, *11*, 28−33.

(44) Ivanovskii, A. L.; Chupakhina, T. I.; Zubkov, V. G.; Tyutyunnik, A. P.; Krasilnikov, V. N.; Bazuev, G. V.; Okatov, S. V.; Lichtenstein, A. I. Structure and Electronic [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2005.08.025) of New Rutilelike [Rhenium](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2005.08.025) (IV) Dioxide ReO2. *Phys. Lett. A* 2005, *348*, 66−70.

(45) Doudin, N.; Yuk, S. F.; Marcinkowski, M. D.; Nguyen, M. T.; Liu, J. C.; Wang, Y.; Novotny, Z.; Kay, B. D.; Li, J.; Glezakou, V.-A.; et al. [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b01425?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Heterolytic H2 Cleavage and Water-Assisted Hydrogen Spillover on [Fe3O4\(001\)-Supported](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b01425?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Single Palladium [Atoms.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b01425?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Catal.* 2019, *9*, 7876−7887.

(46) Hulva, J.; Meier, M.; Bliem, R.; Jakub, Z.; Kraushofer, F.; Schmid, M.; Diebold, U.; Franchini, C.; Parkinson, G. S. [Unraveling](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe5757) CO Adsorption on Model [Single-Atom](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe5757) Catalysts. *Science* 2021, *371*, 375−379.

(47) Zachara, J. M.; Heald, S. M.; Jeon, B. H.; Kukkadapu, R. K.; Liu, C.; McKinley, J. P.; Dohnalkova, A. C.; Moore, D. A. [Reduction](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.10.025) of [Pertechnetate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.10.025) $[TC(VII)]$ by Aqueous Fe(II) and the Nature of Solid Phase Redox [Products.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.10.025) *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2007, *71*, 2137−2157.

(48) Ladbury, J. W.; Cullis, C. F. Kinetics and [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr50020a005?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Oxidation by [Permanganate.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr50020a005?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 1958, *58*, 403−438.

(49) Skomurski, F. N.; Rosso, K. M.; Krupka, K. M.; McGrail, B. P. Technetium [Incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1021/es100069x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) into Hematite (*α*-Fe2O3). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, *44*, 5855−5861.

(50) Smith, F. N.; Um, W.; Taylor, C. D.; Kim, D. S.; Schweiger, M. J.; Kruger, A. A. [Computational](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00200?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Investigation of Technetium (IV) [Incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00200?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) into Inverse Spinels: Magnetite (Fe3O4) and Trevorite [\(NiFe2O4\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00200?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *50*, 5216−5224.

(51) Li, Z.; Chanéac, C.; Berger, G.; Delaunay, S.; Graff, A.; Lefevre, ̀ G. [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA03234G) and Kinetics of Magnetite Oxidation under Hydrothermal [Conditions.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA03234G) *RSC Adv.* 2019, *9*, 33633−33642.

(52) Wang, G.; Olszta, M. J.; Saslow, S. A.; Kim, D. S.; Bowden, M. E.; Um, W.; Wang, J.; Kruger, A. A. A Focused Ion [Beam-Scanning](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.0c00305?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Transmission Electron Microscopy with [Energy-Dispersive](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.0c00305?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) X-Ray Spectroscopy Study on Technetium [Incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.0c00305?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) within Iron Oxides Through Fe(OH)2(s) Mineral [Transformation.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.0c00305?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Earth Space Chem.* 2021, *5*, 525−534.

Recommended by ACS

[Dynamic](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Structural](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Transformations](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [in](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [a](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Series](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Zero](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[Strain](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Lithium](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[Ion](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Battery](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Materials](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[:](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) **[Almost](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Simultaneous](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Operando](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [X](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[ray](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Diffraction](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [and](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [X](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[ray](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Absorption](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Spectr](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[...](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c00155?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)

Kazuhiko Mukai, Takamasa Nonaka, et al. MARCH 28, 2023 INORGANIC CHEMISTRY **READ**

[Medium](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[Range](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Ordering](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [in](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [the](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Ionic](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Glass](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Electrolytes](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [LiPON](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [and](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [LiSiPON](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02380?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**

Andrew S. Westover, Nancy J. Dudney, et al. MARCH 27, 2023 CHEMISTRY OF MATERI

[Phase](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Stability](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [and](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Kinetics](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Topotactic](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Dual](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Ca](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)[2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)[+](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)[–](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[Na](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**[+](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) **[Ion](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Electrochemistry](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)** [in](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) **[NaSICON](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)** $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$ $\text{NaV}_2(\text{PO}_4)$

Lauren E. Blanc, Linda F. Nazar, et al. DECEMBER 30, 2022 CHEMISTRY OF MATERIALS **[READ](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02816?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) TO A SECURE 2018 TO A SECURE 2019**

[Intercalation](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Chemistry](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [the](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Disordered](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Rocksalt](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) $Li₃V₂O₅$ $Li₃V₂O₅$ **[Anode](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [from](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Cluster](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Expansions](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [and](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Machine](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Learning](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Interatomic](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688) [Potentials](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02839?utm_campaign=RRCC_jpccck&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1712510180&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facs.jpcc.3c00688)**

Xingyu Guo, Shyue Ping Ong, et al. FEBRUARY 10, 2023 CHEMISTRY OF MATERIALS **READ**

[Get More Suggestions >](https://preferences.acs.org/ai_alert?follow=1)